Smokescreens, Lies and Deceptions: The Media and the Vietnam War.

A Capstone project submitted to

Southern Utah University in partial fulfillment

Of the requirements of the degree of

Master's of Arts in Professional Communications

August 2013

By

Richard L. Simonson

Capstone Committee:

Kevin Stein, Ph.D, co chair Lee Byers, M.A., co chair Art Challis, Ed.D Smokescreens, Lies and Deceptions: The Media and the Vietnam War.

Abstract

This rhetorical criticism analyzes Couturié and Bird's (2005) film *Dear America: Letters home* from Vietnam using framing elements from Jim A. Kuypers' (2006) agenda extension theory. His framing elements were developed to enable the researcher to pick out the ways in which bias is enacted upon viewers and readers by the media. This article views claims made by the film's producers and statements made within the content of the film. Three agendas or underlying messages are discovered. The critique examines two of these agendas to explain the techniques used to establish them. The exposé then compares claims made in the film with North Vietnamese, South Vietnamese, American and Communist Chinese historical documents along with memoirs of many important players to ascertain their accuracy. The second part of this project is a screenplay that expands upon and illuminates the media's use of framing, agenda setting and agenda extension techniques, while correcting certain myths about the Vietnam War, through fictional depictions of historically documented occurrences. Additionally this work introduces the concept that incorrect media handling of the coverage of the Vietnam War, or of any war, may exacerbate the affects of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder on combat veterans.

Keywords: Dear America: Letters home from Vietnam; Vietnam War; Vietnam War myths; Vietnam Veterans; South Vietnam; North Vietnam; communism; Vietnamese Communism; communist insurgency; agenda extension theory; agenda setting theory; media framing; war correspondents; news bias; media bias; Post Traumatic Stress Disorder; Paris Accords; antiwar protesters

Table of Contents

Abstract		i
Acknowledgements		iii
Introduction		1
Literature Review		3
The Artifact		7
Methods		7
Strategies Used to Perp	petrate Bias	15
Examining the Ideolog	y	26
Conclusions		37
References		40
Smokescreens, Lies and	d Deceptions: The Media and the Vietnam Veteran	44
Appendix I: Treatment		100
Appendix II: Detailed B	Sudget Analysis for the Film	102
Endnotes		116

Acknowledgements

It is my pleasure to acknowledge the exemplary assistance given to my project by Dr. Lionel Grady. I cannot fully express my gratitude for his guidance and direction in preparing my project, and for his willingness at great personal sacrifice to go out on a limb for a student. Dr. Grady is a great educator. I enjoyed attending his classes. He helped me understand the differences between film and television when I transferred from a film program at DSC to the more television oriented mass media communications graduate program here at SUU. Thanks Lionel!

I wish to offer my gratitude to Dr. Kevin Stein without whose assistance this project would not exist. It was because of his readiness to take time out of his busy schedule to listen and provide advice that the seeds of this project were planted. His willingness to step in, accept the reigns as chair of the committee and smooth out the rough edges, proved invaluable as this project began to roll forward and take form. His careful and patient assistance and cautious redirection was exactly what it took to keep this crazed Vietnam Veteran focused on what was necessary to accomplish this work. His expertise in narrative writing and qualitative research, coupled with our lengthy discussions, were key to understanding how to sort out the jumbled mass of ideas rattling around in my brain and turn them into academically functional material. I shall always value our friendship. Thanks Kevin!

Dr. Art Challis has always been willing to take a few minutes out of his busy schedule to answer a question or two. His council is always sound and his friendly disposition brightens my mood. I enjoy dropping by his office to catch a friendly hello. His expertise in the fundamentals of academia at SUU paved the way for this project to develop. But mostly I appreciate his teaching style. Whether working with him on a special project, seeking advice on this project or

sitting in his class learning things I needed to know to prepare for this work, his reflective teaching methods stimulate insightful learning opportunities. Thanks Art!

Lee Byers astute knowledge of the technical details of television and documentary film production inspired me and helped me to develop the confidence to take on the documentary portion of this project. I am thankful for his willingness to step forward into the unknown and accept chair responsibilities for the technical portion of this project. His concern enabled the project to move forward when it would otherwise have been stalled. I also appreciate his patience and helpfulness to provide me with last minute advice on technical questions, which he always seemed willing to do throughout my time at SUU. Thanks Lee!

I also wish to thank Dr. Matt Barton for helping to organize the technical details behind establishing this project. Matt was a valuable sounding board and offered advice that proved effective in troubling situations. Thanks Matt!

And many thanks to Dr. Jon Smith for talking me into transferring to SUU and taking this program in the first place. The material I learned from working with him in the KSU television news studio provided valuable insights during the planning and preparation for the film portion of this project. Thanks Jon!

All the staff members in the SUU Communication Department are helpful, knowledgeable and energetic. Whether popping in for a quick question, dropping off an assignment in the professor's box, or waiting for an appointment, it is always a pleasure to be in the staff offices. Even though everyone is always busy, there exists a quiet and peaceful atmosphere that enhances the academic environment. Thanks to Tammi Miller. She keeps the department functioning. Also thanks to Pam Halgren whose assistance in navigating the department during my first years in this program was quite helpful. Thanks people!

Information, including memoirs and recently declassified American, Vietnamese and Chinese Communist documents, found in separate works by many notable authors, reveals that miscommunication between official sources and American journalists may have cost the Allies an eminent victory in Vietnam. Case in point: Following the devastating defeat suffered by the Communists during the Tet offensive of 1968, (Robbins 2010; Ky, 2002; Ky & Wolf, 2002; Cosmos 2007; Zhai, 2000) the United States media including *New York Times* bureau chief R.W. Apple and Walter Cronkite reported that the war "is mired in stalemate" (Brinkley, 2012, pp. 378-379) that General Westmoreland and President Johnson lacked credibility, and other defeatist rhetoric. "A flow of undigested, often alarmist, early reports from lower echelons of [Military Assistance Command, Vietnam] MACV and the U.S. mission intensified official concern and undermined the credibility of General Westmoreland and Ambassador Komer's assessments" (Cosmos, 2007, p. 85). Cosmos and many other noted persons criticized the media's handling of the 1968 Tet Offensive and the Vietnam War.

North Vietnamese Colonel Bui Tin, (1995) acknowledged that preparations for the 1968 Tet

Offensive began at least three quarters of a year prior to the offensive itself (pp. 61-62). The media failed
to acknowledge that these communist attacks were repelled, some within hours, most within days by
quick Allied response. Hue City, Khe Sanh and some areas of Saigon took longer to contain, but were
contained decisively. Media spokespersons also failed to acknowledge the relocation of troops before Tet,
(Robbins, 2010, pp. 115-116), which placed them in the best position to counter these attacks, indicates
General Westmoreland and other high level U.S. commanders had advance knowledge of the offensive.

The general could not reveal this in advance because he did not want the enemy to be aware that the
Allies were prepared for the attack. Cosmos (2007) explains, "by the end of January 27, U.S. maneuver
battalions were operating within 30 km" (p. 50) of Saigon in preparation for the Tet Offensive. Prime
Minister Nguyen Cao Ky explains that Tet was not a surprise; it was classified (Ky & Wolf, 2002, pp.
259-260). The media's claim that the Tet Offensive caught the American command structure off guard
appears to lack merit.

It is important to examine the events that spawned and perpetuated media assumptions in order that future communicators may see the danger of getting locked into ideas without consistent and thorough reassessment as situations change. Conrad and Poole (2005) warn against groups getting caught in Irving Janis' groupthink, where the majority of the members buy into the ideas of a few dominant group members, (p. 298). For the group of Vietnam combat reporters and their editors, one wonders if the conclusions of a few leading journalists affected the reporting of the majority of journalists. Veteran Vietnam reporter David Halberstam (1988) stated, "too much policy and too deep a commitment had already been made in Vietnam on the basis of too little factual information" (p. 155). There were not enough reporters in the early years which may have led to each one having more clout than one person rightfully ought to have (p. 155). Former Ambassador to Vietnam, Robert Komer stated that Washington was "swayed far more by the press than by our own reporting. It has counseled with its fears rather than its hopes. As a result, all too many see cutting our losses as the only way out of a painful impasse" (Cosmos, 2007, p. 88). North Vietnamese Colonel Bui Tin (1995) stated, "Thanks to the [U.S.] media which exaggerated the damage caused by [the 1968 Tet] offensive, the American public was bedazzled" (p. 62). Considering this ability of the media to manipulate public and official opinion, this extra clout Halberstam spoke of, puts control of public action in the hands of a disproportionately few members of society.

If a few reporters in the early part of an American conflict can have such a determining effect on government policy, it is worth discovering the ways variant assumptions can be disseminated. This will help to caution journalists and the public against forming inappropriate conclusions. Sixty-year Washington press corps veteran journalist, Helen Thomas (2006) states, "Journalists, as purveyors of information, are the watchdogs of democracy. Without an informed people there can be no democracy" (p. xiii). She continues, "without a free press there can be no democracy" (p. xv). Because the media are the purveyors of information, if they form and present incorrect conclusions, the people can be led astray. The dangers of forming and adhering to suppositions formed with incomplete information, simply

because those suppositions came from trusted journalists, can be tragic as hundreds of thousands of South Vietnamese can attest.

This examination of the phenomenon is offered in two parts. The first is a rhetorical criticism that analyzes Couturié and Bird's (2005) film *Dear America: Letters home from Vietnam* using framing elements from Jim A. Kuypers' (2006) agenda extension theory. This part of the work views claims made by the film's producers and statements made within the content of the film.

The second part of this project is a screenplay that expands upon and illuminates the media's use of framing, agenda setting and agenda extension techniques. Through fictional depictions of historically documented occurrences, it attempts to correct certain myths about the Vietnam War. The screenplay demonstrates, by example, how some of the same techniques used in the *Dear America* artifact can be similarly be used to express viewpoints that are in opposition to the material in that artifact.

Literature Review

Innumerable articles have been written concerning news media bias in Vietnam. Among those relevant to this research is an article by Tallman and McKerns (2000), which discusses bias in early news coverage from the early years of the Vietnam War and its affect on public attitudes toward the War. "Studies have found that the angles, cultural frames, personal beliefs of reporters, and conventions of reporting, among other factors, combine to construct the news. These news constructs become images of reality for those who read and use the news" (Tallman and McKerns, 2000, p. 109). Also explained is that disagreement exists among researchers about the extent of media's role in forming American society's opinions.

The Kennedy policy of news management was that of "emphasizing that it was a Vietnamese, not an American war ... downplaying U.S. involvement" (Tallman and McKerns, 2000, p. 111) while playing up the anti-Viet Cong successes of Diem and his troops and avoiding coverage that could be potentially damaging. American officials in Vietnam "defer[ed] all final judgments on information policies to the host Diem government. In the process they withheld or altered information, and sometimes lied to the

resident correspondents about what was really going on" (Tallman and McKerns, 2000, p. 111). Therefore only positive news about the Diem regime was to be covered.

A credibility gap, alternately termed "the 'press crisis' or 'press mess'" (Tallman and McKerns, 2000, p. 112) occurred between high-level government sources and news media as that policy of news management caused reporters to doubt Diem's ability to lead South Vietnam. The authors relate how this eventually developed into an anti-government bias in the reporting of the news. Their article describes that bias and how it developed.

Peter Arnett, the reporter who gained fame from his photograph of the bonze who burned himself with gasoline in the streets of Saigon, wrote an article about his reporting experiences in the Saigon Bureau of Associated Press during the Vietnam War. Arnett (1999) expresses doubts about "whether [journalists] performed the classic American press role of censuring government policy or whether we botched the whole job and aided and abetted the enemy" (p. 191). Colonel Bui Tin, a North Vietnamese army journalist, alludes to the latter when he states, "we continued to manipulate public opinion in the United States to our advantage" (Bui Tin, 1995, p. 70). Robbins (2010) states, "The Hanoi regime followed American reportage on Vietnam very closely" (p. 69). Arnett and Robbins seem to indicate in their works that the U.S. media may have worked contrary to American national interests.

Russo (1971) studied ninety-six live television broadcasts selected from over "1400 newscasts televised by CBS and NBC during [the] two-year period" (p. 541) 1969 to 1970. He attempted to determine quantitatively that bias against Nixon's Vietnam policies were non-existent. Russo concluded "these results, I believe, provide factual evidence that there was no 'bias' against the Nixon Administration's policies in Vietnam in the 1969 and 1970 broadcasts of either NBC or CBS" (Russo, 1971, p. 542).

George Bailey also did a quantitative study of bias in reporting by anchormen concerning Vietnam. In a three-month period, he coded 465 samples "on 31 descriptive, nominal variables" (Bailey, 1976, p. 321). He found that "with no substantial differences among the networks, roughly 35% of anchorman stories were interpretive" (p. 321) in nature. He noticed "a general trend to more interpretation over the

years on all networks" (p. 321). Bailey also concludes "generally dismal results describe much of the journalistic performance of Cronkite, Huntley and other anchormen whom so many people trusted and followed" (p. 323). This may alert the researcher to seek more information about the journalists named in the article.

Hariman and Lucaites (2003) discuss the Pulitzer Prize winning photograph known as Accidental Napalm in an analysis of viewer response. They "argue that the photograph functions as a powerful emotional and inventional resource for animating moral deliberation and democratic dissent" (Harriman & Lucaites, 2003, p. 35). This gets the public past the disconnectedness from strangers, Vis-à-vis the Vietnamese people, which was "central for public engagement" (Harriman & Lucaites, 2003, p. 35). The photo is described as "'a defining photographic icon'.... confronting US citizens ... with the immorality of their actions" (Harriman & Lucaites, 2003, p. 39), indicating there exists a belief in a journalistic responsibility to moralize to the public.

All these articles except Russo's admit or describe media bias in some way. Tallman and Kearns talked of censorship and the shift of pro-war media bias to anti-war media bias, thereby implying that bias did exist. They also spoke to the importance of the media on public opinion. Oddly enough, I found no articles that sought to discover whether the media interpretations of the Vietnam War were accurate. Peter Arnett came close to raising this question by admitting he feared the media worked for the benefit of the enemy.

Russo's (1971) conclusion, that there was a lack of bias against the Nixon Administration's policies (p. 542), contrasts with the remainder of the articles reviewed. Considering that ABC, NBC and CBS were the only U.S. television networks in 1971, "the question whether TV news coverage is 'biased' assumes tremendous significance" (Russo, 1971, p. 539). Additionally, content within Russo's article is useful to demonstrate that bias among the networks during the Vietnam War had an impact on the viewing public.

Bailey (1976) notes that anchormen were "widely accused of reading short, headline-like stories lacking interpretation" (p. 321). He also discusses how the news was interpreted as well as the method

and how often body counts were reported. Additionally, Bailey discusses the lack of attribution of sources. Of "465 stories in the sample only 13 carried any attribution. ... For the vast majority of stories about Vietnam, Cronkite or Huntley or whoever, read the news omnisciently, as if he or his network had gathered it" (p. 323). This study not only indicates that bias occurred, but it questions the integrity of prominent anchors.

With the exception of Russo, these writers mention or include media bias as a given. For example, the statement, paraphrased by Harriman and Lucaites (2003), that the Accidental Napalm photo "reflect[s] its influence on public attitudes toward the war, an influence achieved by confronting U.S. citizens with *the immorality of their actions*" [emphasis added] (p. 39,) seems to imply that bias is a virtue, if not a duty, of journalism. This type of comment leads one to realize bias exists in the media concerning the Vietnam War. Harriman and Lucaites (2003) also revealed in this statement the general media sentiment that the War was immoral. Yet, they question a connection between immorality on the part of some players and the media contention that the War itself was immoral. Used "as an indictment, there isn't much [about the Accidental Napalm photo] that would stand out after cross-examination" (Harriman & Lucaites, 2003, p. 40). This indicates that the evidence provided to propagate some biased opinions may be flawed.

Other than the Harriman and Lucaites (2003) article, which describes the emotional impact that photos have on the news audiences, and the Bailey (1976) article just discussed, little discussion of the techniques the media uses for employing bias in reporting was found. Harriman and Lucaites (2003) talk about the trick of cropping out portions of photographs, which removes context that may refute the frame the editor wants to develop when using the photograph.

The subtle indications from these authors lead one to suspect that there are many more instances of misrepresentation to be found. This suggests that a deeper study into the approach used by journalists to sway public opinion is warranted. Further study into how bias was used and the effects of that use, will contribute significantly to the overall knowledge base concerning, not only tactics of media bias, but

tactics of modern political rhetoric as well. Knowledge of media methodology will assist the educated man and woman in discerning objectivity from subjectivity and fact from fiction in news reporting.

The Artifact

Couturié and Bird's (2005) film *Dear America: Letters home from Vietnam* is a very poignant and heart wrenching film that evokes the emotions of the viewer and claims to be "a tribute" (back cover) to the men who fought the War. Famous voice actors read actual letters from Vietnam Veterans over clips they imply are actual footage and still pictures of the veterans who wrote the letters. This is intermixed with archived news reports, interviews and other footage from the Vietnam era.

The film *Dear America* was selected as the artifact for examination because upon initial viewing, it appears to contain examples of the tactics and methods used to introduce, fortify and perpetuate the ideology that the Vietnam War was a useless waste of money and manpower. Additionally it seems to support the ideology that the U.S. had no possibility of winning the War. These examples provide the researcher with insight into the research questions: Did mass media in the United States misread or misinterpret the meaning of the strategic and tactical outcomes, and the effects of those outcomes, of the military engagements of the Vietnam War and of the outcome of the War itself? If so, what were the methods used to project the bias created by that misinterpretation?

Method

I chose to use ideological criticism for this examination because the method allows an artifact to be analyzed in an attempt to discover the ideology or ideologies imbedded within that artifact. One identifies the elements that are presented by the creator of the artifact and uses them to determine the "ideas, references, themes, allusions, or concepts that are suggested by the presented elements" (Foss, 2009, p. 216). Foss (2009) continues, "Ideological criticism is a kind of criticism in which [the] research question can be specifically about [the] artifact" (p. 220). Thus, the Ideological Criticism allows the researcher to ask, what underlying messages does this film infer? Additionally Foss (2009) proposes that ideological

criticism allows the researcher "to analyze only the ideology concerning [a particular] subject in [the] artifact" (p. 215). This form of criticism therefore allows the researcher to question the validity of the ideological assumption perpetuated in the film, e.g. that the Vietnam War was fought for no reason.

There are times, such as is found in this case, when the structure of ideological criticism is insufficient in itself, to adequately discover the components of the content messages that are used to set up and reinforce the ideology. In my discussions with my Committee Chair, Dr. Kevin Stein, concerning the methodology for this project, he expressed the concern that "ideological criticism in general is too unstructured and it doesn't always focus on the elements of the content of messages that contribute to the ideology" (personal interview, September 6, 2012, electronic interview, July 7, 2013). To alleviate this concern, coding strategies based on J. A. Kuyper's Agenda Extension Theory are useful. His coding system was developed specifically to reveal rhetorical strategies exploited by the media to create an agenda that promotes a particular point of view.

Kuyper's (2006) theory builds on the Agenda Setting Theory, which deals with the way members of the media frame their press reports to establish their point of view as the predominant one. Kuypers (2006) states, "Framing is the process whereby news stories and editorials act to shape our understanding, awareness, and evaluations of issues and events in a particular direction" (p. 140). Take for example, this excerpt from the October 16, 1972 *Newsweek* about the communist general offensive which with great difficulty was successfully repelled by the Army of the Republic of Vietnam (ARVN):

"Although the South Vietnamese have recaptured Quang Tri City and prevented the fall of An Loc, Kontum and Hue, they have been unable to clear the enemy forces out of the hinterland" (The War, 1972, p. 57). Notice that the words "although" and "unable" set up the defeatist frame that focuses on what the ARVN were not able to do. The author could have framed the same information as accomplishments, for instance the story could have been written like this:

"The South Vietnamese recaptured Quang Tri City and prevented the fall of An Loc, Kontum and Hue. They are attempting to clear the enemy forces out of the hinterland" (or, 'their next job is to clear

...' depending on which was occurring at the time the story was written). Notice how the subtle differences in the wording changed the framing of the story from a negative story to a positive one.

An example of television agenda setting appears as *Washington Post* Saigon Bureau Chief, Peter Braestrup, while in Khe Sanh observes,

Press reports frequently emphasized the negative aspects of the conditions at the base because often there was little else to report. Stand-uppers were often narrated next to the ramp of aircraft loading casualties or body bags, or were filmed in the shadow of a KC-130 refueling aircraft that had crashed early in the battle, but whose constant reappearance on the nation's television screen seemed to send a persistent message of failure. ... It was hard to generate an impression that the Americans had the upper hand when television viewers continually saw Marines running for bunkers and enemy shells exploding. There was no corresponding film of the devastation being wrought on the enemy. (Robbins, 2010, p. 232)

The first example demonstrates how simple wording selection can alter the tone of a news report. The second example reveals that some television field reporters staged events to dramatize news when they had nothing exciting to report. It also explains impacts that this type of dramatization can have on persons affected by the reports. These are among the types of things the Agenda Setting Theory was formulated to uncover.

