

Faculty Senate
Thursday, Feb. 26, 2004, 3:30 p.m.
ELC 405

Summary:

1. January minutes approved with 2 changes.
2. Reports: UFSL meeting, accounts, new microphone, outstanding educator, faculty senate constitution (still under review), scheduling policy
3. Motions:
 - a. To accept the outstanding educator committee as part of the faculty senate bylaws: passed.
 - b. To accept internships policy: passed, policy now goes to Deans' Council.
 - c. To accept the tenure policy: passes.
 - d. To accept the faculty professional responsibilities policy with the arbitration committee changes and David Reese suggested changes: passed.

In attendance: David Lund, Virginia Stitt, Luciane Berg, Jim Aton, Rea Gubler, Deb Hill (proxy for Martha Hyder), David Rees, Mike Grady, Elise Leahy, Seth Armstrong, Ty Redd, Kim Craft, Eric Brown, Steve Heath, Abe Harraf, Ken Laundra, Darin Wadley, Richard Eissinger, Marty Larkin, Steve Barney, Virginia Stitt (Proxy for Judy Higbee), Chris Lusk (Proxy for Doug Molash), Wayne Williams.

Review and approval of minutes from January 28 meeting. 2 changes: Robert Eves was proxy for Ty Redd and it should read Abe Harraf, not Abe Heath. Moved by Virginia Stitt; seconded by David Rees. 16 for, 1 abstention. Passes.

Reports:

David Lund: UFSL meeting has been changed to Dixie on March 12, 2004.

Rea Gubler: All accounts are now on campus. The big account has \$12,791.08 and on campus line-item account has \$1,349.64.

David Lund: Discussion regarding a new microphone. A new one will cost approximately \$350.00, but the expense will be worthwhile as the one currently used only picks up the person(s) sitting directly in front of it and it is difficult for the transcriber to hear what is going on beyond that. Faculty Development Committee has requested some of the money for the Faculty Development Conference.

David Lund: Outstanding Educator—What they're talking about is that the information would go into our bylaws. We need to take into consideration what David is trying to do by getting the committees organized. Eric Brown: Our committee feels it would be better if we were given a written charge from the faculty senate. We are acting independent from the faculty senate but under their charge. We wrote down the charge as we perceived it to be and the philosophy behind it and then an order of how the committee might succeed from year to year. We didn't put down anything about procedures; we thought we would leave that to whatever the current committee has. We don't know whether it needs to be approved by the Provost and trustees or if we can just leave it with faculty senate approval. David Lund: With committees and these kinds of things that go into our bylaws, under the current constitution and the one we hope will be passed soon, it can be done by the faculty senate. Do we have a motion to accept this as

part of our bylaws? Eric Brown: *Motion to accept this as charged to the Outstanding Educator Committee.* Virginia Stitt: *Seconds. Discussion regarding how the Outstanding Educator is chosen and how it should not be a popularity contest. 17 for, 3 abstentions. Motion passes.*

Steve Barney: Faculty Senate Constitution—The document has been submitted to Michael Carter for review and he still has the document. I don't know how soon he will be done with that. David Lund: Hopefully it will be back in the hands of the committee by next week.

Ty Redd: Scheduling—The committee met and we didn't changed a whole lot. We changed the first statement (see the policy for changes). We looked into what the scheduling office was and we couldn't find that. We called the registrar and she said they did the scheduling. Voting on the scheduling policy was postponed until Maxine Stolk, Mike Richards, and the executive committee look at it to see how it conforms with practices under Banner and with state building board guidelines and code.

Steve Barney: Internships Policy—An ad hoc committee, Steve Barney, Wayne Williams, Ken Landra, and John Ault, was formed to look at internships.: I extended 15 invitations to individuals who I learned were doing internships and had seven responses. We met to hammer out a new policy. Included were folks from career services. We made some changes to the Academic Affairs Committee's version of the policy to give career services a lot more of the responsibility. In discussion with them, they are a resource to those who are doing internships. We also changed the credit for reduced time for supervising internships. We brought that in line with the Utah State System of Higher Education guidelines. Ken Landra: *moves to accept; Deb Hill seconds. Discussion. After introduction, it needs to be cited that the College of Education needs to be exempted from the pass/fail grading system for interns. Their interns receive a letter grade. Vote: 18 for, 3 abstentions. Policy will now go to the Deans' Council.*

Provost Harraf: (Voice is too faint on tape to transcribe an accurate report)

David Lund: Lucian Berg has agreed to move her item to the next meeting.

David Reese: Tenure Policy- The document has one substantive change to be discussed. The two places where the policy needs to be fixed, we've already agreed this is what we want to say, it just doesn't say it yet. Section V, Review and Evaluation Procedures, Item B Responsibility of the Dean and the College Committee. We need to strike the word "for" before "those applicationsThe other change is on post-tenure review, item 7, A. The word "is" needs to be inserted before the word "utilized". Other than those two small changes, *I'm ready to make the motion as it is now written. Ty Redd seconds. Discussion.* David Lund: The collegiality was left out of the LRT Revision Committee's policies because it is really hard to measure. *Sub-motion made by Ty Redd to add "by the Department and the College" to both VI, B and VII, C4.* David Reese: *Don't I have to agree to have that added to my motion?* David Lund: *Yes.* David Reese: *I don't. The way the motion has been made limits it only to the department and the college and there can be input from colleagues on a broader level than that. I don't accept the amendment.*

David Lund: In that case, the sub-motion is dead. All those in favor of the policy as we now have it. Vote: 20 in favor, 1 abstention. Passes.

David Reese: Faculty Professional Responsibilities—The Arbitration Committee made the following changes: Number 1, B. They combined B with J. The second substantive change was III, the whole page was changed and condensed into a table. This is much more clear about who takes the action and so on. Let me (David Reese) make the motion to accept the policy as given. Rea Gubler: seconds. Discussion. Arbitration Committee added II, G. I (David Reese?) would like to make a sub-motion for a couple of other small changes. Roman numeral I, F: Faculty members will provide a respectful atmosphere, and Roman numeral III, going back to the box, adding the dean to the table in top right 3 boxes. Just above it on procedures, cross out from made down through recommendations; the last part of that sentence is redundant. Vote: 18 for, 3 abstentions. Passes.

Motion for Executive Session made by Deb Hill. Seconded.