

SOUTHERN UTAH UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR 2002-2003

Goals

The department of mathematics and computer science has five specific areas of responsibility. They are:

1. General Education
2. Service courses for other departments
3. Preparing mathematics and computer science teachers for secondary schools
4. Preparing our majors for employment in industry
5. Preparing our majors for graduate school

Objectives

1. We will offer sufficient general education courses, both in numbers of sections and in levels of proficiency expected, to accommodate the demands placed on us by student needs, student abilities, and student interests.
2. We will advise students into general education courses that will be both meaningful and manageable with the abilities and background they have.
3. We will offer the computer and mathematical instruction that is needed and requested by the major departments we serve.
4. We are committed to prepare our mathematics education majors with both the mathematical knowledge and the teaching skills necessary for successful teaching careers in secondary schools.
5. We will prepare our majors for successful careers after graduation.
6. We will prepare our majors for successful admission into graduate schools.
7. We would recruit more quality students to the university and into our department.
8. We would increase our retention rate of majors and ratio of upper division students.
9. We would increase the effectiveness of our teaching.

Assessment of the achievement of objectives for the year 2002-2003

1. We offer courses that range from pre-algebra, requiring essentially no background, to courses that the most advanced entering students can find challenging, and offer them frequently enough and with enough sections that students need not be turned away from courses they need. All of our general education courses are offered every semester.

While our general education and service courses are very standard compared to the same courses at other universities and use "mainstream" textbooks, we are committed to make them the best we can, so we have begun reviewing every course – its content, objectives, and assessments. If a course cannot be justified it is deleted. If its content cannot be justified, it is changed. We have already begun the process and intend to complete it with all of our courses over the next two years. In the 2002-2003 school year we have reviewed and revised: math1010, math 1050, math 1040, math 1100, math 3700, and math 4340. The course coordinator has collected data, made recommendations, put the course description and course objectives onto a uniform department template, and presented it to the entire department for approval. Revisions were made based on the department input and then re-presented until finally approved.

2. We look at student high school transcripts and give placement tests to acquire evidence of student background and abilities in order to properly advise them into the appropriate level of mathematics and computer science courses. The placement exams are prepared and administered by Susan Peterson and Lohra Wolden and are reviewed and updated each year.

While all students are advised, whether or not they accept that advice is up to the individual student. This advisement process has been going on for two years. Beginning Fall Semester, 2002, data was collected to compare the success of those students who follow the advice given to those that do not. Data was gathered for the purpose of comparing the success of students since the placement testing began with those before that time. This was presented to and discussed by the entire department.

3. Specific content requests are made by departments of business, education, and engineering for the service courses we teach to their majors. We honor those requests. We also keep abreast of that which is being done in those courses at other universities across the country to make certain that our courses are in line with that which is being done elsewhere. We have not kept a file containing the requests that have come from departments which we serve or of our responses to those requests, but will do so beginning immediately.

Recent requests have not been made by the departments that we serve, so letters will be sent during this academic year, enclosing syllabi for the courses servicing their majors and inviting their input for possible improvement of the courses.

4. We require all of the state (level 4 mathematics endorsement requirements for the state of Utah) and nationally (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics "Standards For Teaching Mathematics") recommended subject matter and methods courses of our mathematics education majors. The mathematical knowledge is assessed through classroom testing, an exit exam, and an exit interview. Teaching effectiveness is assessed through observations and evaluations of a cooperation teacher in the secondary schools, a supervising mathematics professor, and a supervising education professor. The exit exam, a report of the exit interview, and the student teacher evaluations are a permanent part of the students' files.

One measure of the effectiveness of the mathematics education program can be in the placement of our students into teaching positions upon graduation. At the end of the 2002 – 2003 school year, every person who student taught in mathematics in our program, whether a major or a minor, had a teaching contract before the school year was over.

5. We require our majors to acquire skills that will make them employable upon graduation. We also require that they can demonstrate those skills not only on in-class assessments but also on a comprehensive examination before graduation and in an exit interview. As with the mathematics education majors, these are kept in the students' files.

6. We require courses of our majors that are basic courses necessary to prepare them to do well on the GRE and to be able to enter graduate level courses and succeed in them. We plan to gather data on the success rates of former students who have chosen this option, to ask for their input and recommendations for improving that preparation, and to use this information to improve our program. Specific data we intend to keep includes: GRE scores of our graduates who take the exam, a record of those who do enter graduate school and of those who complete graduate degrees, and a file containing questionnaires that will be sent to former graduates asking for their evaluation of the education we have provided them.

7. Mathematics and computer science provide such vast career opportunities that recruitment into these areas is just a matter of informing and educating people. Recruiting them to the university can be more difficult because most colleges and universities offer majors in these areas. We can improve our effectiveness in this endeavor by having representatives at high school science fairs and career days, by sponsoring fairs and contests on our campus, and by directly approaching students, such as the sterling scholars identified by each high school in the area, who have already been chosen as gifted in mathematics and technology. To assess our effectiveness in this area we will 1) keep a record of our faculty participation in such events, 2) ask each of our new majors each year to identify their reason for coming to SUU and particularly for majoring in mathematics or computer science, and 3) keep track of the number of students that we have acquired as a result of our recruiting efforts. This can assist us in identifying the areas where we can make the best uses of our resources.

During the 2002-2003 school year faculty members made presentations at high schools and science fairs throughout southern Utah and places in Nevada. They also contacted some of the top high school students by judging Sterling Scholars at three central and southern Utah locations. In addition to this the department had at least one faculty member on each recruiting trip made to junior colleges in the state by School Relations.

8. In the past year we have taken steps to improve retention. One of the major steps is to assign a faculty mentor to each of our majors, with the charge to get to know those students on both an academic and a personal level. In the 2002-2003 school year each of our majors was assigned to a faculty mentor for the first time.

9. We are constantly trying to improve teaching. Teacher evaluations are given each year, and are used to identify areas where improvement is needed. These areas are reviewed in an annual stewardship interview with the department chair, and plans and commitments are made for necessary changes. Sufficient time is given for those changes to be made and differences in the evaluations will be looked for the following year. This is done in a spirit of assistance rather than threat or intimidation.