The Agenda Extension Theory goes beyond agenda setting to agenda extension, where "the news media tells us, not only what to think about, but also *how to think about the information we receive*" (Kuypers, 2006, p. 136, emphasis in original). The media gatekeepers pick a story. Next, they decide "how much attention to give to the story and for how long" (Kuypers, 2006, p. 9). Then, they set up a frame, or point of view, by the method they decide to use "to tell that particular story, and it is here that the notion of agenda extension gains pertinence" (Kuypers, 2006, p. 10). Television "images conveyed drama without context, and could be misleading" (Robbins, 2010, p 246). General Maxwell Taylor explains, in the confines of the TV screen "a few flaming Saigon houses ... created the inevitable impression that this was the way it was in all or most of Saigon" (Robbins, 2010, pp. 246-247). By

February 4th most of the fighting was confined to the Cholon district. Robbins (2010) stated, "the last organized VC fighters were rooted out of the area by February 10" (p. 141). Peter Braestrup reported, "TV was always worse. ... The emotive demands of the medium and commercial demands of holding an audience just worked against calm, dispassionate reporting. ... It was show business" (Robbins, 2010, p. 247). Used in combination, the agenda setting and the agenda extension theories provide the researcher with a set of tools to critically analyze the framework, semantics and visual effects used by a journalist to discover if he or she is attempting to direct the viewer or reader to accept his or her point of view.

A disadvantage to the use of these theories is that there are too many complex framing elements described by Kuypers to list them all here. Framing elements found in this artifact include exclusion of oppositional information, oppositional framing, intentionally ignoring reports of progress, sandwiching, using only quotes from like-minded sources, and credibility enhancement. These are defined as follows.

Exclusion of oppositional information and intentionally ignoring reports of progress are part of a larger category of reliance on a narrow range of informational sources. Kuypers (2006) states, "intentionally framing an issue or event so that important information is omitted or improperly contextualized is an instance of oppositional framing" (p. 140). This includes any "failure to report information that would contradict the press's own point of view" (Kuypers, 2006, p. 159). Kuypers continues, "so one way the media wield influence is by omitting or de-emphasizing information, by excluding data about an altered reality that might otherwise disrupt existing support" (p. 160) for the media's point of view. Walter Cronkite provided a good example of this during a March 1968 report on Khe Sanh. He claimed, "I found very few people out there who really believe Khe Sanh could be held if the North Vietnamese are determined to take it" (Robbins, 2010, p. 233). Robbins (2010) analyzes Cronkite's statement.

The North Vietnamese certainly seemed determined to take Khe Sanh, based on the commitment they had made to the siege. But Cronkite's comment is a good example of defining a battle in a way that favors the enemy, regardless of the facts. Saying "they could win if they wanted to" requires no evidence. ... Characterizing the battle that way made any meaningful victory impossible, because if

the base fell it was a defeat; and if it held it was not because of a dogged and well-executed defense but because the North Vietnamese simply chose not to take it. (p. 233)

Thus it is possible to recognize media bias using Kuypers' definitions of oppositional framing and the exclusion of oppositional information as tools of detection. The importance of recognizing the tactic of oppositional framing becomes obvious from the forgoing examples.

Additionally as Covert and Washburn (2009) explain, a "lack of coverage of the issues ... removes these topics from public debate" (p. 14). This was especially true during the Vietnam War era since the Internet was not yet available as a forum. Kuypers (2006) warns,

This is why any biased news coverage is so deceitful coming from these news sources; they appear perched on a dais of objectivity, all the while injecting their own partisan beliefs into the very information they give to the public as objective news. (p. 140)

Exposure of this type of practice in the media can serve to curb its use and diminish its affect on members of the general public.

Credibility enhancement happens when "the press specifically advance[s a player] in a manner calculated to enhance his [or her] credibility and thus the weight of his assertions" (Kuypers, 2006, p. 151). Sometimes the press is simply informing us concerning the credentials of the person, which is a valid practice. The public should know about the source. What is of concern though is the bolstering of a less competent person's qualifications through means that appear to prove expertise. Yet, upon closer examination the verification lacks any real authenticity. For instance, it is popularly said, "He was there so he must know." Yet, this is a serious misnomer. This author was in Taiwan for two years, yet he is not an expert on Taiwan or on Taiwanese politics. He is only acquainted with those few things about Taiwan that he can remember from his personal associations, experience and what he has learned through reading (which has nothing to do with actually being there).

The use of hearsay and unsubstantiated information is a particularly deceitful technique as the general public has a right to expect that the media validate its sources. The Society of Professional Journalists (1996) *Code of Ethics* reads, "Journalists should ... test the accuracy of information from all

sources and exercise care to avoid inadvertent error. Deliberate distortion is never permissible" (http://www.spj.org/ethicscode.asp). Kuypers (2006) states, "without the proper citations of sources, the press is passing along little more than gossip at best, and fictitious creations at worst" (p. 162). Similar to this is the use of unnamed sources as is found in a *Newsweek* article. "It is clear' *says one analyst*, 'that there is no common view of the fundamental elements of victory and defeat'" (The War in Indochina, 1972, October 16, p. 57, italics added). Any story that fails to confirm the accuracy of its sources falls short of journalistic standards.

Sandwiching is the layering of aspects of a story so as to project the impression of balanced reporting, yet upon closer inspection the report is highly biased. The opposing point of view concerning a story is sandwiched between two items that reflect the press' point of view. Kuypers (2006) states,

The press maintains that it is fair because it reports both sides of an issue. However, ... the manner in which [the opposing information] is presented can detract from its potential impact, making it appear wrong or the minority point of view. (p. 155)

When sandwiched stories lose their impact, the public can be distracted from viewing the whole picture.

Directed language is described as "the lexical choices made within the various frames [that] act to frame the news story in such a manner that a dominant reading is suggested" (Kuypers, 2006, p. 14).

Sentence structure such as the mixture of active and passive voice aids in this subtle adjustment of context. An illustration of this can be found in the writings of *New York Times* reporter Tom Buckley.

When reporting on casualties, the reader is directed, by the language used, to doubt claims of enemy casualties while allied casualties are reported as statements of fact. "The guerrillas left 19 South

Vietnamese marines dead and 47 wounded. Thirty of the enemy were reported to have been killed" (Buckley, January 1st 1968, p. 1). Active voice is used to contextualize the allied losses as fact, while the report of enemy casualties is softened with the use of passive voice. "Nineteen of the paratroopers were wounded. Ten enemy soldiers were reported killed" (Buckley, January 4th 1968, p. 3). Observe how in both articles, a slight hint of doubt is cast on whether the enemy soldiers were actually killed by the use of the phrase "were reported." The reader is subtly directed to doubt the reports of enemy casualties, hence

directive language. Buckley does not do this in every report, but he does it often enough that over time his regular readers absorb his opinion as their own. Knapp (2008) reflects,

Repeated information finds a place in their memory even though it may not be at a high level of consciousness. Then, when they are asked to evaluate new information that is similar ... feelings of familiarity ... lead to the illusion that this information is true. (p. 21)

Repetition combined with directive language creates a powerful influence that often goes undetected by the moderately attentive reader or listener.

Besides the framing elements from Kuypers, the author observed some other indicators of bias.

Building on Aristotle's pathos, a tendency was noticed in Courtier's film to use emotional appeal rather than provide empirical evidence. Further examination is needed. Additionally the film was inundated with sources that suffered from tunnel vision. Also observed, is the strategic use of hesitation following audio statements that embrace thoughts the producers appeared to want to emphasize.

Emotional appeal is an effective tool to cover up the lack of empirical evidence. Emotional appeal can be used to cloud the judgment of the viewer. Emotionally charged, the viewer accepts the statements at face value without questioning the validity of the account. Hitler was excellent at combining emotion with logic. The idea is to twist the facts into something the audience wants to hear then add emotion. The twisted facts become truth when emotion replaces reason and implants that twisted truth into the subconscious mind.

From research in the field of educational psychology, we discover that using entertainment to teach is very effective. Entertainment creates an emotional reaction, which in turn lowers students' cognitive barriers. Similarly, since one views entertainment as entertainment, the natural tendency to question what is observed is put on hold. Thus a producer or writer can inject a political message into the dialogue of an entertainment piece and subconsciously it can become part of the viewer's knowledge base. Even while viewing a sad film, the viewer is still being entertained. Yet, with a depressing film, he or she may understandably feel the negative emotions associated with the film. These negative emotions may

reinforce any negative message that is being projected at the time. Hence, the neutral minded viewer tends to accept the speaker's statements without corroborating evidence.

The use of sources with tunnel vision became evident when the media was found to be using sources that were not really in a position to know the reality about the information they were reporting. These sources did not have the big picture. For example, the grunts in the field are not in attendance during an operation's planning sessions. Rather the tendency is that lower echelon soldiers are deliberately informed on a need-to-know basis due to the idea that captured soldiers cannot be forced to give up information they do not have. Hence, the grunts' view of any scenario is limited by what he observes within his squad or platoon's Area of Operations (AO). Metaphorically, they have tunnel vision. To determine if the media are using a source that has tunnel vision, one might consider the individual's rank and position on the battlefield. One can establish if the source can be knowledgeable and qualified to comment on the big picture. One can then reflect on whether the comment is framed as if this source is an expert.

This may be a good time to talk about the author's own interests in this analysis. I am a Vietnam Combat Veteran. I was once asked if I was a grunt. The difference between what I did and a grunt's job (at least in a mechanized infantry battalion) is that when we got where the action was, the grunts got off the armored personnel carriers (APC) and walked in between the APCs while the mechanized personnel stayed on board, manning a 50 caliber machine gun, an M-60 or driving the vehicle. A grunt's MOS designation (military job code) is 11B, which is infantry while I was 11D, which is armored reconnaissance. Since I was in a scout platoon my unit very rarely worked with grunts. This meant that out in the field there were only about 30 of us by ourselves instead of about 100 that operated in a mechanized infantry line company. I can testify that I rarely knew what was going on. I drove where I was supposed to drive, or shot at whom I was supposed to shoot, or waited until I was told to move out again. Any expertise I have about Vietnam that I gained from my experience in Vietnam is tactical, not strategic. Most of my buddies suffered from the same metaphorical tunnel vision that I did. We did not know what was going on and frankly speaking, most of the time we did not care either.

I do have personal experience with severe Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). I also claim a certain amount of academic expertise associated with the disorder through years of therapy and occasional academic research. PTSD is discussed later in this project.

My limited claim to strategic expertise about Vietnam comes from over two years of full time graduate research. Also I was a history teacher at both the high school and community college levels, as well as about twenty years of recreational reading of biographies, memoirs and historical accounts specific to Vietnam. In my graduate research, I was objectively seeking to learn the facts about what really happened in Vietnam. My combat experience did not match the defeatist rhetoric that was presented by Hollywood and the news media. In fact, there was nothing defeatist about my Vietnam experience whatsoever. It is easy to see that I have a personal stake in this topic and do have a biased opinion about the media representations associated with the Vietnam War. As a scholar, an educator and a serious researcher, I have endeavored to maintain an objective tone to this analysis, although I fear that some of my bias may occasionally reveal itself in this work. For that I seek the reader's indulgence and understanding.

This analysis contributes to rhetorical theory in that it demonstrates a method in which ideological criticism can be combined with more functional rhetorical approaches. Agenda setting theory and agenda extension theory, when merged in the ideological criticism format, can expose the lack of validity for concepts that are so well ingrained into public knowledge that they are incorrectly assumed to be true.

Strategies used in the *Dear America* Film to Perpetuate Media Bias

In the artifact, *Dear America*, instances of exclusion of oppositional information, oppositional framing, and intentionally ignoring reports of progress are found. There are occurrences of sandwiching and using quotes of likeminded sources. Also discovered are cases of using sources with tunnel vision and credibility enhancement. Most notable in its prevalence are numerous examples of the use of emotional appeals surrounding a particular point, most of which contained no empirical evidence.

An undisputed ideology that stands out most clearly in the film is that war is terrible. However, two underlying ideologies emerge as well. The first is the myth that the Vietnam War was useless, without purpose or gain. The second ideology is printed on the cover, yet is contradicted throughout the picture. That is the claim that the film "is a tribute to" (back cover) the Veterans who served in Vietnam. The focus of this analysis is on these latter two ideologies.

One is able to deduce how the ideology of the War being useless is established, by examining the methods that the filmmakers used. This examination involves a review of indicators found within the artifact, with a view toward discovering how the distinctive, sometimes subtle messages combine to form the ideology that the Vietnam War was useless. As the circumstances dictate, the claim that the film honors Vietnam Veterans is examined.

An instance of the exclusion of oppositional information is shown in the occasional timeline pieces that report only U.S. casualties. In these, the viewer is shown the harm inflicted on U.S. forces. However, the film does not counterbalance those reports with reports of the price the enemy paid for inflicting that harm. It leaves the viewer with the impression that only Americans suffered casualties and the sense that there was no purpose and no gain for U.S. involvement. One graphic states, "Timeline: December 31, 1967, U.S Troops in Vietnam = 485,600, Killed in action to date = 16,021, Wounded in action to date = 99,762" (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 36:32, equal signs added). A similar timeline piece is done for each year from 1965 to 1969. No mention is made anywhere in the film of the number of enemy casualties. One can question whether the producers believe reporting the number of enemy casualties would compromise their agenda. Additionally, the film jumps from 1969 to 1973 with no timelines and little coverage of the time period where the U.S. and South Vietnamese forces were showing the most improvement and causing the most devastation to the enemy. For instance Downey (1995) reports on the general attitude of troops at Fire Support Base Mary Ann just prior to a communist surprise attack,

The general attitude was of safety and relaxation. Few paid attention to the terrain in front of the bunkers. In the American Army of 1971, any attempt to get the men to shore up the defenses or

become more knowledgeable about their responsibilities would have been met with indifference or sarcasm. (p. 47)

Downey (1995) related that the attack left 31 US KIA & 82 WIA vs. 15 enemy bodies & an unknown actual body count.

For one of the *few times* in the history of the war, the ultimate casualty tally clearly favored the NVA. Mary Ann was the greatest American combat loss from a single attack in *four years* and served as a deadly reminder to US soldiers that the war in Vietnam was not yet over. (p. 52, emphasis added)

While this attack obviously hurt American pride, not to mention the casualties, it was an isolated incident. However, it is important because it demonstrates complacency. Complacency is an after effect of success. Complacency leads to, but is not bred from failure. The fact that complacency occurred, demonstrates that military successes previously occurred.

Additionally, the statistics also indicate American successes. According to a 4/9 Infantry Manchu (Vietnam) Association (2006) chart, annual U.S. deaths in Vietnam fell from 16,589 in 1968 to 6,083 in 1970. The even more significant reductions in 1971 (2,357) and 1972 (640) were largely affected by U.S. troop withdrawals. However, the Administration's willingness to conduct troop withdrawals demonstrates a positive degree of success as well. If the producers of the artifact truly wished to "honor" Vietnam Veterans, it would be better to show the progress our soldiers made, rather than the price they paid.

Another example of the exclusion of oppositional information is found early in the film during the discussion of the Tonkin Gulf Resolution. The only senator whose voice is heard concerning the Tonkin Gulf Resolution (which passed congress by 504-2) is the antiwar rhetoric of voting dissenter Senator Wayne Morse. The producers begin with Morse' unverified statement: "You can't win in Asia" (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 5:48 to 5:52). History does not support this statement. Prior to Vietnam, the U.S. fought three wars in Asia. A win was scored during the Spanish-American War in the Philippines. A win was scored against the Japanese in World War II. The Korean War was a tie. Consequently, in 1965 when Senator Morse made the statement, the expectation of a U.S. victory in Southeast Asia was a legitimate

expectation. No validation was offered for Senator Morse's statement. But the historical point of view did not fit the "useless war" agenda of the producers, so Senator Morse's declaration is the only congressional voice that is heard.

Morse continues, "You can't win in Asia. So I am not going to go along with this kind of a program in South Vietnam, at least with my vote, that in my judgment is going to kill *needlessly* untold numbers of American boys, and *for nothing*" (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 5:47 to 6:04, emphasis added). The "needlessly" and "for nothing" statements are representative of the directive language used to express the ideology that the Vietnam War was useless. Typical of this tactic is the following interview conducted under fire.

Interviewer: "Is it worth it"?

GI: "Yeah ... I don't know. They say we're fighting for something. I don't know."

(Couturié and Bird, 2006, 41:22)

This statement concerning the interviewee's lack of knowledge or lack of understanding is one of many sound bites that are offered, without evidence, that subtly reinforce the agenda that there is no purpose to the War. This soldier's lack of knowledge is not evidence that there was no purpose in fighting the War, yet it is presented as such (note also: sources with tunnel vision).

Dear America begins with a statement that attempts to validate the material found in the film: "This film is about young men in war. It is their own story; in their own words ... Words they wrote home in letters from Vietnam. Every scene, every shot in the film is real –nothing has been re-enacted" (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 0:10 to 0:26). This opening statement is an example of credibility enhancement and of the use of sources with tunnel vision. Yet all the voices were reenactments using voice actors.

The initial problem is that the filmmakers use the opening statement for validity as if the "grunts" in the field were knowledgeable of the strategic plan. One of the proverbial Vietnam War sayings, often found inscribed on Zippo lighters, was "GI's are like mushrooms, they keep us in the dark and feed us bull[dung]" (author's recollection). General Tommy Franks (2004) recalls his days in Vietnam as a Forward Observer with a mechanized infantry line company, "Lieutenant Tommy Franks didn't know

diddly about strategy, but I was learning about war at the soldier's level" (p. 85). When the author was an APC driver every time he would ask his track commander, "Where are we going," he was told to "Shut up and drive" (author's recollection).

Certainly there were things the GIs knew about. For instance, an unnamed GI is being interviewed during a firefight in Saigon:

Interviewer: What's the hardest part of it?

GI: Not knowing where they are, that's the worst of it. Riding around, they run in the sewers, in the gutters, anywhere. They can be anywhere. Just hope you can stay alive from day to day. Everybody just wants to go back home and go to school. (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 40:38 to 40:54)

The GI knows Charlie is hard to find. It is a tactical experience that he grasps consistently. The GI also probably knows his friends want to go home and go to school. As expected, he has a good perspective of the things around him. His words reflect reality within his microcosm. Still, he does not have the big picture. A GI's words should not be portrayed as if he does have the big picture, such as is found in the following example.

During parts of a filmed conversation of General Westmoreland, the theater commander, interviewing troops, Westmoreland's voice fades out and the narration of a letter fades in, "David, morale's very high in spite of the fact that most men think the war's being run incorrectly. One of the staggering facts is that most men here believe we will not win the war" (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 35:39 to 36:26). The words "fact" and "staggering facts" offer a façade of validity, yet the "staggering fact" is that the statement is one man's opinion, based on his very limited observations. Did this one man have access to "most men here" in order to form this opinion from evidence?

The man shown was a grunt. How much regular access do most grunts have to the men outside their squad or platoon? Intermittent access may be found with members of the company and sporadic access to members of one's battalion. Access beyond battalion level happens, but is probably beyond the norm for a grunt. Albeit, the speaker's meaning for most men here may be "most men" in my squad or even in my

platoon. Yet the context of the statement implies that the statement is representative of "most men" in Vietnam.

Another example of using sources with tunnel vision occurs during the siege of Khe Sanh as the filmmakers use uninformed Marines as experts in the following sequence. The graphic "February 23, 1968: The 33rd day of the siege" (42:49) is placed over a nocturnal establishing shot of Khe Sanh that fades to marines talking around a camping light inside a bunker.

"Marines just sitting here taking it like dopes."

"Yeah, that's what gets me."

"That's not the way we're supposed to —"

"We're supposed to be hard chargers, man, we're supposed to go out and get 'em."

"If they pulled a good Search and Destroy, we could clear them away." (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 42:49 - 43:00)

This last statement about a Search and Destroy (S & D) around Khe Sanh demonstrates that the speaker does not see the whole picture. The Khe Sanh force was below of the military strength needed to be able to use S & D tactics to eradicate the NVA force surrounding Khe Sanh. The Khe Sanh introduction graphic displayed, "January 21, 1968, Almost 40,000 NVA regulars have surrounded 5,600 Marines" (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 38:10 -38:16). Seven to one odds in an S & D mission would be a commander's nightmare. Consider that the enemy surrounding Khe Sanh had the strategic advantages of cover, concealment, and being *dug in*. However, the idea that the Marine had the confidence to want to conduct an S & D operation in that environment indicates that U.S. troops were not failing as much as the media wants the public to believe. Defeated troops do not have that kind of confidence.

There are several instances of reliance on the use of hearsay and unsubstantiated information. In these cases, however the source is known, because it is shown. The source in all but two occurrences is the grunt in the field and the author of the letter. Grunts' limitations as sources about a battle's strategic plan were previously demonstrated. Sp4 Phillip Arterbury states,

Dear Mom, it's official. Would you believe a silver star? But I'm no hero. Heroes are for the late show. I was just trying to help a couple of guys who needed help. That's all. The real heroes over here are the guys trying to do their job and get home from *this useless war*. Love, Phil. (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 50:46 – 51:11, emphasis added)

Specialist Arterbury's letter is a valid reflection of what a grunt might feel. Yet, Phil is not qualified to determine if the War is useless. His unsubstantiated phrase "this useless war" is also left hanging for 3 seconds before the narrator adds, "Love Phil". This hesitation is long enough for the words to sink in as the point of the statement.

In the next example, narrated over a clip of four grunts carrying a body in a poncho, the letter writer is unidentified except for his first name, Phil. Perhaps it is the same Phil as the previous letter.

My Platoon leader Gary Scott and one other man were killed. I was very close to Lieutenant Scott. I was his radio operator. [B-roll changes to an identified still photo of Lt. Scott.] He was a fine man, a good leader. Yet, he could not understand the whys of this conflict, which killed him. [B-roll fades to a clip of a twenty-one-gun salute at a nine-man memorial service.] They will say he died for his country, keeping it free. Negative. [*Taps* is playing at the memorial service.] This country has no gain that I can see, Dad. We're fighting, dying for a people who resent our being over here.

... This war is all wrong. Your loving son, Phil. (Couturié and Bird, 2006, 51:56 – 53:14)

Several examples of the use of hesitation to emphasize points are contained in this clip. Eleven seconds follow "Yet, he could not understand the whys of this conflict, which killed him." Two to three seconds follow "people who resent our being over here" and five seconds following "This war is all wrong."

Another subtle trick is uncovered when one notes that Phil stated Lt. "Scott and one other man were killed." Yet, the B-roll showed a memorial service with *nine* sets of rifles, boots and helmets, indicating nine deaths instead of two. This could be because the producers could not locate a clip of a two-man memorial service or it may be a conscious effort to make the event more emotionally charged.

It is reasonable to believe that as Lt. Scott's radio operator, Phil had conversations with Lt. Scott during lulls in the fighting. The problem with Phil's statement is that we have no way to discover which "whys" Lt. Scott discussed. Was it, why are we fighting the Vietnam War or why do we have to go down the right trail instead of the left trail? Why do we have to stay out in the field another night or why did the mess hall fail to send chocolate milk on the chopper with the hot chow? The producers made choices, first to use the statement and then to frame it as if Lt. Scott was an antiwar advocate. Scott was an officer and officers are not drafted, they volunteer. He chose to serve in a combat occupation (MOS). Framing this officer as being opposed to the War disrespects his memory. The letter was written about him, not by him.

With reference to Phil's claim about attitudes of the population, there are no means at this time, to assess whether the people in his AO resented U.S. forces being there. Similarly, functioning as a platoon radio operator, he could not accurately assess whether a majority of the South Vietnamese population resented U.S. forces being there. Although we can, in a general way, measure public sentiment through the writings of some significant South Vietnamese players. For example, Nguyen Cao Ky demonstrates the sentiment of his people, when he relates how thousands of Vietnamese draft dodgers and young men with phony draft exemptions responded positively to his radio appeal to join the ranks of those fighting the communists, during the Tet offensive of 1968 (Ky & Wolf, 2002, p. 206). Ky also states,

After Tet, it was plain that the Vietcong were mistaken, that as much as people found fault with their government, they did not want to live under communism. The foreign [to him this includes the U.S.] press should have been able to see what I had said from the beginning: The Vietcong were not holy redeemers; they were not popular among South Vietnamese people. They were not the wholesome nationalists they pretended to be. (Ky & Wolf, 2002, p. 284)

Ky was so sure of the popular support of the people that while he was Prime Minister, he told President Nixon in all seriousness, "Let's challenge the communists in a general election for the presidency. North and South, the whole country" (Ky & Wolf, 2002, p. 285). Ky was not simply trying to impress President Nixon. He reasoned, "the people of South Vietnam know that they still have the cherished right to criticize us, even to insult us, but Communism would never tolerate that, and that is

why we would win in a free election tomorrow" (Ky, 2002, p. 178). Whose opinion about the hearts and minds of the people is more knowledgeable; a radio operator for a platoon in the field, or a man who, at different times, held the offices of Prime Minister. Premier and Vice President of South Vietnam?

Another issue with Phil's opinions is that they are presented in such a way as to leave the impression that his were the opinions of all combat soldiers in Vietnam. Notice also that the negative emotional appeal that surrounds the memorial service and the playing of *Taps* leaves the unsuspecting viewer's guard down to accept Phil's negative statements.

Two excellent examples of sandwiching are couched within a 1966 segment of NBC News.

Correspondent Edwin Newman transitions from a report on Vietnam into a report on Vietnam War protestors. The segment begins,

What we've just seen, men fighting for their lives in the jungles of South Vietnam is what has aroused such apprehension and debate throughout the world. War is brutal, and the reaction to it is strong. This week hundreds of people demonstrated against it. [The B-roll shows peace protestors with signs walking outside the iron fence surrounding the White House.] Others have voiced their concern by question and dissent. Public opinion polls indicate that the dissenters are in the minority, but their number is growing, [Newman's voice is positively inflected] and they are starting to take more positive actions. [The B-roll switches to a parade with uniformed majorettes] On Saturday, a march to show solidarity with American servicemen in Vietnam was held in New York City. The marchers carried American flags. Flags were hung from apartment house windows. [The camera returns to Newman] Against this background, the battle continues, and in it this week, 274

Americans were killed, 1,748 wounded, 18 are listed as missing. There is no end to the war in sight. (22:07 to 23:04)

Observe the antiwar framing in the opening statement of the report, with the use of the affecting phrases "aroused such apprehension and debate" and "war is brutal, and the reaction to it is strong." This lead-in enhances the credibility of the antiwar protesters that are the subject of the news segment. The neutrally framed statement that these protesters are not the majority is quickly followed by the

optimistically framed message that "their number is growing and they are starting to take more positive actions." The short reference to the opposing point of view is sandwiched between the two lengthy antiwar messages.

Next, a neutrally framed report on a pro-war demonstration is placed in between the antiwar demonstrators and that week's U.S. casualty reports. Compare the emotional tone of the antiwar reports, and the neutral *straight facts* reporting about the pro-war demonstrators. "The marchers carried American flags" and "flags were hung from apartment house windows." These are subtle differences. Yet, subtle differences can affectively impact the subconscious of an unsuspecting viewer relaxing in his easy chair following a hard day's work.

Also note the phrasing of the purpose of the parade was not expressed as support for the War, but of solidarity with the troops. Again, information that provides an opposing viewpoint was modified in a manner that reduces the support for that point of view. Finally, in the closing statement, "there is no end to the war in sight" (22:59 to 23:04) is placed in the trailing position as if it were the conclusion to the article, yet nothing *in the story* supports that conclusion. This statement is also an example of directive language. The audience is linguistically directed to believe this assumption.

One might argue that the film producers did not produce this particular news piece. The framing of the report and wording of the closing statement were the work, decision and responsibility of Edwin Newman and/or NBC News. Yet, of thousands of news reports on the Vietnam War, the producers chose *this* report to include in their film. The decision to include the report, in its aired form, was theirs and thus they tacitly accept the responsibility for the framing and the wording. Similarly, they chose which letters to include and how to frame them. Even though they indicate a denial of responsibility in their opening disclaimer, the responsibility for the framing and choice of content within the film is undeniably theirs.

The most prevalent tactic used within the film is the use of emotional appeal. This becomes particularly significant when emotional appeal is used to replace empirical evidence. There are myriad of instances of the use of emotional appeal within the film, yet they offered no actual facts to support their agenda of the uselessness of the War.

An outstanding example of the way the filmmakers use emotion is the story of Don Jacques. Following Jacques' description of a lost comrade in a letter, the narrator adds,

But there are 75 others to worry about and I snapped myself out of my cheap civilian bull... and got back to work. You learn every day the mistakes you're making and the biggest one is to get too attached to any one person, over here at least. [The B-roll shows troops carrying litters to a helicopter for evacuation] Things happen too quickly. One minute he's fine and the next he's not. (43:49 to 44:55)

This leads into a clip that is held for three seconds of about 30 bodies in body bags waiting for evacuation. Then over a slowly tightening still photo labeled "2 Lt. Donald Jacques" (44:58).

But old Don is pretty lucky. Knock on wood. And home I'll come I'm sure. Maybe after we wipe them up here we can go to the bargaining tables and we can come home—all of us. Love, Don. (44:58 to 45:11)

At that point, the camera is tight on the still of Don captioned with his name. This fades to a graphic,

Days later, Jacques led the first patrol outside Khe Sanh. [The graphic fades to] He and 22 of his

men were killed in an ambush. [Sad music fades in while the background transitions to a headshot

of what appears to be an actual picture of the dead Lt. Jacques. The camera then pulls back to a full

view of the same still photo of Lt. Jacques being dragged, ostensibly back to the base camp, or to a

medevac helicopter by struggling marines] Don Jacques was 20 years old. (45:11 to 45:33)

Note how emotion is evoked by the images, music and linguistics contained in the graphics. Also observe how the mother in the next example accesses the viewer's emotion.

The final letter of the film is a heart wrenching cry from a mother to her deceased son, "They tell me the letters I write to you and leave here at this memorial are waking others up to the fact that there is still much pain left from the Vietnam War" (1:18:50 to 1:19:02). This idea of pain and suffering caused by the War seems to convey the useless war ideology expressed by this film.

It is argued that all these letters simply contain opinions of the soldiers writing them. Certainly, that is true. However, it is not so much the opinions expressed that is the problem. Rather it is the

selection of opinions that were chosen and the way they were presented. Antiwar opinions predominate and the statements about the war are presented as if they are generalizable. None of the statements are contested. Yet, there are instances where their accuracy is questionable at best.

Examining the Ideology

Sonya Foss (2009) explains, "in the final step of ideological criticism, your [mission] is to discover how the ideology ... functions for the audience who encounters it and the consequences it has for the world" (p. 220). As part of the process, she believes it is necessary to determine if the artifact "present[s] a view of a condition that is naïve, misguided, or inappropriate for some reason" (p. 220). Refer to the piece on Don Jacques. Observe how the viewer is grabbed by hearing the words of a dead man while looking at the man's morbid picture. The emotion becomes a call to action to feel this underlying message concerning the uselessness of the War. The subtlety with which that message is delivered allows the viewer to feel he or she thought of the idea. It creates ownership of the message within the viewer. The unsubstantiated implication becomes a twisted truth, a public knowledge.

Now notice the mother's letter about the pain and suffering caused by the War. Notice also that they failed to reflect on the amount of pain that was and is still being inflicted upon the Vietnam Veterans and their families by the unfeeling, derogatory and often rude coverage of Vietnam Veterans, both during and after the War, by the U.S. media. If any minority group were disparaged in the manner Vietnam Veterans have been disparaged by the media, especially by television and the film industries, the perpetrators would have been shamed out of their trade.

In all fairness, the director placed a couple of letters in the beginning of the film that have comments that may be seen as positive comments about reasons for U.S involvement in the War.

Drawing in close on a still of 3 GI's each holding a case of Budweiser beer a letter narrator reads, "I've read where officers were quoted as saying, 'this is the only war we've got. Don't knock it'" (17:01 to 17:09). Or is this a subtly negative comment about the veterans they claim to be honoring.

Another comment was closer to an actual positive remark. Yet, just as the comments about the uselessness of the war, this comment is framed to lack substance.

Dear Uncle and Aunt, some people wonder why Americans are in Vietnam. The way I see the situation, I would rather fight and stop Communists in South Vietnam than Kincaid, Humboldt, Blue Mound or Kansas City. And that's just about what it would end up being. The price for victory is high when life cannot be replaced. But I think it is far better to fight and die for freedom, than to live under oppression and fear. Your nephew, Jack. (7:49 to 8:16)

Note that this letter is placed early in the film so contradictory statements throughout the rest of the film drown it out. There is a semblance of fairness in that the producers did not support this pro-war statement any more than they supported any of the antiwar statements.

Yet, there is documental support for the philosophy encompassed in Jack's statement. To examine that support we must understand why we fought the Vietnam War. This necessitates viewing the world from a 1950/1960's perspective. Following World War II, there was a political vacuum created by the demise of colonialism. New countries were formed and former countries were revived from former European colonies. However, these former colonies lacked the resources to defend themselves against communism. "The Soviets were skillful with their propaganda and lavish with their money" (Nixon, 1978, p. 136). The Falling Domino Theory was the western catch phrase for the premise behind the Cold War. President Dwight D. (Ike) Eisenhower at a 1954 news conference explained this principle. "You have a row of dominos set up; you knock over the first one, and what will happen to the last one is the certainty that it will go over very quickly" (Lyon, 1974, p. 638). John Foster Dulles (1954) warned, "Communist control of Southeast Asia would carry a grave threat to the Philippines, Australia and New Zealand, with whom we have treaties of mutual assistance, the so-called 'offshore island chain'" (pp. 38-39) would be strategically endangered. Concerning this potential loss of Indochina, Ike cautioned,

It takes away, in its economic aspects, that region that Japan must have as a trading area or Japan, in turn, will have only one place in the world to go—that is, toward the Communist areas in order to live. So, the possible consequences ... are just incalculable to the free world. (Lyon, 1974, p. 639)

All the presidential administrations from Truman to Nixon were highly concerned about suppressing communist expansion.

President Nixon (1980) referred to both Vietnam and Korea as being battles within what he called World War III, which was the fight against communist expansion. In his support for his point of view he warned,

The Soviet armies that followed the retreating Germans into Eastern Europe stayed and the Iron Curtain clanged down across the continent. Locked under communist rule were the people of [eleven nations]. It was a coldly calculated grab on Stalin's part; as he later commented, "the reason why there is now no Communist government in Paris is because in the circumstances of 1945 the Soviet Army was not able to reach French soil." (p. 19)

This is a clear Soviet statement citing evidence that supports the administrations' concerns about communist aggression.

By the early 1950's, at least 20 European nations were amalgamated into the Soviet sphere. In 1949, China fell to the Communist Mao Zedong, encompassing another "450 million of its people into Communist dictatorship" (Lyon, 1974, pp. 638-639). Stalin and the Soviets, along with Mao and the Chinese, openly endorsed national liberation movements. Robert S. McNamara (1995) states,

Mao Zedong ... controlled China since 1949 and had fought with North Korea against the West; Nikita Khrushchev had predicted Communist victory through 'wars of national liberation' in the Third World. ... [and] turned up the heat in West Berlin. And [in 1959] Castro had transformed Cuba into a Communist beachhead in our hemisphere. We felt beset and at risk. This fear underlay our involvement in Vietnam. (p. 30)

The Soviets and the Chinese, seeking to increase their independent influence, competed to assist national liberation movements with weapons, food and training. "To Mao, national liberation movements in the Third World were the most important potential allies in the coalition that he wanted to establish" (Zhai, 2000, p. 146). "Chinese Defense Minister Marshal Lin Biao, on September 2, 1965 ... called on the 'rural areas of the world' (developing countries) to take over 'the cities' (industrialized nations) through militant

local revolutions" (McNamara, 1995, p. 215, parentheses in original). "Lin's remarks seemed to us a clear expression of the basis for the domino theory" (McNamara, 1995, p. 215). Dulles (1954) explains, "Southeast Asia is the so-called 'rice bowl' which helps to feed the densely populated region that extends from India to Japan. It is rich in many raw materials, such as tin, oil, rubber, and iron ore" (p. 38). Southeast Asia is vital to the sea and air routes between the Pacific and South Asia. The area's key U. S. naval and air bases were also a major concern.

Containing world communism was a logical American foreign policy decision. Foreign policy became complicated by the communist development of nuclear weapons. The Soviets developed the atomic bomb in 1949 and the hydrogen bomb in 1955. In 1957, the orbiting of the Sputnik satellite demonstrated the Soviets had the technology to create a delivery system to reach the United States with their nuclear weapons. The Chinese coerced the Soviets into providing them with the technology to develop their own nukes, (Jung & Halliday, 1995, p. 413-414), and they became a nuclear power on October 16, 1964, (Robbins, 2010, p. 25). With the proliferation of nuclear weapons, any direct confrontation between the superpowers threatened the survival of the human race. Since direct confrontation was so dangerous, the communists began to seek expansion through the use of, and/or control of, nationalist movements within the colonial breakaway nations.

There were many dominos the communists were trying to topple. Jung and Halliday (1995) explain, "the Japanese Communists had gone to Peking in spring 1950 to prepare for armed action in coordination with the Korean War" (p. 370). Mao was also training insurgents from the Philippines, Malaya, and from South East Asia, Cambodia, Burma and Vietnam, (Jung and Halliday, 1995, pp. 370-371). John Foster Dulles (1954) explained the communist system of expansion in his March 29, 1954 speech to the Overseas Press Club in New York.

The Communists have a regular line. ... The scheme is to whip up the spirit of nationalism so that it becomes violent. That is done by professional agitators. Then the violence is enlarged by Communist military and technical leadership and the provision of military supplies. In these ways,

international communism gets a stranglehold on the people and it uses that power to "amalgamate" the peoples in the Soviet orbit. (pp. 37-39)

The evidence mounts against any benign designs on the part of the communists in the middle of the twentieth century.

The U.S. Commanders in Chief believed in the domino theory. President Nixon (1978) acknowledged,

Despite the most nobly ringing [Communist] rhetoric, the pattern was tragically the same: as soon as the Communists came to power, they destroyed all opposition. ... Never once in my career have I doubted that the Communists meant it when they say that their goal is to bring the world under Communist control. (pp. 343-344)

President Johnson (1971) demonstrated his belief in the domino theory when he stated, "The evidence before me as President confirmed the previous assessments of President Eisenhower and of President Kennedy" (p. 151). Notice specifically that the statement indicates that as President he received information that was not previously available to him. In other words, the government had information unavailable to the press or the general public. NBC News anchor, David Brinkley asked JFK if he had doubts about the domino theory, Kennedy replied candidly, "No, I believe it" (McNamara, 1995, p. 64). Kennedy (1967) speaking about the communists declared, "We cannot negotiate with those who say, 'What's mine is mine and what's yours is negotiable'" (p. 31). Just like dealing with any bully, "the only way to deal with Communists is to stand up against them. ... Fear is the primary weapon of Communists" (Nixon, 1978, p. 131). Many today scoff at the Domino Theory. But, the reality of the Domino Theory is that in the 50's and 60's the decision-makers believed it and foreign policy was based on its premise.

We were in Vietnam because the leaders of both the Soviet and Chinese Communist parties were trying to take over the world. Many of their leaders proclaimed it. The political, military and industrial machines of both countries were geared to that goal. Their leaders believed they would succeed. U.S.

policy makers in both the Democratic and Republican parties, from President Truman to President Reagan believed the communists could succeed if the U.S. failed to take action.

If the Domino Theory was false, then why did President Ford suffer the displeasure of watching the dominos in Southeast Asia fall just as President Eisenhower predicted in 1954? Cambodia and Laos fell in 1975, the same year as the fall of Saigon, (Kissinger, 2003, p. 446). Technically Phnom Penh fell thirteen days before Saigon, (Kissinger, 2003, p. 530). The only Indochinese domino to survive communist aggression was Thailand. Fortunately for the free world, Vietnam lost much of its global significance with the normalizing of relations between the U.S. and China, and the U.S. and the Soviet Union, beginning with President Nixon's visits to both countries (Zhai, 2000, p. 201).

Another reason for U.S. involvement in Vietnam was the people of South Vietnam. The Vietnamese voted with their feet when they left North Vietnam in droves in 1954. And again multitudes left Vietnam altogether in 1975. As Ky stated, the majority did not want to live under communist rule. Everywhere around the world that the communists were in power, they had to close their borders to keep the people from escaping.

"The North Vietnamese were a particularly ruthless and cruel enemy, but the American media concentrated primarily on the failings and frailties of the South Vietnamese, or of our own forces" (Nixon, 1978, p. 350). Nixon's landslide election victory in 1972, despite all the Nixon bashing in the media, demonstrates how out of touch the media was with the opinions of mainstream Americans. For example,

A Harris poll found in early September [1972] that 55 percent supported continued heavy bombing of North Vietnam, 64 percent supported the mining of Haiphong Harbor, and 74 percent thought it was important that South Vietnam not fall into the hands of the Communists. (Nixon, 1978, p. 689) Comparing public opinion poles against media rhetoric to determine the media's connection to mainstream America may be a good topic for future research.

Vietnam was just one conflict in the overall goal of containing Communism. The U. S. goals for the Cold War were the containment of Communism and the prevention of nuclear war. These overriding

goals affected all foreign policy decisions in some degree or another. Even the much-touted promotion of democracy became subordinate, as demonstrated by the U. S. backing of the Diem regime. So, we can see that one element of the policy for Vietnam was not to defeat the communists, but rather to contain them.

Part of the objective in Vietnam was a negotiated settlement that gave the South Vietnamese a good chance of surviving against communist aggression from North Vietnam (DVR). The War dragged on several months after the U. S. and the DVR reached an agreement in order to negotiate South Vietnamese President Thieu's changes to the Paris Accords. Kissinger (2002) asked,

Were the changes significant enough to justify the anguish and bitterness of those last months of the war? Probably not for us; although most surely for Saigon, about whose survival the war had, after all, been fought. (p. 425)

In order to accomplish that objective, a strong and effective military establishment was necessary to maintain the South Vietnamese government.

That effective military establishment was indeed organized, but it took time and effort on several levels to accomplish this successfully. According to Ky (2002), the Vietnamization of the War began following the first inauguration of President Nixon. "By the end of 1970 we had added 400,000 to our services and had 1,100,000 men under arms" (p. 173) excluding U.S. and other Allied forces. The simple fact of multitudes of men under arms contains no guarantee of defensive success. Training, equipment, motivation and commitment are also required.

Vice President Ky (2002) relates that over "12,000 South Vietnamese officers went for advanced training to the Command and General Staff College at Fort Leavenworth" (p. 173). Training at the local level was provided by the addition of three hundred fifty, five-man teams of U.S. advisors, (p. 173). Motivation and commitment was provided through two means. First was the realization that the Americans were going to leave at some point in the not too distant future. Ky (2002) told Vice President Agnew "You know ... that public opinion will force you to pull American troops out of Vietnam" (p. 172). The second was built upon that realization of American withdrawal, combined with the discovery of mass graves, containing more than 6000ⁱ civilian bodies. Civilians, mostly government officials were

rounded up and massacred by the Communists during the Tet Offensive of 1968. These were not soldiers killed in battle. They were government officials and civilians who supported the Government of South Vietnam that were murdered. Cosmos (2007) states Communist "Party cadres assembled lists of government officials and supporters to be killed and kidnapped" (p. 29). North Vietnamese Colonel Bui Tin (1995) admits, "how many thousands nobody knows because they were buried in various places" (p. 62). These massacres were not exclusive to Hue City. Foreign Service Officer Douglas Pike (1969) reported,

Religious figures, schoolteachers, or simply people of integrity and honor ... are more likely to have the courage to stand up to the NLF when they come to their village and thus are most likely to be the first victims of NLF terror. ... Steadily, quietly, and with a systematic ruthlessness, the NLF in eight years wiped out virtually a whole class of Vietnamese villagers. Many villages today are depopulated of natural leaders. (p. 63)

Reporting on a 1973 visit to South Vietnam by author James Jones, Robbins (2010) quotes, "whatever else they accomplished, the Hue massacres effectively turned the bulk of the South Vietnamese against the Northern Communists" (p. 206). The South Vietnamese knew they faced a similar fate if the North Vietnamese obtained victory. The mass exodus of South Vietnamese loyalists during and for years following the fall of Saigon provides solid evidence of this sentiment. This fear provided the motivation that enabled the gradual U.S. combat troop withdrawal between 1969 and the summer of 1972. Motivated and trained South Vietnamese forces replaced U.S. forces as they demonstrated their ability to adequately function in their own Area of Operations. One must wonder why the U.S. media did not discover or reveal this significant change in Vietnamese public opinion.

Another reason that many believe the Vietnam War was useless was the sense that the War did not accomplish anything. President Nixon stated,

The War was reported battle by battle, but little or no sense of the underlying purpose of the fighting was conveyed. Eventually this contributed to the impression that we were fighting in

military and moral quicksand, rather than toward an important and worthwhile objective. (Nixon, 1978, p. 350)

What then was accomplished? Vietnam was not an isolated war. It was an integral piece of a much bigger event. President Nixon (1980) stated, "World War III began before World War II ended" (p. 17). The western world historians call the event the Cold War. Nixon (1980) quoted Stalin as saying "whoever occupies a territory also imposes on it his own social system. Everyone imposes his own system as far as his army can reach. It cannot be otherwise" (p. 17). Both foreign and domestic experts believe a great deal was accomplished by the U.S. involvement in the Vietnam War. In October 1969, while the American media sang songs of American defeat, Robert Thompson, the British guerilla war expert credited the war in Vietnam with the defeat of the communists in Malaya. American action strengthened the will of the non-communist Malaysian fighters. Thompson advised,

When it is all over, the War in Vietnam will undoubtedly prove to be one of the most decisive wars of this century and, in its influence, more far reaching than any other war of its type (Nixon, 1980, p. 96) [Thompson also] reported that [in October 1969] he was able to walk safely through many villages that had been under Vietcong control for years. He was so impressed with the progress that had been made that he thought we were in "a winning position" to conclude a just peace if we were willing to follow through with the efforts we were making. (Nixon, 1980, p. 107)

Thompson's report is another indication that significant progress was being made during the period following the 1968 Tet Offensive.

Association of Southeast Asia Nations (ASEAN) leaders credit the U.S. involvement in the Vietnam War with saving their own countries from falling to communism. According to McGovern, Westmoreland, Luttwak & McCormick (1990) U.S. involvement in Vietnam saved them because we held the line for 10 years. This allowed them to grow strong enough to remain non-communist (p. 50). Wars of national liberation began to wind down after Vietnam because the communists discovered that their aggression was too costly. The normalization of relations with the communist countries was facilitated

because Nixon demonstrated in Vietnam our determination to prevent communist world domination.

Soviet Communism ultimately failed. Chinese Communism is fading away as capitalism booms in China.

It is easy to believe that the War is useless if one believes that the Allies were losing the War.

Antiwar activists may argue that if you are losing there is no reason to continue to fight. This line of reasoning may be at the heart of the *unwinnable useless war* rhetoric. Colonel Ron Roy USMC recalled,

I was beginning to understand after I heard Walter Cronkite stand up about a half a mile from where I was fighting and to call the victories we were achieving on the ground defeats. I knew that was a lie, and my attention began to turn to politicians and people in the media who were lying about what we were doing and were making our job more difficult. (Evans, G. & Pinkerton, K. A., 2010, 10:07-10:32)

Clearly there was a discrepancy between the perceptions of the media and that of the men who actually did the fighting.

One major problem with the members of the media may have been that for the most part, they were not military men and therefore did not have an adequate perspective to decipher events. Therefore it was easy for them to misread victories and believe the spin masters within the DRV. Evidence that would be obvious to a military man they discounted and called spin doctoring.

Declassified documents from both the United States and the People's Republic of China, as well as writings of the North and South Vietnamese, plus writings and documents from other players, reveal that the allies were *not* losing the Vietnam War. When the communists signed the Paris Accords, they did so because they were defeated. They feared being at war for another four years with Nixon in the White House. Referring to the October 12, 1972 negotiation session, Kissinger advised Nixon, "Le Duc Tho had presented a new proposal that met almost all our major requirements" (Nixon, 1978, p. 692). In a cable on October 21^{st,} General Haig told Henry Kissinger "We are dealing with fanatics who have been fighting for twenty-five years and have recently lost the cream of their manhood in the war" (Nixon, 1978, p. 699). Communist losses influenced their willingness to negotiate.

South Vietnam's military was finally organized into a cohesive and effective force. Hard earned ARVN victories during the 1972 Offensive demonstrated that with U. S. material and air support, the South Vietnamese could hold their own against the best armor supported armies the communists could place against them. Kissinger (2003) affirmed that the United States did not

... go through the agony of four years of war and searing negotiations simply to achieve a 'decent interval' for our withdrawal. ... We were convinced that Saigon was left strong enough to handle the enemy forces that remained in its country; that we would resist other violations of the agreement. ... We sought not an interval before collapse, but peace with honor. (p. 428)

The Nixon Administration felt they had achieved the Johnson Administration's objectives for escalating

Much like the Viet Minh felt betrayed by the results of the Geneva Accords of 1954, which they felt gave away half of what they won by defeating the French, many Vietnam Veterans, both American and South Vietnamese, as well as the South Vietnamese people, feel betrayed by the results of the War Powers Act, which gave away everything the Allies had won by 1973. The War Powers Act was an effectual statement to the North Vietnamese that we would not enforce the Paris Accords.

the War.

The turning point of the war was the 1968 Tet Offensive. K.W. Nolan (1996) states, "Hanoi, unable to win on the battlefield, achieved a psychological victory on the American home front because of irresponsible negative reporting of the Tet Offensive" (p. 119). The U.S. media ignored the evidence that the United States accomplished its objectives for becoming involved in the Vietnam War. U.S. combat forces prevented the fall of South Vietnam until the South Vietnamese were able to build a strong military capable of defending their own country. South Vietnamese forces demonstrated on the battlefield in 1972, they were capable of defeating the North Vietnamese aggressors and maintaining political stability with elected government officials. General Westmoreland (1967) addressed the State Department concerning the progress made by the South Vietnamese people,

In the midst of war, the South Vietnamese have in the past year held free elections and have turned out a larger percentage of the vote than we normally do in this country. The Viet Cong have tried

desperately to stop these elections by terror and intimidation. But the Vietnamese voted despite the Viet Cong efforts. This mass disregard of the Viet Cong initiatives killed the myth that the Viet Cong or the National Liberation Front speak for the people. (Westmoreland, 1967, p. 126)

The people themselves legitimized the government of South Vietnam.

Although the mainstream media ignored the evidence that the U.S. military defeated the communists on the battlefield, there were some correspondents who recognized the progress that was being made. Jack (Sandbag) Smith was a veteran of the battle at Ia Drang and an Emmy Award winning ABC news correspondent.

Smith said, "The networks have never given a complete picture of the war". With respect to Khe Sanh, Americans were never told about the bravery of South Vietnamese fighting by America's side, or that the "Viet Cong casualties were 100 times ours. We just showed pictures day after day of Americans getting the hell kicked out of them. That was enough to tear America apart". (Robbins, 2010, p. 250)

Similarly, they ignored the obvious fact that the last United States combat troops left Vietnam with a bona fide peace treaty two years, almost to the day, before the fall of Saigon. Therefore, when Saigon fell, the North Vietnamese defeated the South Vietnamese. They did not defeat American troops. The Nixon Administration signed a peace treaty with North Vietnam. "For many if not most Americans the signing of the Paris Peace Accords had concluded the war" (Lee & Haynsworth, 2002, p. 79). The Communists, convinced by the War Powers Act and the fall of Nixon over the Watergate scandal, that the United States would no longer interfere, which we did not, launched a successful invasion of South Vietnam in 1975.

Conclusion

The U.S. press was in a position to discover the change of public and military sentiment in Vietnam. Yet, they were so caught up in their antiwar groupthink they either failed or refused to see it. Conrad and Poole (2005) wrote, "excessive cohesion may also lead groups to do everything they can to implement a foolish decision and to ignore or distort feedback indicating that their decision was unwise" (p. 298). And Knapp (2008) advises "Sometimes we believe something is true based on the sheer number

of other people who believe it" (p. 23). This is the effect of the type of reporting we observed in the Newman sandwiching example. The American press was so effective at convincing John Q. Public that we could not win the Vietnam War that everyone began to believe that everyone else believed it. The facts that disputed that sentiment were withheld, distorted or cleverly hidden from them through the use of the techniques discussed in this paper as well as other techniques that are good fodder for future research.

Rather than the current ideology, an alternative ideology about the Vietnam War could have been and should be developed, based on the myriad of evidence. This ideology is: As part of the Cold War and in order to contain the spread of communism, the United States became involved in the civil war between the democratic South and the Vietcong supported by the communist North Vietnamese. When the Johnson Administration discovered that the communists were defeating the South Vietnamese in 1964, they decided to use U.S. combat forces to prevent the fall of South Vietnam. The objective was to shore up the South's military forces until they could be trained and supplied sufficiently to be able to defend their country on their own.

Between 1965 and 1971, Army of the Republic of Vietnam (ARVN) steadily grew in both numbers and skills, while facing increasing infiltration from the Soviet and Chinese Communist backed North Vietnamese forces. Based on ARVN progress, President Nixon began the withdrawal of U.S. combat forces in 1969. In the spring of 1972, the ARVN successfully repelled a massive Communist invasion, where the communists used more heavy equipment such as tanks and artillery, than were used during the Tet Offensive of 1968. This action convinced the Nixon Administration and the Joint Chiefs that the ARVN was ready to stand on its own against the North. As the North became convinced that they could not win against the South while supported by the Americans, they capitulated to most of the U.S. demands. A peace treaty was signed and the withdrawal of U.S. combat forces was completed by April 30, 1973. On January 28, 1973, the *New York Times* declared the War to be over, (p. 1). The U.S. objective for entering the War was accomplished and U.S. military involvement by combat forces ended.

Many films about Vietnam have been produced since the War ended. The predominant stereotype of the Vietnam Veteran derived from these films as well as from media coverage is a negative one. There are the revengeful soldiers of fortune found in the *Rambo* movie series or the drug crazed rapists and cover-up artists of *Casualties of War*. Most of the films that enforce the negative stereotype are fiction or occasionally fictionalized versions of actual events. *Dear America*, however, is a documentary. Couturié and Bird (2005) claim it to be "an authentic account of the Vietnam War from the actual letters of the men and women who served there" (back cover). This film takes advantage of the natural penchant people have toward stories about the things most familiar to them. Vietnam Veterans are thus a large part of the target audience. It is important to recognize the producers are delivering a message that can have a negative psychological effect on their audience. This examination can help veterans reevaluate the messages delivered by the media and help filmmakers in the future to be more aware of the harmful ramifications of the way in which they portray their subjects.

Couturie and Bird (2005) also declare that, "Dear America is a living tribute to them all" (back cover). While claiming to honor the veterans the film portrays, it subtly disrespects these veterans by using their raw emotions, expressed during the worst time of their lives, to perpetuate the message that what they did in Vietnam was useless and without honor, reason or purpose. For those combat veterans struggling to deal with guilt for the lives they took, the buddies they lost and the destruction that occurred while performing their duty, this ideology generates a cognitive dissonance that turns guilt into mental anguish. The film projects a façade of evidence that there was no justification for their actions. For those who lost family members, friends and fellow soldiers, the ideology of Vietnam being a useless war intensifies the pain of that loss. It is not surprising that Vietnam Veterans suffered a high rate of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. Robbins (2010) relates the words of Lt. Col. David Funk, "The media got Tet wrong and they're getting Iraq wrong" (p. 11). One can only hope that the Iraq Veterans do not find a sense of the same lack of purpose to their efforts, based on the media's attention to the government's failure to discover weapons of mass destruction in Iraq.

References

- 4/9 Infantry Manchu (Vietnam) Association (2006) *U.S. Military Casualties In Southeast Asia* (chart). Found at http://thewall-usa.com/summary.asp#year
- Arnett, P. (Winter 1999 Spring 2000). Reflections on Vietnam, the press and America. *Nieman Reports*, 191-193. Retrieved from http://search.ebscohost.com.
- Bailey, G. (1976). Interpretive Reporting of the Vietnam War by Anchormen. *Journalism Quarterly*, 53(2), 319-324. Retrieved from http://search.ebscohost.com.
- Brinkley, D. (2012). Cronkite. New York: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Buckley, T., (1968, January 1). Truce disrupted by sharp battle. The New York Times, p. A1.
- Buckley, T., (1968, January 4). North Vietnamese attack two U.S. artillery bases. *The New York Times*, pp. A1, A3.
- Bui Tin, (1995). Following Ho Chi Minh: The Memoirs of a North Vietnamese Colonel, Stowe, J. and Do Van (Trans.) Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Conrad, C., & Poole, M. S., (2005). *Strategic Organizational Communication in a Global Economy*, 6th

 ed. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Cosmos, G. A. (2007). MACV: The Joint Command in the years of withdrawal, 1968-1973, (United States Army in Vietnam). Washington D.C.: Center of Military History, United States Army.
- Couturie, B. and Bird T. (Producers) & Couturie, B. (Director). (2005). *Dear America: Letters home from Vietnam* [motion picture on DVD]. USA: Home Box Office, Inc.
- Covert, T.J.A., Washburn, P.C. (2009). Media Bias? A comparative study of Time, Newsweek, the

 National Review, and the progressive coverage of domestic social issues, 1975-2000. Lanham,

 MD: Lexington Books.
- Cronkite, W., (1996). A Reporter's Life. New York: Ballantine Books.
- Downey, F. G., (1995, December). NVA Surprise at Fire Support Base Mary Ann, *Vietnam Vol.* 8(4), 46-53.

- Duiker, W. J., (1994). *U.S. Containment Policy and the Conflict in Indochina*. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.
- Dulles, J. F., (April 12, 1954). Department of State Bulletin: America Should Consider Direct Military
 Intervention in Indochina, Department of State Press Release No. 165, see Department of State
 Bulletin, April 12, 1954 pp. 539-542. Washington D.C: U.S. Department of State.
- Foss, S. K., (2009). *Rhetorical Criticism: Exploration and Practice*, 4th Ed. Long Grove, IL: Waveland Press, Inc.
- Franks, T. and McConnell, M., (2004). *American Soldier*. New York: ReganBooks. (Note: space is omitted by the publisher)
- Halberstam, D. (1988). The Making of a Quagmire: America and Vietnam during the Kennedy era, revised edition. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.
- Harriman, R., & Lucaites, J. (2003). Public identity and collective memory in U.S. iconic photography:

 The image of "Accidental Napalm". *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 20(1), 35-66.

 Retrieved from Communication & Mass Media Complete database.
- Johnson, L. B. (1971). *The Vantage Point: Perspectives of the Presidency, 1963-1969*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Jones, H., (2003). Death of a generation: How the assassinations of Diem and JFK prolonged the Vietnam War. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Jung, C., Halliday, J. (2005). Mao: The unknown story. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Kennedy, J. F. (1967), Lewis, E. & Rhodes, R. (Eds.). *John F. Kennedy: Words to remember*. USA: Hallmark Cards, Incorporated.
- Kissinger, H. (2003). Ending the Vietnam War: A History of America's involvement in and extrication from the Vietnam War. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Knapp, M. L. (2008). Lying and Deception in Human Interaction. Boston: Pearson Education Inc.
- Kuypers, J. A., (2006), *Bush's war: Media bias and justifications for war in a terrorist age*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield Publishers, Inc.

- Ky, N. C. (2002). How We Lost the Vietnam War. New York: Cooper Square Press.
- Ky, N. C. & Wolf, M. J., (2002), *Buddha's child: My fight to save Vietnam*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press.
- Lee, J. E. & Haynsworth, H. C. (2002). *Nixon, Ford and the Abandonment of South Vietnam*. Jefferson, North Carolina: McFarland and Company, Publishers.
- Lyon, P., (1974). Eisenhower: Portrait of the hero. Boston: Little, Brown & Co.
- McGovern, G. S. Westmoreland, W. C., Luttwak E. N. & McCormick, T. J. (1990) Hearden, P. J., (Ed.). Vietnam: Four American perspectives. West Lafayette, Indiana: Purdue University Press
- McNamara, R. S., (1995). In Retrospect: The tragedy and lessons of Vietnam. New York: Times Books.
- Nixon, R. M. (1978), The Memoirs of Richard Nixon. Grosset and Dunlap, New York.
- Nixon, R. M., (1980). The Real War. NY: Warner Books Inc.
- Nolan, K. W., (1996). The Battle for Saigon: Tet 1968. New York: Pocket Books.
- Pike, D. (1969). War, Peace and the Viet Cong. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The M.I.T. Press.
- Evans, G. (Executive Producer) and Pinkerton, K. A. (Producer) (2010) Meyerhoffer, M. (Interviewer), Pinkerton, K. A., & Iwaschuk, G. (Editors)., *Vietnam War stories*, Episode 7. Creation Films, LLC. Minneapolis: Mill Creek Entertainment, Inc.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010). This Time We Win: Revisiting the Tet offensive. New York: Encounter Books.
- Russo, F. (1971). A study of bias in TV coverage of the Vietnam War: 1969 and 1970. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 35(4), 539-543. Retrieved from http://search.ebscohost.com
- Tallman, G., & McKerns, J. (2000). Press Mess: David Halberstam, the Buddhist Crisis, and U.S. Policy in Vietnam, 1963. *Journalism & Communication Monographs*, 2(3), 109-153. Retrieved from Communication & Mass Media Complete database.
- The Society of Professional Journalists (1996) *Code of Ethics*. Found 7/29/13 at http://www.spj.org/ethicscode.asp
- The War in Indochina: Who's ahead now? (1972, October 16). Newsweek Magazine.
- The War Ends (1973, January 28). The New York Times, p. 1.

- Thomas, H., (2004). Watchdogs of Democracy? The Waning Washington press corps and how it has failed the public. New York: A Lisa Drew Book/Scribner.
- War Experiences Recapitulation Committee of the High-Level Military Institute, (1980) Joint

 Publications Research Service (trans.) Doc. No. 80968 (1982). Vietnam: The Anti-U.S. Resistance

 War for National Salvation, 1954-1975: Military Events. Hanoi: People's Army Publishing

 House.
- Westmoreland, W. C. (December 11, 1967) The United States is winning the war in Vietnam, *Department of State Bulletin*. Washington, D.C: United States Department of State.
- Zhai, Q., (2000), *China and The Vietnam Wars*, 1950-1975. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Smokescreens, Lies and Deceptions: The Media and the Vietnam Veteran

Scene/ Time code	Dialogue	Action/Description
1/00:00:00	Walter Cronkite: " I found very few people out there who really believe Khe Sanh could be held if the North Vietnamese are	INT TV: A tight shot on the screen of an old fashion Television (TV) with Walter Cronkite speaking.
00:00:08	determined to take it"ii.	A beer bottle crashes thru the TV screen.
00:00:11		Graphic: "Many characters and the individual stories are fiction, but the events portrayed are based on historical records."
00:00:18		End the graphic.
00:00:19		Fade from the smashed TV to the glowing eyes at 00:00:01 of scene 3.
00:00:20		Graphic: "Post Traumatic Stress Disorder is a real psychological disorder and has a real affect on combat veterans of all wars."
00:00:29		End the graphic.
00:00:31 1/		FTB
2/		INT Living Room: The living room is approximately sixteen feet by twelve feet. A couch with an end table sits against one wall, opposite from and facing the broken television from scene one. The television is in a corner that is stage left but opposite the couch. There is broken glass scattered in front of the television. The kitchen door is stage left of the couch. A

		hallway that leads to the unseen bedrooms is <i>stage right</i> . A third door leading outside faces the couch near the center of the wall.
00:00:00 00:00:02	JOHNNY: "Aw come on man. You gotta be BS-ing me. If they could have overrun it they would have done it!"	Johnny & Bill are sitting on the couch Sue appears at the kitchen door and first looks at Johnny. She then scans the room for the source of the noise. She is horrified when
00:00:07	BILL: "What? What'd you do that for man? Now we got no TV?" SUE: What the Johnny	she spots the TV. Johnny and Sue's conversation occurs as if Bill is not speaking
	Johnny: That Crankcase fellow. Sue: What about him? Why'd you smash our TV?	until the action reaches the point where they tell him to shut up.
00:00:13	Bill: That's the last beer man. Johnny: Well, did you hear what	Johnny jumps up from his seat, pointing at the TV.
	that idiot said? Bill: What? Sue: Who?	Bill remains on the couch. His eyes and head turn to follow the person who is speaking.
	Johnny: Come on, man! We've been stomping the VC since we got there ⁱⁱⁱ .	Bill is looking for an opening to enter the conversation.
	Bill: What? What are you talking about?"	enter the conversation.
	Sue: What? Who are you talking about? Johnny: Cronkite man, Cronkite He's saying we're losing He's	
	saying they could take Khe Sanh	

	any time they want.	
00:00:27	Sue: You smashed our TV cause what?	Sue gestures at the TV.
	Bill: You smashed our last beer cause what?	
00:00:32	Johnny: It just drives me nuts Cronkite doesn't have a clue ^{iv} man! Where's he getting this crap?	Johnny paces back and forth between the couch and the door.
	Sue: Say what?	
	Bill: That was the last beer man. And the TV	Bill speaks more to himself this time.
	Johnny: If they can take it anytime why don't they? you know	Johnny is still pacing.
	Bill: Our last beer	
	Sue: Take what?	
	Johnny: And his stalemate ^v crap about the Tet Offensive	
	Bill: The last beer	
	Sue: Yea sure uh what about it?	Sue takes a couple hesitant steps toward Johnny, but she holds back
	Bill: But that was our last	as he is still pacing angrily.
00:00:56	Johnny & Sue: Shut up!	Both Johnny and Sue shout at Bill.
	Bill: But	
	Sue: If you want more beer go get some	Sue is speaking to Bill.
	Sue: Now what were you	Then she turns to talk to Johnny.
	Bill: But I'm broke	Bill interrupts Sue.

	Sue: saying	Sue looks disgustingly at Bill and then reaches into the pocket of her apron. She removes a small wad of bills, peels off a couple of ones and hands them to Bill.
00:01:10	Sue: Now, get outta here.	Sue speaks sharply to Bill who stands still at first. Then he walks hesitantly toward the door.
	Sue: What were you saying?	Sue speaks to Johnny.
	Bill: But I got no wheels.	Bill stops and turns to Johnny.
00:01:14	Sue & Johnny: Walk!	Both Johnny and Sue turn toward Bill and shout at him.
	Bill: But it's a long	Sue glares at Bill and points to the outside door. Johnny clenches his fist and starts toward Bill to hit him. Bill dashes out the door slamming it behind him. Sue grabs Johnny's arm. He shakes her off.
		After a few moments, Sue moves to Johnny, taking both his hands in hers. Johnny seems to calm down a little from her touch.
00:01:34		Sue walks Johnny slowly to the couch. Nodding her head affirmatively, she sits down. She tries to pull him onto the couch next to her. He is reluctant and pulls back a little, but does not let go. She releases her right hand and pats the couch next to her. He hesitates. She pats the couch again. He nods, mouthing an "okay" and sits on the couch next to her, facing the kitchen door. She turns to face him crossing her legs as she turns.

00:01:55 | Sue: Now what are you talking

about?

Johnny: The Tet offensive. It's ... it's like these news reporters say it's a loss. But it's a total victory vi.

Sue: Ok now, tell me about it.

Johnny: Well they threw everything they had at us, right?

Sue: OK.

Johnny: Ok then, we basically

kicked their buttvii.

00:02:11 Sue: You mean like we took the embassy back in a few hours.

Johnny: Right.

Sue: But what about the

countryside?

Johnny: I wasn't in the rest of the country. But around Binh Long and Tay Ninh Provinces, things were always pretty hairy, but even when we were hurt bad, we hurt them worse.

Sue: Yeah?

Johnny: Yeah. Even before Tet, in Operation Junction City, we cleared out a lot of area. 2700 enemy killed. Their whole base camp was cleared out, destroyed. Their ammunition cashes, gangs of ammunition ... hundreds of tons of supplies iii... blown to bits ... Sure we took casualties ... but nothing like they did. ... And you see ... we knew about the Tet Offensive. The

Johnny slides one leg on the couch turning into her. Johnny is speaking calmly now.

Sue answers hesitantly.

Sue slides her leg onto the couch mimicking Johnny's movement, resting her knee against his.

Johnny lights a cigarette.

Johnny hesitates.

00:02:57	anchor men say it was a surprise attack but it wasn't we knew about it we just couldn'tix	Johnny seems to fade out. He stares into the distance.
00:03:00 2/ 00:03:31		FTB
3/		
00:00:00		EXT Dark night: A faint orange glow increases slightly revealing
00:00:13		the area around the eyes of a camouflaged soldier. His eyes move slowly from side to side in a continuous motion. (To be technically correct they should be moving in circles to enhance his night vision capability. Before using this movement, though, it needs to be screen tested to see whether or not it will play well on camera). The glow becomes faint again as the camera slowly widens just enough to reveal a poncho covering the soldier's mouth just below his nose. Smoke billows out of his nose into a slight breeze that carries the smoke away behind him. The soldier's eyes continue searching as the camera slowly widens to a medium shot, revealing that he is sitting on the ground under a poncho. The glow increases again and he dips his head slightly so that it becomes evident he is taking a drag off a cigarette. A pebble falls from above him, hitting his poncho and bouncing off. Instantly he looks up.
00:00:33		Long shot strait up: A GI is sitting high in a tree looking down. He flashes one open palm three times followed by a stern single finger

		snapping in the direction of a distant point to the side of the two soldiers.
00:00:38		Medium shot: The (smoking) soldier tucks his head inside the poncho and makes movements that indicate that he is stepping on his cigarette to put it out. He quickly removes the poncho. With a short quiet whistle, he alerts men that are camouflaged and hiding behind bushes.
00:00:51		The shot widens to reveal a line of men hiding on the near side of a line of bushes. The bushes conceal a trail that crosses the set horizontally. More brush and small trees are beyond the trail.
		The smoking soldier is Johnny. As he gets the attention of the men on either side of him, he gives them the same signals he received from the man in the tree. They in turn, send the signal down the line.
00:01:22	ROSS: One long line moving a little too fast for a recon patrol. They're in a hurry to get somewhere.	Medium close up: The man that was in the tree appears next to Johnny, whispering very quietly.
		Johnny nods and Ross moves silently to a position down the line. Johnny gives hand signals to the other men in the line, who are preparing to fight.
00:01:29		The soft padding of carefully placed but hurried feet is heard along with an occasional twig snapping. The jungle night noises cease.

00:01:35		A line of Vietcong (VC) soldiers walks quietly, but quickly down the trail in front of Johnny and the soldiers. As the entire VC line is stretched out in front of the American soldiers' field of fire, Johnny fires a shot dropping a man in the center of the formation.
00:01:49	JOHNNY: Cease fire! Cease fire!	Instantly a cacophony of automatic weapons fire erupts on the Vietcong line. They are all shot down within seconds. A few attempt to return fire, but they are killed almost as fast as they fire. The rate of fire slows to sporadic fire.
00.01.30	Miller, Shockley, Russo go check 'em out.	Everything becomes silent.
00:02:01		Long shot: Three men move
00.02.01		forward cautiously into the kill zone.
00:02:05		Medium—close shot: A VC officer slowly reaches for a pistol in his side holster.
00:02:09		Long shot: Three men are silently checking the several bodies lying in the kill zone.
00:02:13		Medium shot: The VC officer raises his pistol, aiming at Russo.
00:02:14	Johnny: Russo!	Three men yell simultaneously.
	GI 1: Behind you! GI 2: Look out!	Long shot: Three men from the covered ambush position, including Johnny, fire at the VC at the same time as they shout. Bursts of fire ring out. The VC's body bounces from the impact of being hit several times. At the

00:02:18	Johnny: Cease fire, cease-fire! Medic, move it. Stay awake out there guys. Johnny: Shockley, get back to your business. Keyton, give 'em a hand.	same time, Russo lurches and falls from the impact of the VC's bullet in his back. Both Russo and the VC die. Shockley runs to Russo. The Medic is already leaving his cover. He runs to Russo and begins checking him over.
00:02:30		Shockley returns to checking the other bodies but keeps looking back at Medic to check on Russo. Keyton breaks cover and starts checking the area Russo was checking.
		Medium shot: Medic signals to Johnny that Russo is dead.
00:02:39	Johnny: Shockloyd	Long Shot: Shockley sees Medic's signal, runs over to the VC officer and gives the body a violent kick. The body lurches from the blow and a pouch flies up to the length of its shoulder strap, scattering papers in the air. Johnny gives a whispered yell.
00:02:39	Johnny: Shockley!	Shockley snaps Johnny an angry look.
		Close shot: Johnny points sternly at his own eyes with his first two fingers, then waves them in the direction of the rest of the bodies to be checked. Then punches the air with the fingers for emphasis.
00:02:43		Close: Shockley nods his head and returns to checking the bodies.
00:02:45	Johnny: Clouse, what are those papers there? Go gather them up & bring 'em here.	Medium two-shot: Johnny turns to his right toward Clouse, whispering loud enough for

		Clouse to hear.
00:02:47		Long: Clouse breaks cover and carefully gathers up the papers. While doing so, he is continuously switching his attention between looking at the papers and checking around himself for any other movement. When he has all the papers, he slides the strap of the pouch off the arm of the dead officer and stuffs the papers into the pouch. He runs back to Johnny, handing him the pouch.
00:03:03	Johnny: Whatcha got? Anything interesting?	Medium: Johnny pulls out some of
	CLOUSE: Donno, you're gonna have to read it yourself, its all in Vietnamese, me no bic, GI.	the papers and begins reading them. He straightens out the papers as he reads.
00:03:09	MILLER: All clear here, Sarge.	Miller shouts in a whisper from off camera.
	Johnny: Good, give me a head count. Stay alert	Johnny looks up in Millers direction as he speaks, then returns his attention to reading the papers.
	Johnny: Holy cow!	Johnny motions Jones, his radio
	Jones (radio operator): What's up?	operator to give him the hand set without looking up from the
	Johnny: Oh this is big. We gotta get this pouch back to HQ ASAP.	papers. Jones hands Johnny the handset.
00:03:22	Johnny: Quasar Six this is Quasar Ten, over.	Johnny talks on the radio.
	SHOCKLEY: All clear here Sarge.	Shockley shouts in a whisper from off camera.
	Johnny: Great, give Miller a hand with the body count. Max alert now! Don't let yer guard down.	Johnny speaks a bit louder, still reading the papers.

	Johnny: Quasar Six this is Quasar	Johnny repeats the call with more
	Ten, over.	urgency on the radio handset.
	KEYTON: I've got a live one here, Sarge.	
	Johnny: Putnam, Foss, give him a hand. Medic, check him out.	Johnny looks up in Keyton's direction.
	RADIO: Quasar Ten, this is Quasar Six, over.	Long shot: Keyton leans over a VC, with his M-16 aimed down at the man. He kicks an AK-47 to put it out of the man's reach. Putnam and Foss run to Keyton's location. Foss bends down on one knee and checks the VC for weapons, tossing a knife and a couple
	Johnny: What's my body count?	grenades out of his reach. Medic begins checking the VC's wounds.
00:03:44	Johnny: Quasar Six, we've popped a bush, got about a dozen KIA, one WIA and courier pouch, and I've got one man down, over.	Close up: Johnny is on the radio handset.
	Shockley: Four KIA, Sarge.	Shockley calls out from off camera.
	Miller: Six KIA, Sarge	Miller calls out from off camera.
	Keyton: One live and four KIA, Sarge.	Keyton calls out from off camera.
	Radio: Quasar Ten, did you say a currier pouch, over?	
	Johnny: That's affirmative and fourteen KIA, over.	
	Radio: Outstanding! Try to keep that Victor Charlie alive and get that pouch to LZ Foxtrot most ricky-tick, over.	
	Johnny: Roger that, over!	

	T	
	Radio: What's your ETA to the LZ, over? Johnny: ETA two-five to three-	Johnny takes out a map. He locates a point and measures off distance with his thumb.
	zero, over.	
	Radio: Roger that, Quasar Ten. Dust off in two-seven, out.	
00:04:20	Johnny: The CO wants that Victor Charlie alive. Collect those AKs. Detonate the ordinance and let's move out.	
00:04:24	Johnny: Shockley.	Medium: Shockley looks at Johnny.
	Shockley: Sarge?	Push in close on Shockley:
	Johnny: Get Russo.	Shockley draws in his lips and nods his head.
00:04:37		FTB
00:08:08		
4/00:00:00		INT Johnny and Sue's living room. Return to scene 2. Johnny and Sue are still sitting on the couch facing each other.
	SUE: Johnny Johnny!	
	JOHNNY: What? Oh yeah you know the media is saying that this Tet Offensive totally surprised us. But it wasn't a surprise.	
	Sue: What do you mean?	
	Johnny: Well, on the last bush before I came home, we captured this currier pouch. I read most of the papers on the chopper back to the base camp. It was the plans for	

	the Tet Offensive, right there in front of me, all laid out, except for a bullet that tore thru the pouch	
		Johnny fades off again
00:00:26		FTB
4/ 00:08:34		
5/00:00:00		EXT Helicopter pad. Two helicopters have just landed and Johnny's squad exits, dragging and tired. Four litter bearers with two OD green canvas litters rush up to the choppers, one to each chopper and remove the dead GI
		and the wounded prisoner. The Company Commander walks briskly up to Johnny.
00:00:12	COMPANY COMMANDER: Great work getting that pouch, Hutton. Who did you loose?	briskly up to joining.
	JOHNNY: Russo, sir.	
	Company Commander (CO): That is a shame. He was a fine man. A real trooper.	The CO shakes his head.
	Johnny: Yes sir, he'll be missed.	Johnny nods.
	CO: Is that the one that was carrying the pouch?	The CO motions with his thumb toward the POW.
	Johnny: No sir, it was an officer. He zapped Russo from behind. He's about as KIA as you can get sir.	
	CO: I wish we could have taken him alive.	The CO nods his head contemplatively.
	Johnny: That's a negative sir, he was riddled when he aimed at	

00:00:44	Russo, but he still got the shot off. That was before we found the pouch. The pouch even took a couple hits, sir. CO: Is there anything interesting in it?	Johnny shows the pouch to the CO poking a finger through a hole in the center. There is another hole along the edge.
	Johnny: That's affirmative, sir. I was reading thru it on the way in. The documents are labeled "Urgent Order Number One" We're gonna get hit sir, and it's a big one. Not just here but every	Johnny starts to dig thru the pouch but the choppers take off creating an increased wind so he closes the pouch to keep the papers from flying.
00:00:56	CO: Do not say anything about it to anybody. Battalion HQ wants that	The CO puts two fingers to his lips in shushing motion.
	thing up there ASAP. That chopper over there is all cranked up for you.	The CO points off camera.
	Johnny: Me sir?	Johnny looks in the direction the CO points, then back at the CO
	CO: The Battalion CO wants to debrief you himself. HQ should have a jeep waiting for you when	
	you touch down.	The CO starts to leave.
	Johnny: One minute sir, Russo was my number one, so I've gotta reorganize the squad.	The CO turns back toward Johnny.
	CO: Who do you want to replace him?	
	Johnny: Shockley's got seniority but he doesn't have the discipline, so I guess Miller's next in line at least until Smith gets back from R & R, sir.	Spoken as an afterthought.
	CO: I agree. I will put him in charge and line him up till you get back. Now you get on that chopper.	Johnny starts to leave but the CO grabs his arm to stop him,

	CO: The door gunner has your laundry. It was on your bed. You might want to clean up before you report. And do not talk about this to anyone, except the Battalion CO. Understand?	remembering the laundry.
00:01:48	Johnny: Yes sir.	Johnny exits running in the direction CO pointed. CO exits the opposite direction.
00:01:52 5/ 00:10:00		FTB
6/		INT Battalion Orderly room. Two military style grey steel desks sit in a room that is constructed of unpainted two by fours on two foot centers with one continuous fire block at 48". Plywood covers the exterior below the fire block and screen covers the top half. The tops of sandbags stacked outside can be seen thru the screen. A sergeant major works at desk one which faces the camera and sits to the left of a closed interior door a couple feet off the right side wall. Desk 2 is on the left side of the room facing toward the middle. A Spec-5 clerk sits at desk 2.
00:00:00		Long—Johnny is cleaned up, wearing a fresh uniform. He enters the room, walks to the sergeant major at desk 1 & snaps to attention, waiting.

	JOHNNY: Staff Sergeant Hutton reporting as ordered, Top.	The sergeant major looks up from his work.
00:00:04	TOP: What have you got there, Sergeant?	
	Johnny: Sorry Top, I was ordered not to talk about it to anyone but the CO.	
	Top: Good man. Follow me.	Rising, Top gives two quick knocks on the door near to his desk. He opens the door enough
	Top: You said you wanted to see Sgt. Hutton as soon as he arrived, sir?	to stick his head through.
	BATCO: Send him in.	From off camera.
		Johnny disappears thru the door.
00:00:20 6/ 00:10:20		FTB as Top closes the door.
7/		INT Battalion Commander's Office—a single steel desk sits between two filing cabinets facing the camera. The walls are similar to ones in the Orderly room.
00:00:00	JOHNNY: Sergeant Hutton reporting as ordered, sir.	Lt. Colonel Batco seated at the desk, looks up from his work as Johnny steps up to the desk and comes to attention, saluting sharply.
00:00:08	BATCO: At ease, Sergeant. Do you have something for me?	Batco returns the salute.
	j	Johnny assumes the "at ease" position, then hands the pouch to the CO and reassumes the "at ease" position.

	1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	
	Johnny: If I read this right, sir, Victor Charlie has a big push coming up.	Batco opens the pouch, dumps the papers on his desk.
	Batco: You read these papers?	The Battalion CO looks up sharply.
	Johnny: Yes, Sir!	
	Batco: You speak Vietnamese?	
	Johnny: I'm not so good at speaking and understanding what they say, sir, but I can read it pretty good sir.	Adds the sir as an afterthought.
	Batco: And your assessment is that the VC are preparing an offensive?	
00:00:31	Johnny: Yes sir!	Batco nods affirmatively and begins reading the papers.
		Batco stands up and walks to a map tacked on the wall that separates the office from the orderly room and begins looking at it.
00:00:39	Batco: I would say you have made an accurate assessment, Sergeant.	
	Johnny: Thank you, sir.	
	Batco: Top!	Almost interrupting, Batco speaks loud enough to be heard through the door.
	TOP: Yes, sir.	Top sticks his head through the door.
	Batco: Get my driver.	4001.
00:00:47	Top: I sent for Capt. Vo to get a better transla	Interrupting.
	Batco: Belay that. I think we will keep this one in house.	interrupting.

	Top: You do not trust Vo?	The CO returns to his desk, collects the papers and returns
	Batco: Up to a point yes. But I think this situation is beyond that point.	them to the pouch.
	Top: Yes, sir.	
	Batco: And find the XO and tell him I will be at Brigade.	Top starts to exit then turns back to Batco.
	Top: Yes, sir.	Top exits.
00:01:05		FTB
00:11:25		
8/		INT Orderly room.
00:00:00		Capt. Vo and the Spec-5 from desk 2 walk in the front door as Top enters from the office. Top speaks to the Spec-5.
00:00:03	TOP: Get the CO's driver, then find the XO and tell him the CO will be at Brigade HQ for the rest of the morning.	speaks to the spee 3.
	SPEC-5: Right away, Top.	Spec—5 goes to his desk and picks up the phone, his voice is inaudible.
00:00:08	Top: Good morning, Capt Vo. Did you get a chance to interrogate the prisoner this morning?	Top and Vo exchange salutes. To Vo.
	CAPT VO: No Top. He was gone before I was made aware he was here.	
	Top: Ah, that is too bad, sir. I was hoping you would have a report for me on the interrogation.	
	Capt. Vo: I apologize. It was not possible.	

	T	
	Top: That will be all right, sir. He probably knows nothing important anyway.	
	Top: Hmm, Perhaps you go see if you can find out what happened to	Top feigns an afterthought.
	the prisoner.	Top gives Vo an easy salute and opens the outside door for him. Vo returns the salute and exits. Top slowly closes the door, looking outside to see that Vo continues on his way. Top then walks back to the CO's door, knocks twice and exits.
00:00:50		FTB
00:12:15		
9/		INT Batco's office.
00:00:00	BATCO: I want to read the transcripts from that interrogation, sir. Thank you, sir. I will see you there in about one-five.	Batco is speaking on the phone. He hangs the phone up and then looks up at Top who is waiting to speak.
00:00:04	TOP: I sent Vo on a wild goose chase and I believe your driver is here, sir.	A jeep is heard roaring up and sliding to a stop on the dirt road outside.
	Batco: So I hear.	
	Top: He is definitely a hot rod, sir.	
	Batco: That is what I like about him. He gets here in a hurry and I do not have to waste too much time on the road.	Both Top and Batco laugh. Batco
		reaches for his briefcase from behind him by the wall. He stuffs the pouch and some manila envelopes into it, while standing up.

	Batco: Shall we take a ride up to Brigade HQ?	Speaking to Johnny.
	JOHNNY: Yes, sir.	Top holds the door open. Batco and Johnny exit.
00:00:26 9/ 00:12:41		FTB
10/		INT Brigade Commander's office. Similar to Batco's office, but with both file cabinets on the left side of the desk. This office has solid walls, a map on right wall and an
		air conditioner on the back wall. A full bird Colonel (BRIG CO) is seated behind the desk in a padded rolling desk chair.
00:00:00	BRIG CO: Here is that transcript you asked for.	Brig CO hands Batco a manila file folder stamped "Top Secret" in large red letters front and back
	BATCO: Top Secret? Brig CO: Yes. Yours is one of about	with a box of red hash marks surrounding the Top Secret label.
	six or seven courier pouches that have been captured in the last month. There has been a substantial increase in communist	Batco raises an eyebrow.
00:00:12 10/	defectors ^{xi} and most of them are pretty talkative. The best intel we have indicates there will be a big offensive that will probably break the Tet cease-fire.	
00:00:21	Batco: What will we be doing to counter this offensive?	
	Brig CO: We are going to redeploy most of our forces to make them more available to positions where attacks are expected ^{xii} .	Brig CO walks to the map and points to an area 30 km north of Saigon.
	Batco: Do you know what the	

	redeployments will be for my battalion, sir?	
	Brig CO: For now that is about all I can tell you. Just remember this is very hush-hush and your ARVN counterparts are not, I repeat not to be privy to any of this intel.	
	Batco: Is there any advance preparation I should be making, sir?	
00:00:47	Brig CO: Have your men ready to bug out on a moments notice. Do not make any preparations that would be obvious to the locals or the ARVN, though. No need to cancel any R and R's or passes until the last minute.	
	Batco: Yes, sir.	
	Brig CO: I want to take this bag up to Division personally. I think you ought to go up there with me. Does anyone else know what is in this pouch?	
00:01:08	Batco: Yes sir. Staff Sergeant Hutton, the man waiting in the orderly room. He read the entire contents on the way back from the field.	
	Brig CO: He reads Vietnamese?	
	Batco: Better than I do, I expect, sir.	
	Brig CO: Bring him with us. He may be useful.	
00:01:23	Batco: Roger that, sir.	FTB

JOHNNY: That's not all. From what I heard at HQ there were other documents captured ^{xiii} and Chu Hoi's and POWs with a lot of information as well.	INT Johnny & Sue's living room, return to scene one.
	FTB
	INT wide room inside a tunnel. Two shafts lead off opposite sides of the room. VC troops are standing around a makeshift table made of wooden crates with a flat board on top. Graphic: "This fictional depiction is based on information found in the reports of Chinese Communist Advisors to the Vietcong.xiv" A runner enters from a tunnel on the side of the room, comes to
PVT. NGUYEN: Private Nguyen reporting. I have the dispatches from the People's Army of Vietnam Headquarters for you sir	attention and snaps a salute. End Graphic.
CAPT. MINH: Very good. At ease. Capt. Minh: Thank you. What is the	Minh returns the salute. Nguyen drops the salute and hands Minh a currier pouch.
Pvt. Nguyen: Very excited, sir. There are many messages from Hanoi. It seem that the rumors are correct. There will soon be a very big offensive, sir.	Minh opens the pouch and scans thru the papers inside. He speaks and listens without looking up.
	PVT. NGUYEN: Private Nguyen reporting. I have the dispatches from the People's Army of Vietnam Headquarters for you sir. CAPT. MINH: Very good. At ease. Capt. Minh: Thank you. What is the atmosphere at HQ? Pvt. Nguyen: Very excited, sir. There are many messages from Hanoi. It seem that the rumors are correct. There will soon be a very

	must recuperate from your long trip.	
	Pvt. Nguyen: Thank you sir. I shall eat before I sleep.	Minh dismisses Nguyen with a hand motion. Nguyen salutes and starts for the door. Minh stops scanning and begins reading one particular paper, calls out to Nguyen without looking up.
	Capt Minh: Nguyen find Major An and tell him and tell him something important just came in.	Minh hesitates.
	Pvt. Nguyen: Yes sir.	Nguyen exits.
00:00:50 12/		FTB
00:15:04		
13/		INT same room in the tunnel. Major An enters hurriedly.
00:00:00	MAJOR AN: I am off duty. I hope this is important enough for you to wake me.	Minh jumps to attention and snaps a salute. An returns a perfunctory salute.
	CAPT MINH: It is as I feared, sir. Those false reports we gave about the positive attitudes of the civilian population are coming back to haunt us, sirxv.	
	Major An: What?	Minh moves to the map on the
	Minh: Hanoi is planning a new offensive, sir.	wall & starts pointing to areas on the map.
	An: Yes, we have known that for a long time.	
00:00:17	Minh: But we did not know the extent of it, sir.	Minh turns to An

	An: What do you mean?	
00:00:20	Minh: Well it seems that this time we are to throw everything at them, including the reserves.	
	An: That is crazy. Who would think of such a plan?	
	Minh: It appears that they believe that with a series of quick nationwide victories the population will rise up and overthrow the Thieu government, sirxvi.	Minh moves to the desk picking up and searching through several papers, handing one of them to An. He reviews it as Minh is talking.
	An: That is ridiculous. Why would the people rise up and join us?xvii	An begins pacing. Minh steps back out of An's way.
	Minh: According to this dispatch, the general staff believe that the people already want to overthrow the government. They just need a catalyst to get things started, sirxviii.	
	An: Why would they believe such a thing?	
	Minh: It appears that it is because of the reports we have been sending them, sir.	
	An: But everyone knows those are lies. They are just propaganda for the American press.	
	Minh: It would seem that up north they believe the reports are true, sirxix.	Minh moves next to An, pointing out a part of the page that An is viewing.
	An: I would say then that we are in a lot of trouble We are in a lose-lose situation.	Hesitates
00:01:05	Minh: I agree, sir.	

00:01:11	An: If we attack with all our forces we shall be wiped out The Americans they will surely destroy us.	Minh motions for An to hand him the papers, then sorts thru them. Finding what he is looking for, he points it out to An.
	Minh: Look here, sir. It says we are to attack only the South Vietnamese forces**.	
	An: Yes, but do they think the American forces will stand by and do nothing?	
	Minh: They believe that with the element of surprise, a general uprising can overthrow the decadent Thieu regime before the Americans can respond ^{xxi} . When we control the government, we can order the Americans to leave, sir.	An walks over to the chair by the
		table and sits down, contemplatively. Leaning the chair back on its hind legs, he slowly shakes his head and then ponderingly he begins speaking.
00:01:38	An: Bureaucratic dream. There will be no general uprising. The Americans will come to their rescue. And we shall all be killed.	polideringly he begins speaking.
	Minh: I believe you speak the truth, Major. What shall we do, sir?	
00:01:48	An: It is not a good situation. If we are lucky enough to survive the attacks, the brass will want to know why the population did not rise up. They will hold us responsible.	
	Minh: We should not have falsified those reports, sir.	

00:02:00 13///

An: If we had not, we would have been held responsible for failure to properly indoctrinate the local civilian population.

Minh: This is true, sir.

An: But what could we do. The people here do not want to be communist. They see the strict and rigid lives we must lead. They enjoy their decadent freedoms^{xxii}.

Minh: But they speak against their government. ... And they demonstrate against their government, sir^{xxiii}.

An: Yes, but they also know they can demonstrate against their government^{xxiv}.

Minh: They complain that the decadent Thieu is corrupt and oppressive, sir.

An: Thieu *is* corrupt and oppressive^{xxv}. But not like the Diem regime. If Diem were still in power, the general uprising would work. It probably would already have occurred. The worst thing that happened to our cause was the overthrow of Diem.

Minh: Except for the Americans entering the War, sir.

00:02:42

An: Yes, except for the Americans. Tell me Minh, what would happen if students in Hanoi demonstrated against the government the way they do in Saigon.

An rocks the chair forward and pounds the table with his fist to emphasis the word 'failure'.

	Minh: They would be shot, sir or jailed.	
00:02:55	An: Exactly! And the people know this. This is why the people do <i>not</i> want a communist government. They know and we know. But we have carefully deceived the American press ^{xxvi} .	
	Minh: And it would seem we have deceived our leaders in Hanoi as well, sir.	
00:03:25	An: It appears you are right.	A contemplative silence is broken by Minh's hopeful statement.
00:03:28	Minh: There are those who do not care who is in charge. Perhaps if they see us winning they will rise up, sir?	by Millin's Hoperur statement.
	An: They will not fight for either side.	
	Minh: Yes, I suppose this too is true.	
00:03:47	An: If we are not killed in the battle, we shall be executed for our false reports. I am afraid, Minh, that we shall not survive this no matter what happens.	
00.03.47	matter what happens.	An reflects silently for a few moments.
00:03:51	An: Although, there is one way we may survive. It seems unthinkable, yet	Spoken slowly and contemplatively.
00:04:00	yct	
00:04:02 13//// 00:19:06		FTB

14/		
00:00:00	RADIO: Six-one, this is Six-two,	EXT An open field with light scrub brush and a tree line. Four M-113 Armored Personnel Carriers (APCs or tracks) are rolling ahead slowly abreast 10 to 15 meters apart approaching the tree line about 50 meters away.
00.00.10	over.	
	LT: Six-two, this is Six-one, over	Medium-Close on LT in the cupola of the second APC from the right.
	Radio: I've got movement ahead. Can't tell if it's VC or rubber workers, over.	Each time he talks on the radio he pushes the radio switch on his commo helmet with his left index finger
	LT: Are they running, over?	
	Radio: No, over.	
	LT: Hiding, over.	
	Radio: Maybe, not sure, they're just inside the tree line, over.	
00:00:28 14//	LT: Hold up. Everyone stay alert. Golden Six this is Golden Six-one,	All the tracks stop.
	over	Lt takes his binoculars from a hook inside the cupola, loops the
00:00:39	Radio: Golden Six-one, this is Golden Six, over.	strap over his neck and scans the tree line.
	LT: Golden Six, we have movement in the tree line, over.	
	Radio: Golden Six-one, be advised, you are not in a free fire zone. There are rubber workers reported in the area. Do not fire unless fired upon, over.	
00:00:56	LT: Roger that, over.	Lt drops his binoculars to his chest, hanging from their strap.

00:01:02	LT: Line abreast, jog to the left and roll forward about 20 meters. I want to center on that movement. See if we can get a better look. It may just be a couple of rubber workers relieving themselves, so do not get trigger-happy. Do keep your eyes open, boys. Watch out for a bush from the side. Make sure your ammo humpers are watching the rear. I do not want any surprises from behind.	The tracks begin to roll forward. LT talks louder over the din. The tracks turn about 45 degrees to the left while moving.
00:01:03	Radio: Golden Six-One, this is Golden Six. Sitrep over.	
15///	LT: Golden Six, we are approaching the tree line to get a better look. Will advise, over.	
00:01:24	Radio: Roger, over.	At ten meters into the movement,
	LT: Ok men straighten her out. Six-six, rotate 180 and stand in place. I want you on rear guard, over.	the tracks rotate about 45 degrees to the right so they are back on their original course, but shifted left. Track six-six (one to the left of six-one) rotates 180 degrees and halts.
00:01:45		Track six-one stays at the 45- degree angle until it is half way
	LT: Driver, fill in the gap.	between its two flanking tracks, then turns to match the direction
	DRIVER: Roger that.	of the other tracks.
00:02:04	LT: Six-two, six-niner, rotate outboard 80 degrees and hold, watch the flanks, over.	At 15 meters, the two flanking tracks rotate outward about 60 degrees and stop.
00:02:25	LT: Hold up.	At 20 meters, all tracks halt.
		Long shot pan of the area and the soldiers carefully watching their fields of fire.
00:02:35	MINH: Chu Hoi, Chu Hoi	Major An and Capt Minh step out

	Radio: I've got two Victor Charlie, just stepped out of the tree line. They're standing there with their hands in the air. They look like officers, over. LT: That is affirmative. Everyone keep your eyes open. This still could be a decoy, over.	from the tree line with their hands in the air.
14///	Minh: Chu Hoi, Chu Hoi.	
	Lt: What about weapons? Anyone see any weapons, over?	
	Radio: That's a negative. No weapons. Negative	Three different voices thru the radio nearly at once.
		Medium close on Lt. He hesitates contemplatively and scratches the two-day-old stubble on his face.
00:03:00	Lt: Stay alert! Williams, Parker, Jamison, dismount and shake 'em down.	Three men climb off three different APC's and cautiously approach Major An and Capt. Minh (from three directions) who
	Minh: Chu Hoi, Chu Hoi.	remain standing with their hands above their heads.
	LT: I want all personnel max attentive to your field of fire now. Do not let your guard down.	
00:03:13	Lt: Six-six, put the POW's in your PC. Brewster, dismount and watch that back door. Do not open that door till your ready to put the prisoners in. I do not want any sniper rounds flying inside.	Brewster jumps off with an M-16 in one hand then stands next to the back door of the APC.
	Radio: That's affirmative, over.	
	LT: Golden six, this is golden six- one, over.	

00:03:25	Radio: Golden six-one, this is golden six, over.	
00:03:33	Lt: Golden six, I have two Victor Charlie Chu Hoi's, both officers, over. Radio: Hold on, over.	As Williams, Parker & Jamison reach the VC, Parker passes his weapon to Jamison and pats down Minh while Williams keeps his M-16 trained on An. Jamison holding Parker's weapon, keeps his own M-16 trained on Minh. After patting down Minh, Parker has Minh get on his knees while he pats down An. Parker takes a currier pouch from An and hands it to Jamison. Jamison returns Parker's weapon.
00:04:05	LT: Hustle it up. Put 'em in six-six. Brewster, get some rope and tie those men up.	Finding no weapons, they escort the two VC quickly back toward the six-six track.
	LT: Close that door! Radio: Golden Six-one, this is golden Six Actual. Repeat, over.	While they are approaching, Brewster ducks his head in the 64 back door looking for rope. Brewster jumps inside, pulling the door closed after him. Meanwhile the others approach the back of 66.
00:04:15	Lt: Golden Six, I have two Victor Charlie chu hois, both officers. Also got a currier pouch, over.	Jamison tosses the pouch to a man on LT's track, who hands the pouch to Lt.
00:04:21	Radio: Did you say officers and a currier pouch, over?	Brewster emerges with a length of rope, closing the door quickly behind him. He cuts off two three-
	Lt: That is affirmative, over.	foot pieces with his bayonet and hands a piece of rope to Parker.
	Radio: Hustle over to LZ Bravo. There will be a chopper there to meet you with a Kit Carson and an intelligence officer, over.	Jamison & Williams stand guard.

00:04:40	LT: Should we recon the area and see if there are any more Victor Charlies hanging back in the trees, over? Radio: Negative. Get those chu hois out of there, over.	Med-close on LT
00:04:46	Lt: Roger that My ETA is about two-five minutes. Any chance of putting some hot chow on that chopper, over. Radio: Will advise, out.	Med-long: Brewster is assisting the last officer (whose hands are tied) inside the track, he steps in. As he closes the door, Williams, Parker & Jamison return to their own tracks and remount.
	Lt: Move out.	The engines rev up on the APCs.
00:04:55 14//// 00:24:01		FTB
15//		INT Division Headquarters briefing room. Split color pale green over dark green walls are undecorated except for two air conditioners, one on the right wall and one on the left wall. There are two file cabinets standing together in the front left corner. Centered on the room is a podium. To the left of the podium is an American flag hung on a pole and flag stand. In the right front corner a South Vietnamese flag and a division flag hang from flagpoles stand side by side against the wall. Behind the podium on the front wall are two maps, one of South Vietnam and one of III corps area.
		To the left side of the maps a wooden pointer hangs from a nail. A small group of Field grade and General officers are assembled on several chairs in the first two

		rows. Johnny, alone, sits uncomfortably two rows behind several Sergeant Majors seated on the third row. There is a din of air conditioners and indistinct chatter.
00:00:00	GENERAL'S AIDE: Attention!	All in the room rise and snap to attention while from a door in the center of the rear of the room a Lieutenant Colonel enters followed by a Lieutenant General.
00:00:02	LT. GENERAL: At ease gentlemen.	The two officers walk briskly to the front. The Lt. Colonel sets a stack of folders on top of the file cabinets and takes a position standing at ease on the left side of the maps. The general continues
00:00:12 15///	Lt. General (LG): Gentlemen, Thank you for coming on short notice. I know we all have things we need to get back to, so I will keep this as short as possible.	to the podium.
	Lt. General: Theater wide several units have intercepted documents that indicate there is a big offensive in the works ^{xxvii} . It looks like the biggest thing the Commies have tried so far ^{xxviii} .	The Lt. Colonel reaches for the pointer and points to various locations on the SVN map, then he returns to the at-ease position with the pointer still in hand.
	Kudos to Lt. Colonel Batco. One of his ambush patrols captured a currier pouch with a set of plans for a nationwide offensive sometime around the Tet holiday.	The General points with an open palm to Bat Co and gestures him to stand. Bat CO stands at attention.
00:00:26	BAT CO: Thank you, sir. I will pass the kudos down to the men. The leader of that patrol is with us, Sir. May I present Staff Sergeant Hutton.	Turning halfway Bat CO points to Johnny. Johnny snaps to attention, salutes & Lt. General returns the salute.

	LG: Is he cleared	To Bat CO, glancing briefly at Brig Co.
	Bat CO: Sir, he read the contents of the pouch on the way in from the field. I think he knows as much as we do, sir.	Interrupting in anticipation of the rest of Lt. General's question.
	LG: You speak Vietnamese?	To Johnny
15////	JOHNNY: I read it better than I speak it, sir.	LG gives Batco a nod and Batco sits down.
00:00:45	LG: Outstanding! Stick around after the briefing, We will chat.	To Johnny
	Johnny: Yes sir.	
	LG: You understand you cannot talk about anything you read or heard, or hear in this room son.	
	Johnny: That is affirmative, sir. I would not want to do anything to get my buddies killed, sir.	
00:01:00	LG: Outstanding! Be seated son.	Johnny salutes and the salute is quickly returned as LG returns to the briefing. Johnny sits.
	LG: Also kudos to Lt. Colonel Micheinzi. One of his recon units captured a Battalion XO and his aide near An Loc.	Points to Lt. Colonel Micheinzi. Micheinzi stands at attention.
	LG: I think some Bronze Stars are in order for these operations.	Speaking momentarily to his aide.
	General's Aide: Affirmative, sir. I will see to it.	The Lt. Colonel nods affirmatively
	MICHEINZI: Thank you, sir.	To LG.

		LG looks around momentarily and
	LG: You did not bring the leader of your uh	smiles as he asks
00:01:21	Micheinzi: No sir.	Light laughter from the assembly.
	LG: It seems the enemy officers are in a very talkative mood. From what we can gather there are probably a lot of VC cadres running scared out there right now.	LG nods and Micheinzi sits down.
00:01:31	LG: The VC Major admitted that they have been padding their reports of success, especially in terms of the hearts and minds of the peoplexxix. I think it is safe to assume that this is not an isolated incident. According to these documents, the top brass in Hanoi believe if they commit everything to one powerful nationwide offensive, the people will rise up and join the offensive and the Saigon government will collapse. At that point, they believe we will be forced to run home like dogs with our tails between our legs.	
00:02:00	LG: This, gentlemen, is the opportunity we have been waiting for. We know where they are going to hit. We know their troop strength. We know their	
15////\	rendezvous points. Based on this intel we are repositioning our forces to be in the right place at the right time to catch the enemy off guard***. Gentlemen, Charlie will have no reserves in country, so we can deal him a deathblow that could end the War.	
00:02:23	LG: I cannot over stress the	

00:02:50	importance of secrecy here. If Victor Charlie becomes aware that they lost the element of surprise, they will change their plans, and that can cost a lot of American and allied lives. Nothing said in this room can leave this room. If the ARVN or the press find out we know the offensive is coming the VC will learn about it. No Vietnamese, not even President Thieu and Vice President Ky, are privy to this intelligence xxxi. Westmoreland wants it kept that way. We do not know how many VC agents have infiltrated the South Vietnamese General Staff, but there is evidence that penetration has occurred and we will conduct security accordingly. Any questions?	
	Colonel One: The press, sir? LG: That is a great big negative, Colonel. We definitely do not want the North Vietnamese reading all about their offensive on the international wire services.	Colonel One raises his hand. LG nods at him.
		Mild laughter from the assembly.
00:03:17 15//// \\ 00:27:10		FTB
16/ 00:00:00	JOHNNY: You see, we knew they were coming. By the time all the intel was gathered we knew where, when, how many and what they had for breakfast.	INT Johnny & Sue's living room, return to scene one. Johnny & Sue are still sitting on the couch facing each other.

	SUE: So explain again, how come they couldn't just tell the press they knew?	
00:00:12	Johnny: Think about it. It's only common sense. If they told the press, it would be in the papers and on the news. Then the VC would know all about it and change their plans**xxii*. Then instead of catching them off guard, we'd be the ones holding the foul end of the stick.	Johnny reaches behind him and grabs a newspaper from an end table, points to headlines about Vietnam and tosses it on the floor. Sue frowns a bit looking briefly at the paper thrown on the floor then turns her attention back to Johnny.
	Sue: How could they read American newspapers and watch American TV over there?	
	Johnny: Just like I read Vietnamese, their agents read English.	
	Sue: Agents?	
	Johnny: Oh they have their agents, all right.	
	Sue: Communist agents? In America?	
	Johnny: There are plenty of Communist agents in the United States. Don't ever doubt that. Besides some wire services like Associated Press are worldwide. Hanoi newspapers get their information off the wire services just like any other country	
	Just like any other country	Johnny begins fading out again.
00:01:00 16/// 00:28:18		FTB

	T	T
00:00:00		INT Barracks—similar to construction of Bat orderly room, but several metal beds or canvas cots are arranged in two rows instead of desks. Johnny is sitting on a bunk,
		reading a newspaper and drinking a beer. A travel bag is next to him on the bunk and a full duffel bag leans against the wall next to the bunk.
00:00:03	SMITH: Hey Johnny Boy, I hear you're getting short.	From off camera.
	you're getting short.	Looking up at Smith.
	JOHNNY: Three days and a wake up.	Spec-4 Smith enters the frame, Johnny jumps up & they exchange a manly embrace. After some
	Smith: You lucky SOB.	backslapping, they both sit down on opposite bunks.
	Johnny: How was R & R?	
	Smith: Skinny little Bangkok girls. What more do I need to say?	
	Johnny: What more can you say?	
17//	Smith: Heard you guys wiped out a whole patrol the other night.	
00:00:21	Johnny: Yeah, fourteen KIA and a POW.	
	Smith: Cool, heard you guys got a currier p	Johnny puts two fingers to Smith's lips in a hushing motion.
	Johnny: Must be something big cause they told us not to noise that around. Especially not around the mamma sans.	Johnny points the same two fingers out thru the screen window at a woman in a conical hat wearing black pajamas. She is

		raking leaves by a bunker across a dirt street.
	Smith: Whoa, <i>must</i> be something big.	Almost whispering.
	Johnny: But you know the best part?	Both nod.
	Smith: What's that?	
	Johnny: That was my <i>last</i> patrol.	Johnny draws out the word "last".
	Smith: Sheez, I guess so. Three days and counting, why were you even out there?	
	Johnny: Something about my replacement wasn't here yet. He was late getting back from R & R So, what kept you buddy, you could have got me killed.	Teasingly.
	Smith: What?	
00:00:54	Johnny: Yeah. They're giving you my platoon man. They asked me to hang around till you got back.	
17///	Smith: No way.	
	Johnny: That is affirmative, you're the man.	
	Smith: Numba10, man. I don't want that!	Standing up in unpleasant surprise.
00:01:01	Johnny: Too late man. It's you. Besides now you get a rocker on your stripes. Here man, take my extra set.	Johnny reaches into a pocket in his travel bag, pulls out two black staff sergeant pins and holds them out to Smith.
	Smith: Thanks, man, but I really	

	don't want 'em.	Johnny reaches across with his
	Smith: Ouch! You gonna just stick me or you gonna stick around and	empty hand grabs Smith's hand and slaps the pins into his hand.
	train me?	Johnny laughs.
	Johnny: I been training you for two months. You didn't realize that?	
	Smith: Is that what you been doing?	
	Johnny: Yeah that's what I been doing.	
00:01:28	Smith: You gotta give me some pointers, man.	
	Johnny: You want pointers?	
	Smith: Yeah, man.	
00:01:33	Johnny: Ok, don't piss off anybody then make 'em walk behind you.	Doth Joseph
	Smith: But, you gotta do better than that	Both laugh.
	Johnny: You are ready man. At zero	
	one-thirty, I'm walking over to the orderly room, tossing those bags in the back of a jeep and I'm heading for that big beautiful silver	Waves his thumb to Indicate the bags.
	freedom bird, man. I've got my orders. I've already turned in my M-16. All I'm waiting for is a driver to take me to Long Binh.	Johnny pulls a folded up paper out of his pocket, shakes it and puts it back in his pocket, buttoning the pocket.
00:01:54		FTB
00:30:05		

10/		
00:00:00	SUE: Johnny Johnny!	INT Johnny & Sue's living room. They are seated facing each other. Johnny is staring off.
	JOHNNY: Oh yeah, where was I?	Johnny comes back around.
	Sue: You were talking about the	, service and a
	newspapers and commie agents.	
	Johnny: Well, you can see the brass just couldn't let the press know that we knew about Tet. A lot of good guys could have been killed	
	Sue: A lot more than those that were killed, you mean.	
	Johnny: Exactly!	
00:00:19	Sue: But what about telling me? Isn't that breaking some rule or something?	
	Johnny: Technically I suppose, but the push is almost over. I mean there is nothing I've told you that could hurt anyone at this point. Even if a VC agent tapped our house, everything I know is old information now.	
	Sue: Yeah, I see your point.	
	Johnny: Anything else that you're curious about?	
	Sue: Well, the news said the fighting was pretty bad at Hue City. What about that?	
	Johnny: Ok. Who was defending Hue?	

	T	T
	Sue: I don't know, we were I guess.	
	Johnny: Nope, the ARVNs were.	
	Sue: That's the South Vietnamese army?	
	Johnny: Yeah, the Army of the Republic of Vietnam, ARVN.	
	Sue: So the VC took Hue City away from the ARVNs.	
00:00:53	Johnny: And we took it back. True it took a month, but it was house-to-house fighting and that takes a while.	
18///	Sue: Cool. I see your point. So where else were they fighting?	
	Johnny: Saigon and Khe Sanh. There was fighting in some areas of Saigon for two to three weeks but the Commies were whooped and limped away defeated**xiii. Right now there is still a siege at Khe Sanh.	
00:01:09	Sue: So what's up there?	Graphic: "The Siege of Khe Sanh lasted 77 days."
	Johnny: We're still fighting. 30 or 40 thousand NVA against 5 or 6 thousand Marines. But the Marines	
00:01:13	are holding their own.	Sue and Johnny sit silently for a moment. Fade in clips of elation on the part of Marines at Khe Sanh following the Siege.
00:01:22		Graphic: "After suffering intolerable losses, 40,000 North Vietnamese Regular (NVA) and Elite troops retreated back across the Laotian border**xxv."

00:01:27		Graphic: "Even though the NVA were well dug in and they outnumbered the Marines 7 to 1, they were unable to defeat 5600 isolated U.S. Marines."
00:01:32	Sue: Any territory we haven't taken back?	End graphic. Fade back to INT Living room.
00:01:34	Johnny: Well there's Lang Vei. A little Special Forces outpost near Khe Sanh at the other end of a valley near the Laotian border. An NVA tank regiment overran it. There were only 24 Americans	Graphic: "Lang Vei was a Special Forces outpost on the Laotian border. It was deemed too remote and of too little value to risk lives to recover."xxxvi
00:01:44	there and 14 made it back to Khe Sanh, alive. Too early to tell what will happen there, if it's even worth trying to get it back.	End graphic.
00:01:50	Sue: Yep, you're right. Cronkite's full of it. So what are we going to do about a TV.	
	Johnny: Ain't nothing worth watching anyway. It's all a bunch of bullsh	Johnny's anger builds again.
00:02:02	Sue: Watch your language the kids.	Sue cuts Johnny off and points to the door behind him.
		Snap-pan to full-length shot of two young children standing in the doorway, crying. Sue runs to the children, hugging them says:
00:02:11	Sue: Oh baby, Daddy didn't mean to scare you. He's just upset at what the man said on TV that's all. It's going to be ok.	the emuren, hagging them says.
00:02:16	NARRATOR: The soldiers who fought the War are not the only victims of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder or PTSD. It affects	Sue's audio fades out on "to be ok". Narrator fades in on "ok"

00:02:47 18/// 00:32:59	families, spouses, children, and parents. Some family members understood and could help talk a soldier through it. Other did not get it. Some cases were too severe for talking. Thirty-eight percent of Vietnam Veterans divorced within 6 months of coming homexxxiv. How did this come about? PTSD was not even recognized as a disorder until the 3rd edition of the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistics Manual in 1980.	Sue picks up the youngest and carries her/him over to the couch. Johnny holds his arms out to the other who after some reluctance slowly walks over to Johnny then after more reluctance he/she sits on Johnny's lap. The four sit talking inaudibly as the narrator continues.
19/ 00:00:00	NARRATOR: Did soldiers get PTSD in World War One or in World War Two or the wars before? Well perhaps they did. Shell shock was the name given to the more severe forms. Some called it cowardice.	INT Johnny & Sue's living room. Johnny & Sue are holding their children on the couch, taking to them inaudibly.
00:00:12	PATTON: I won't have a yellow b tard sitting here crying in front of these brave men who have been wounded in battle. Shut up!	Clip of George C. Scott's Patton character slapping and yelling at the shell-shocked soldier sitting on the hospital bed. (1:26:44 to 1:26:58.
00:00:24	Narrator: The more severe cases were obvious and were dealt with in often-inappropriate ways.	Cross fade from the <i>Patton</i> scene to a close up of Johnny in a chair in a dark room staring into the
00:00:32	Narrator: But it is the can't sleep at night, can't keep a job, explosive outbursts of anger and substance abuse problems that became magnified by the Vietnam Veterans. The problems created as a result of this turmoil, entered the social conscience in the 1970's and 80's, leading to the	distance. Cross fade to Johnny wearing a civilian cook's uniform arguing (inaudibly) with his boss in a restaurant kitchen. Then he angrily throws his chef's knife, it sticks into the wall and he storms out.

	recognition of the disorder. Did veterans of other wars have these same problems? If so, was it worse for the Vietnam Veteran?	The restaurant manager looks stunned at the swinging kitchen door.
00:00:55	Narrator: A lot of WWII and Korean War veterans developed drinking problems, possibly based on undiagnosed PTSD. Some developed drug problems, but	Stock footage of a bar full of WWII Gls. Cross fade to an old man sitting in an easy chair with a glass of whiskey in his hand, staring into
19//	these were, for the most part, kept hidden in those days.	the distance. On an end table is a picture of a younger version of him smiling with his military buddies in uniform.
00:01:14	Narrator: However, with the publicizing of the drug culture associated with the anti war movement and the prevalence of high quality drugs in Vietnam, social recognition of these drug problems developed.	Cross fade to stock footage of hippies at an antiwar rally and street scenes of hippies, varied every three to four seconds.
00:01:20	Narrator: Additionally until Vietnam, veterans were recognized and honored when they returned from war. There were parades with cheering crowds. Speeches were given honoring the service and memorializing those whose lives	Stock footage of a WWII ticker tape parade. An inaudible clip of a welcome home speech.
00:01:32	were lost. Narrator: This was not so for the Vietnam veteran. Narrator: Rather than veterans being honored, the antiwar movement was honored*xxxvii.	Cross fade to stock footage of anti war protestors yelling "baby killer" behind cyclone fences at a military base. Bring the audio up enough to hear without overpowering the narrator.
00:01:39	NEWSCASTER: It is reported that the shooter is a Vietnam Veteran.	A clip of just this part of a newscast followed by inaudible clips of coverage of two or three
00:01:42	Narrator: Unfortunately, most of the recognition the Vietnam Veteran received was negative*xxviii.	shootings from the Post-Vietnam era where the newscaster made a point of emphasizing that the

19///	The negativity created by the antiwar culture, at a time when the media was wont to blame the individual soldier. Perhaps even more than they blamed the politicians who were responsible for that soldier being in the combat zone.	shooter was a (crazed?) Vietnam Veteran alternated between stock footage of antiwar protestors and news footage from Vietnam.
00:01:58	Narrator: The few incidents of a negative nature were blown out of proportion while a multitude of instances of GI's helping Vietnamese civilians were virtually ignored as not newsworthy.	Stock footage of pacification efforts (probably from govt. archives).
00:02:10	Narrator: Ambassador Maxwell Taylor complained that the U.S. media failed to properly cover the pacification programs in the countryside.	Insert a picture or stock footage of General Maxwell Taylor as Ambassador. Graphic: "Ambassador General Maxwell Taylor"
00:02:18	TAYLOR: "The magnitude of the nonmilitary programs never got through to the American public primarily because of the difficulty in interesting the press in anything but the violent aspects of the conflict"xxxix.	"Celebrity Voice Impersonated" over the picture. Or if the statement is on film, use it. Otherwise cross fade his picture or footage to stock footage of pacification projects
00:02:31	MAJOR GENERAL JAMES C. SMITH: A reporter followed me around for several days" but he could not get his series printed because it was too complimentary"x1.	Graphic: "Major General James C. Smith" "Celebrity Voice Impersonated" Picture or stock footage of Major General James C. Smith, cross fade to an inaudible clip of a reporter arguing with his editor.
00:02:39	Narrator: In addition to the guilt suffered from acts committed during the War, men and women suffered tremendous amounts of guilt as a result of not knowing why they were acting the way they acted. Why they felt the way they felt. Why they were having	Johnny appears in some short clips alternating between angry outbursts and sullen moments.

00:02:56 19////	problems, they seemed unable to control. This guilt was severely amplified by their demonization as perpetrated in the media.	Sue trying to comfort Johnny, but he shakes her off.
	Narrator: What was it about the Vietnam War that brought this disorder to the forefront?	Sue crying.
00:03:07	Narrator: Following WWII, veterans not only received a welcome home	Stock parade fades to
00:03:11	ticker tape parade, but those that fought in Europe saw the Nazis'	Stock Brown shirt activities to
00:03:14	monstrous actions and brutality against innocent peoples,	Stock Bombing of London clips
00:03:17	including Holocaust victims.	To Holocaust scenes
00:03:20	Narrator: Those who fought in the Pacific knew of the cruelty of the Japanese Imperial forces from the bombing of Pearl Harbor to the	Stock Pearl harbor bombing scenes
00:03:27	Rape of Nanking.	Stock Japanese bombing of China and Japanese atrocity clips.
00:03:35	Narrator: These veterans knew they fought for a cause that was worthwhile. They knew their efforts, sacrifice, and suffering had a purpose, that it was a moral obligation, a sacred duty. They could soothe the trauma they suffered, and the guilt they felt	Stock shots of the USS Arizona Memorial in Pearl Harbor.
	with the salve of a righteous cause.	
00:03:43	Narrator: The media in the United States took that away from the Vietnam Veteran. Year after year,	The kidnap clip from Casualties of War
00:03:49	in report after report, movie after movie, subtly and ruthlessly they pounded it into his brain that what he did was worthless and immoral.	Stock footage of burning villages
00:03:58	Narrator: Movies about World War II and Korea portrayed the veterans of these wars as heroes. They picked out a hero and made a	Clips of Audie Murphy, John Wayne etc.

00:04:07 19//// 00:37:06	movie about him, based on a patriotic theme.	FTB
20/ 00:00:00	NARRATOR: Contrast the GIs of those films with the films about the Vietnam War.	Trigger happy door gunner scene in <i>Full Metal Jacket</i> (1987 standard version) beginning at 59:33, fade up the volume at 59:38 as narrator finishes. Door gunner is firing an M-60 machine gun at people running on the ground, killing several.
00:00:05	Door Gunner (DG): Anyone who runs is a VC. Anyone who stands still is a well-disciplined VC. Ha Ha	DG turns his face to the camera Cut to Joker and Rafterman
		DG laughs Cowboy pukes Skip <i>FMJ</i> from 1:00:00 to 1:00:32
	Joker: How can you shoot women, children?	Medium on Joker
	DG: Easy. Ya just don't lead em so much. Ha ha. Ain't war hell? Ha ha	Med-long on Door Gunner
	ha	He laughs. Transition from <i>FMJ</i> at 1:00:42
00:00:25	Narrator: The media convinced the Vietnam Veteran that his service to	Stock clips of burning villages. Gradual pull to
00:00:29	his country was not worthy of prestige and honor, rather of ridicule and shame. That he is not a good upstanding citizen. That he is a murderer of women and	Fade in inaudible stock footage of a news report of hippies yelling at Veterans.
	children, a baby killer.	Hippies yelling "baby killer"
00:00:55	Narrator: So, instead of the soothing salve of a righteous cause the Vietnam Veterans' wounds were rubbed with the salt of	Footage of a hippie attacking a
00.00.33	indignation and contempt.	veteran

	T	
00:00:58		FTB
20//		
00:38:04		
21/00:00:00		A political cartoon depicting the Credibility Gap.
00:00:03	NARRATOR: In all fairness to the media, there was a schism between	Fade cartoon image to stock footage clip of the Five O'clock
00:00:05	official sources and the press commonly known as the Credibility Gap ^{xli} .	Follies with frustrated reporters. Graphic: "Five O'clock Follies"
00:00:11	Narrator: Reporters regularly criticized government spokespersons due to an apparent lack of trustworthiness ^{xlii} .	
00:00:17	Narrator: Official MACV reports were commonly known as the Five O'clock Follies.	Graphic: 'Military Assistance Command: Vietnam (MACV)' 'Office that controlled operations in Vietnam'
00:00:22	Narrator: At the same time the government did not trust the media to keep sensitive information out of the papers as World War II reporters had donexliii.	
00:00:30	Narrator: Hence, the Credibility breakdown was a two way street, the one reacting to the faults of the other in a continuingly downward spiral of mistrust and	(Inaudible conversations): A reporter questions an officer. Officer says "no comment" and waves his hand indicating, "leave me alone" as he walks away.
00:00:33	deception.	The same reporter talking to a soldier. The soldier looks right then left as if checking to see if anyone is watching, then begins talking to the reporter.
00:00:38		Split Screen: Left = The reporter uses a radio to call in the report to a colleague in an area with a telephone. Right = The colleague receiving the radio transmission,

		writing down the report.
00:00:42		Cut to a tunnel room where a VC radio operator is listening in, waves his commanding officer to listen. He barks orders.
00:00:45		VC soldiers rush out of tunnels carrying their weapons.
00:00:52	Narrator: Refusing to listen to official assessments ^{xliv} , the reporters created assessments of their own. Many claimed there was	Graphic: "Walter Cronkite" over inaudible clip of Walter Cronkite's report on Khe Sanh
	no strategy because they lacked the military training ^{xlv} to recognize the strategy or the principles behind it.	Graphic: "Edwin Newman" over inaudible Clip of a similar Edwin Newman report
00:00:58	PETER ARNETT: "I am still not sure in my own mind whether what we did as reporters in Vietnam was enough or too much, whether we performed the classic American press role of censuring government policy or whether we botched the whole job and aided and abetted the enemy"xlvi.	Graphic: "Peter Arnett". If a clip of this quote is not available, use a series of pictures or an inaudible clip of an Arnett report and add the graphic: "Celebrity Voice Impersonated".
00:01:13	BUI TIN: "We continued to manipulate public opinion in the United States to our advantage"xlvii.	Graphic: "Colonel Bui Tin" "North Vietnamese Army Journalist" "Celebrity Voice Impersonated" over Stock clip or pictures of Bui Tin.
00:01:19	PRES. KENNEDY: The nations foes have openly boasted of acquiring though our newspapers information they would otherwise hire agents to acquire though theft, bribery or espionagexlviii.	Graphic: "A 1961 address to America's publishers by President Kennedy" "Celebrity Voice Impersonated" over stock clip or picture of Kennedy. Is there a clip of this statement available?
00:01:30	Kennedy: Castro doesn't need any agents in the U.S. All he has to do is read our newspapers ^{xlix} .	Graphic "JFK on Cuban Missile Crisis" "Celebrity Voice Impersonated"

	T	
00:01:35 00:01:46 21///	THEODORE SORENSEN: Occasionally, in fact, Kennedy would confide "secrets" to a newsman, in the gravest of tones, with the full knowledge that this was the best way to get them published.	Graphic: "Theodore Sorensen Special Council to President Kennedy" "Celebrity Voice Impersonated" over picture or clip.
00:39:50		
22/		EXT A series of four bunkers. Barbed wire fences hung with noisemakers and concertina wire etc. are in the background. Soldiers are sitting on top of the left two bunkers cleaning weapons, reading, sleeping and lounging. The third is a burning bunker that has been blown apart. In front of the bunker are a couple newsmen from different networks doing segments each with their own cameraman. The fourth is a command bunker about thirty feet to the right. Two officers are standing in front of the bunker talking
00:00:00	Bird chirping in an otherwise silent background.	Long left-to-right rail push parallel to the bunkers and about twenty feet away. The shot starts
00:00:04	Narrator: Vietnam was television's first War. For the first time in history, war was graphically displayed in the living rooms of America. Television news was as new to the American public as it was to the people who were producing the news. There were things about television that the public did not know.	with the far left bunker, slowly progressing to the third bunker.
00:00:21	KINNARD: "Television could not	Graphic: "Researcher Douglas

	photograph the real war. The full spectrum of the effort" cannot be portrayed on the television screen. TV has to "rely on odds and ends and look for the sensational" !!!.	Kinnard" "Celebrity voice impersonated"
00:00:33	Peter Braestrup: It was show business. ^{liii}	Graphic: "Journalist Peter Braestrup" "Celebrity voice impersonated"
		When the camera gets to the third bunker, tighten to the view screen of a news camera that is filming the burning bunker.
00:00:38	NEWSMAN: the bloody action continues. So far today two American Soldiers were killed and three wounded when a rocket	Bring up the audio of one of the newsmen.
00:00:42	landed on their bunker	The camera draws back out to its original run and continues to travel to bunker 4 on the rail.
00:00:44	Bird chirps again	Audio fades back to the quiet afternoon, ending with the word bunker.
00:00:50 22//	OFFICER ONE: I thought that fire was put out already.	When it centers on the two officers talking, the camera tightens to a medium two-shot of
	OFFICER TWO: It was. Those news guys picked up some diesel fuel from POL and relit it. They said it makes a better backdrop for their story.	them.
00:00:56	Narrator: Only one C-130 was shot down on the runway of the Khe Sanh airport, yet daily news stories from Khe Sanh were regularly filmed in front of the same strangely still burning C-130liv.	Fade to a series of 3 clips of news reports from Khe Sanh filmed in front of the burning C-130 along with a graphic of the various dates of each report in the series.
00:01:08 22// 00:40:58	J.,	FTB
23/ 00:00:00		EXT Night: Return to the ambush

00:00:08 24//	JOHNNY: Cease fire! Cease fire! Miller, Shockley, Russo go check 'em out.	scene with Johnny and focus in on two previously unseen Vietcong soldiers diving off the trail to hide just out of sight. They hold their heads down during the cacophony. Then as "cease fire" is heard, they look up and Young VC brings his weapon to bear. Old VC quietly pushes the barrel of his weapon down with one hand and indicates for him to be quiet with a waiving of his fingers, palm outward in front of his own mouth. Young VC looks at him astonished.
00:00:15	OLD VC: The fight is over. We can only be killed.	Whispering
	YOUNG VC: But	Old VC interrupts, waiving of his fingers as before.
	Old VC: We must live to fight another day. Look, they are all dead. If we die now it will be for nothing	illigers as before.
00:00:24	Johnny: Russo! GI 1: Behind you! GI 2: Look out!	Automatic weapons fire follows a pistol shot as Young and Old VC see Russo fall.
00:00:25	Young VC: Ah	Young VC starts to yell and charge, but Old VC clamps his hand over Young VC's mouth and pulls him down before he can get to his feet. The beginning of Young VC's shout is drowned out by the gunfire. All US eyes are on the area around Russo so the movement is not seen.
00:00:29	Old VC: Shhh, there is nothing we can do now. We are outnumbered.	Whispering loudly in his ear to be heard above the cacophony.
00:00:33	Johnny: Cease fire, cease-fire!	Johnny shouts in the background.

23///	Medic, move it. Stay awake out there guys. Shockley, get back to your business. Keyton, give 'em a hand. Young VC: But we cannot just leave	
	them behind. Who knows what they will do with Nguyen and to the rest of our comrades.	
	Old VC: There is <i>nothing</i> we can do. If we die, their bodies will still be right where they are. We cannot change that. We must live to fight another day.	Whispering firmly
		Young VC realizes the situation, nods his head in agreement and they silently sneak away.
00:00:48 23/// 00:41:38		FTB
24/00:00:00	Narrator: If we will bypass our biased ethnocentric reasoning, we discover the obvious fact that the Vietnamese care about their people just as much as we cared about	Clips Vietnamese families having fun enjoying life together.
00:00:10	ours. Just as we did not leave our fallen soldiers behind unless it was totally unavoidable, the Vietnamese took their fallen comrades home whenever they could.	VC soldiers are carefully collecting their fallen comrades and destroying signs such as blood trails using portable shovels to turn the soil and bushes to sweep away the signs of dragged bodies.
00:00:20	NARRATOR: But, for the U.S. success was measured by body	Troops are carefully examining the scene of a firefight looking for
24//	count ^{Iv} . So, when our forces searched for bodies, the only bodies they could count were the ones that the enemy was <i>forced</i> to leave behind. This only happened when he had to flee too quickly to be able to recover his comrades, or when they were cut off or when	evidence of dead enemy soldiers. They come across a blood trail. One GI motions for the commander to check out the blood trail. The commander holds up a finger to approve a kill confirmation.

	they were wiped outlyi.	
00:00:35 00:00:46 24// 00:42:24	Narrator: For that reason we can understand that while the U.S. measured success by counting enemy dead, most of the enemy dead were <i>not</i> counted.	Truncate another similar scene with a different commander disapproving the kill and the GI arguing non-verbally. The commander confirms the "no" with hand gestures. FTB
25/		
00:00:00	Narrator: Vietnam Veterans received a lot of flack over the My Lai massacre. However there was	Clip/picture of My Lai coverage.
00:00:05	not much coverage of the Vietcong massacres of government officials and civilians during the 25 day	Clip/picture of My Lai coverage
00:00:10	Communist occupation of Hue City ^{lvii} .	Clip/picture of Hue massacre
00:00:15	Bui Tin: When our forces from the North, backed up by local units first moved into Hue, they arrested hundreds of officials and	Graphic over picture of: "NVA Colonel Bui Tin" "Celebrity voice impersonated"
00:00:20	sometimes whole families When the Americans counterattacked in	Photos and/or clips of the mass graves that were found from the
00:00:23	force panic ensued and many of the detainees who could not be evacuated in the confusion were massacred in an attempt to cover up the reality of what had happened in Hue during our temporary occupation of the city ^{lviii} .	Hue massacres. Graphic: "Civilians and government officials massacred by North Vietnamese and Vietcong in Hue City massacre, February 1968". Use a new photo every 3 sec or clip every 5 sec.
00:00:37	city .	End graphic
00:00:40	Truong Chin: Don't write anything like the articles by Thep Moi about our victory over the Americans. They are not correct. They are fabrication and embroiderylix.	Graphic over picture of Truong Chinh: "Interview of Truong Chinh (Anh Than)" "General Secretary of the Communist Party of Indochina" "(Ho Chi Minh's boss)" "Celebrity voice impersonated."

00:00:50		FTB
25/		
00:43:14		
26/		
00:00:00	Narrator: The extent of the affect	American flag waving
	that the media had on the onset of	
	PTSD among Vietnam Veterans	
00:00:05	may never be known. It is certain	Inaudible Walter Cronkite clip
	that a study into this subject would	
00 00 10	be beneficial to veterans and	
00:00:10	journalists. It is questionable that	Inaudible clip of reporting in front of the Khe Sanh C-130.
	enough data can be collected to create a valid study. At least	of the Kile Saini C-130.
	collecting a database of interviews	
00:00:15	and surveys of the remaining	Photos or clips of Vietnam Combat
00.00.13	Vietnam Combat Veterans might	Veterans with the 1000 yard stare
	provide some insights into the	Veteralis with the 1000 yard stare
	extent of the problem.	
	•	
00:00:25	Today's American media displayed	Photos or clips of Iraq Combat
	an obsession about the lack of	Veterans with the 1000 yard stare
	Weapons of Mass Destruction in	
	Iraq. Perhaps Iraq War Veterans	
	could be studied to discover if the	
	media's agenda is causing	
	problems for them as well.	
00:00:36		FTB
26/		Roll Credits
00:43:50		Kon Credits
00.43.30		

Appendix I: Treatment

Client: Set the Record Straight Foundation, http://VietnamWarMyths.com

Project: Educational Film

Producer: Richard Simonson, M.Ed.

Date: 10 August 2013

COMMUNICATION OBJECTIVES

Demonstrate that communication difficulties occurred between the U.S. media and official sources that led to misinterpretations concerning events that occurred during the Vietnam War.

Create a digital film that combines fictional narrative with historical documentation to demonstrate examples of communication difficulties that occurred during and after the Vietnam War.

Demonstrate the affect that media bias had on the Vietnam Veteran.

Discuss the affects that media bias had on the Vietnam Veteran using narrative dialogue, visual examples and historical statements by major players during and after the Vietnam War. Critique media portrayals of the Vietnam Veteran with a view to dispelling myths.

AUDIENCE ANALYSIS

One target audience is the Vietnam Veteran and his or her family with a view toward demonstrating that his or her service was valuable, important and meaningful. Additionally to establish that strategic failures that occurred during the Vietnam War were not his or her responsibility.

Another target audience is the media student and practicing professional with a view toward instilling the necessity upon these persons to take care when writing, editing or producing stories about current or past events to analyze the amount of bias they are injecting or allowing to be injected into a story and to consider how that bias will affect a story's real life players.

A third target audience is the history educator, specifically the textbook writer and history teacher, instructor or professor with a view toward correcting myths that are found in contemporary history textbooks and classrooms concerning the Vietnam War.

PRODUCTION DESIGN

The film integrates historical fiction with historical documentation to create a documentary film that does not feel like a documentary. A documentary that feels like entertainment has a better chance of being viewed by a wider audience. Additionally this builds on contemporary educational theory, which establishes the principle that the learner receives information more readily if his or her cognitive barriers are lowered. Education distributed via entertainment lowers these cognitive barriers.

STORY LINE

Set in March of 1968, a Vietnam Combat Veteran (suffering from PTSD) and his wife discuss the differences between media reports that he sees on TV and in the newspapers and the reality of his personal experiences after he smashes the family television with a beer bottle. While discussing the media reports the Veteran flashes back to events that occurred during his tour. Other historical events that coordinate with the Veteran's experiences are dramatized based on historical records.

The last eleven minutes of the film use narration voiced over actual clips and pictures of events and players during the Vietnam era. This is integrated with some dramatic presentations of events as they are discussed. The topics of the narration are the possible effects of media bias on PTSD victims, particularly combat veterans, and some ways in which media bias was perpetrated. Quotes from players such as Peter Arnett, North Vietnamese Army Colonel Bui Tin and President Kennedy are impersonated with voice actors. In the case of living celebrities, their own voice will be solicited for this production.

Appendix II: Detailed Budget Analysis

DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	ا ۸	JUSTED* TOTAL
	Quaritity	Days	IVATE	I LIX		TOTAL	AD.	JOSTED TOTAL
Pre-Production	_							
Creative Design	1	45.00	\$50.00	hr	\$	2,250.00	\$	3,037.50
Script	1	1.00	\$44,445.00	each	\$	44,445.00	\$	60,000.75
Script Modification	1	30.00	\$650.00	day	\$	19,500.00	\$	26,325.00
Producer	1	20.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	20,764.00	\$	28,031.40
Production Coordinator	1	20.00	\$981.00	day	\$	19,620.00	\$	26,487.00
Production Assistant	1	20.00	\$491.00	day	\$	9,820.00	\$	13,257.00
Location Scout	1	3.00	\$981.00	day	\$	2,943.00	\$	3,973.05
Travel Expenses (location scouti	2	5.00	\$275.00	day	\$	2,750.00	\$	2,970.00
Air fare rt las to hou	2	1.00	\$1,172.00	each	\$	2,344.00	\$	2,531.52
Office Expenses	1	1.00	\$700.00	fee	\$	700.00	\$	756.00
Shipping / Couriers	1	1.00	\$300.00	fee	\$	300.00	\$	324.00
Insurance (awaiting estimate)					\$	25,000.00	\$	25,000.00
car rental location scouting	2	1.00	\$398.00	week	\$	796.00	\$	859.68
					\$	-	\$	-
Sub-Total : Pre-Production					\$	151,232.00	\$	193,552.90
*Adjusted Total includes 35% payroll	tax or 8%	sales tax a	s appropriate					
Production			sed on 8hr day					
Cannon 5d mk 3	1	2.00	\$3,499.00	each	\$	6,998.00	\$	7,557.84
Cannon 5d mk 5	0	0.00	\$2,695.00	each	\$	0,330.00	\$	1,331.04
Lens ef-s 17-55mm	1	2.00	\$1,179.00	each	\$	2,358.00	\$	2,546.64
	1				_	2,338.00	_	
lens kit 2 pieces Lens ef-s 55-250mm	1	2.00	\$105.00 \$859.00	each	\$	1,718.00	\$	226.80 1,855.44
					_		_	
Pelican 1560 6 lense case	1	1.00	\$403.00	each	\$	403.00	\$	435.24
battery pack		8.00	\$85.00	each	\$	680.00	_	734.40
battery charger lc-e6	1	6.00	\$58.00	each	\$	348.00	\$	375.84
ac adapter	1	2.00	\$153.00	each	\$	306.00	\$	330.48
tmeer/remote control	1	2.00	\$178.50	each	\$	357.00	\$	385.56
sachtler fsb 6 0407fluid head	1	2.00	\$1,295.60	each	\$	2,591.20	\$	2,798.50
tripod legs	1	2.00	\$169.40	each	\$	338.80	\$	365.90
Stedi Cam Package	1	2.00	\$499.00	each	\$	998.00	\$	1,077.84
Tascam DR-5	1	2.00	\$99.00	each	\$	198.00	\$	213.84
Grip Package - 3 ton in Houston	1	22.00	\$450.00	day	\$	9,900.00	\$	10,692.00
mileage	1	200.00	\$0.75	mile	\$	150.00	\$	162.00
Grip Package - 1 ton pkg	1	0.00	\$350.00	day	\$	-	\$	-
Grip Package - 7 tonpkg	1	0.00	\$700.00	day	\$	-	\$	-
Studio	1	2.00	\$1,500.00		\$	3,000.00	\$	3,240.00
scandisc compact flash memory	2	6.00	\$78.95	card	\$	947.40	\$	1,023.19
SDHC card 64g class 10 extreme	2	4.00	\$118.95	card	\$	951.60	\$	1,027.73
Transporation flight hotel car pa	1	3.00	\$2,434.00	2wks	\$	7,302.00	\$	7,886.16
Transporation flight hotel car pa	1	3.00	\$2,263.00		\$	6,789.00	\$	7,332.12
Location Fees Treeline	1	1.00	\$1,000.00		\$	1,000.00	\$	1,080.00
Location Fees Jungle	1	1.00	\$1,000.00		\$	1,000.00	\$	1,080.00
Binoculars, Millitary w/ strap	1	1.00	\$50.00		\$	50.00	\$	54.00
camo face paint	1	10.00	\$2.99	each	\$	29.90	\$	32.29
stage blood	1	1.00	\$30.00		\$	30.00	\$	32.40
dump fees	1	2.00	\$100.00	ton	\$	200.00	\$	216.00
General production total					\$	52,111.40	\$	55,600.99
Sub-Total: Production w/ scenes					\$	465,087.45	\$	567,635.37
Post-Production								
			ofidential					

Confidential

Editor 1 100.00 \$30.00 hr \$3,000.00 \$4,05 Assistant Editor / Digitize 1 100.00 \$20.00 hr \$2,000.00 \$2,70 On-Line Edit Suite w/ Editor 2 100.00 \$10.00 hr \$2,000.00 \$2,70 VO Narrator 1 7.25 \$240.00 day \$1,740.00 \$2,34 VO other 1 5.50 \$160.00 day \$880.00 \$1,18 VO Record Session 1 12.75 \$30.00 hr \$382.50 \$38 Audio Mix Session 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$1,50 Tape Stock DVD review copies 1 30.00 \$4.00 disc \$120.00 \$12 Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee - \$20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 TV	6.28 60.00 00.00 00.00 9.00 88.00 62.50 00.00 9.60 25.00 00.00
Editor 1 100.00 \$30.00 hr \$3,000.00 \$4,05 Assistant Editor / Digitize 1 100.00 \$20.00 hr \$2,000.00 \$2,70 On-Line Edit Suite w/ Editor 2 100.00 \$10.00 hr \$2,000.00 \$2,70 VO Narrator 1 7.25 \$240.00 day \$1,740.00 \$2,34 VO other 1 5.50 \$160.00 day \$880.00 \$1,18 VO Record Session 1 12.75 \$30.00 hr \$382.50 \$38 Audio Mix Session 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$1,50 Tape Stock DVD review copies 1 30.00 \$4.00 disc \$12.00 \$12 Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee - \$20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 TV C	9.00 9.00 9.00 88.00 62.50 9.60 9.60 9.60 9.60 9.60
Assistant Editor / Digitize 1 100.00 \$20.00 hr \$2,000.00 \$2,70 On-Line Edit Suite w/ Editor 2 100.00 \$10.00 hr \$2,000.00 \$2,70 VO Narrator 1 7.25 \$240.00 day \$1,740.00 \$2,34 VO other 1 5.50 \$160.00 day \$880.00 \$1,18 VO Record Session 1 12.75 \$30.00 hr \$382.50 \$38 Audio Mix Session 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$1,50 Tape Stock DVD review copies 1 30.00 \$4.00 disc \$120.00 \$12 Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee \$- \$20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$233.33 \$23	00.00 00.00 9.00 88.00 82.50 00.00 9.60 25.00 00.00
On-Line Edit Suite w/ Editor 2 100.00 \$10.00 hr \$2,000.00 \$2,70 VO Narrator 1 7.25 \$240.00 day \$1,740.00 \$2,34 VO other 1 5.50 \$160.00 day \$880.00 \$1,18 VO Record Session 1 12.75 \$30.00 hr \$382.50 \$38 Audio Mix Session 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$1,50 Tape Stock DVD review copies 1 30.00 \$4.00 disc \$120.00 \$12 Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee \$- \$20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$233.33 \$23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$275.00 \$29 Film Clip Resear	9.00 88.00 82.50 9.60 25.00 9.60 25.00
VO Narrator 1 7.25 \$240.00 day \$1,740.00 \$2,34 VO other 1 5.50 \$160.00 day \$880.00 \$1,18 VO Record Session 1 12.75 \$30.00 hr \$382.50 \$38 Audio Mix Session 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$1,50 Tape Stock DVD review copies 1 30.00 \$4.00 disc \$120.00 \$12 Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee \$- \$20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$233.33 \$23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$275.00 \$29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$480.00 \$51	9.00 88.00 92.50 90.00 29.60 25.00
VO other 1 5.50 \$160.00 day \$880.00 \$1,18 VO Record Session 1 12.75 \$30.00 hr \$382.50 \$38 Audio Mix Session 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$1,50 Tape Stock DVD review copies 1 30.00 \$4.00 disc \$120.00 \$12 Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee - \$20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$1,500.00 \$2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$233.33 \$23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$275.00 \$29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$480.00 \$51	88.00 82.50 90.00 9.60 25.00 9.5.00
VO Record Session 1 12.75 \$30.00 hr \$ 382.50 \$ 38 Audio Mix Session 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 1,50 Tape Stock DVD review copies 1 30.00 \$4.00 disc \$ 120.00 \$ 12 Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee - \$ 20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$ 233.33 \$ 23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$ 275.00 \$ 29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$ 480.00 \$ 51	2.50 00.00 29.60 25.00 00.00
Audio Mix Session 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 1,50 Tape Stock DVD review copies 1 30.00 \$4.00 disc \$ 120.00 \$ 12 Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee - \$ 20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$ 233.33 \$ 23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$ 275.00 \$ 29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$ 480.00 \$ 51	9.60 25.00 00.00 25.00
Tape Stock DVD review copies 1 30.00 \$4.00 disc \$ 120.00 \$ 12 Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee - \$ 20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$ 233.33 \$ 23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$ 275.00 \$ 29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$ 480.00 \$ 51	9.60 5.00 0.00 5.00
Color correction 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee - \$ 20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$ 233.33 \$ 23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$ 275.00 \$ 29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$ 480.00 \$ 51	25.00 00.00 25.00
Music - (NB) awaiting quote fee - \$ 20,00 Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$ 233.33 \$ 23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$ 275.00 \$ 29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$ 480.00 \$ 51	0.00
Sound FX 1 50.00 \$30.00 hr \$ 1,500.00 \$ 2,02 TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$ 233.33 \$ 23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$ 275.00 \$ 29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$ 480.00 \$ 51	5.00
TV archive research 1 11.67 \$20.00 hr \$ 233.33 \$ 23 TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$ 275.00 \$ 29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$ 480.00 \$ 51	
TV Clips 1 11.00 \$25.00 each \$ 275.00 \$ 29 Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$ 480.00 \$ 51	0.00
Film Clip Research 2 12.00 \$20.00 hr \$ 480.00 \$ 51	7.00
	8.40
	8.00
	8.40
	3.20
	31.00
	5.00
*	3.89
	7.84
Sub-Total : Post-Production \$ 25,143.38 \$ 51,61	
Sub-10tal : 10st-10tatetion	1.77
Duplication	
DVD Mastering 1 1.00 \$6,700.00 10k \$ 6,700.00 \$ 6,70	00.00
Blue ray mastering 1 1.00 \$16,000.00 5k \$ 16,000.00 \$ 16,00	0.00
each \$	-
Sub-Total : Duplication \$ 22,700.00 \$ 22,70	0.00
Tax & Shipping 1 1.00 \$3,405.00 \$ 3,40	5.00
Gifting to SUU Comm dept. 1 1.00 \$10,000.00 \$ 10,00	
TOTAL \$ 664,162.83 \$ 848,90	4 71
Contingency Planning 20% \$ 132,832.57 \$ 169,78	0.94
Fundraising package 1 1.00 \$277,200 次 \$277,200 \$ 332,64	0.94
Fundraising package 1 1.00 \$277,200 ≫ \$277,200 \$ 332,64 Project Total \$ 1,074,195.40 \$ 1,351,32	0.94
Fundraising package 1 1.00 \$277,200 次 \$277,200 \$ 332,64	0.94
Fundraising package 1 1.00 \$277,200 ≫ \$277,200 \$ 332,64 Project Total \$ 1,074,195.40 \$ 1,351,32	0.94
Fundraising package 1 1.00 \$277,200 \$ \$277,200 \$ 332,64	30.94 30.00 25.65
Fundraising package 1 1.00 \$277,200 \$ \$277,200 \$ 332,64	30.94 30.00 25.65
Fundraising package 1 1.00 \$277,200 \$ \$277,200 \$ 332,64	30.94 30.00 25.65
Fundraising package 1 1.00 \$277,200 \$ \$277,200 \$ 332,64	30.94 30.00 25.65
Fundraising package 1 1.00 \$277,200 \$ \$277,200 \$ 332,64	30.94 30.00 25.65
Fundraising package	0.94 0.00 25.65
Fundraising package	0.94 0.00 25.65 OTAL
Fundraising package	0.94 0.00 25.65 0TAL 00.79
Fundraising package	0.94 0.00 25.65 0TAL 00.79 00.79 00.28
Fundraising package	00.94 00.00 00.75 00.79 00.79 00.28 01.13
Fundraising package	00.79 00.28 01.13 07.50

	DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	I AD	JUSTED* TOTAL
				i		Φ.			
	air cannon	1	1.00	\$50.00	each	\$	50.00	\$	54.00
	air compressor w/ 50 ft hose		1.00	\$205.00	day	_	205.00	\$	221.40
	2 x 4 x 8 studs	5	1.00	\$2.49	each	\$	12.45	\$	13.45
	drywall screws 3"	1	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	2.19	\$	2.37
Total S	Scene 1					\$	5,234.59	\$	6,594.64
Scene	s 2, 4, 11, 16, 18 & bkgrnd								
occiic.	Director	1	3.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	3,114.60	\$	4,204.71
	AD	1	3.00	\$491.00	day	\$	1,473.00	\$	1,988.55
	Producer	1	3.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	3,114.60	\$	4,204.71
	Unit Production Manager	1	3.00	\$593.00	day	\$	1,779.00	\$	2,401.65
	AP	1	3.00	\$491.00	day	\$	1,473.00	\$	1,988.55
	DP	1	3.00	\$900.00	uay	\$	2,700.00	\$	3,645.00
	Audio Engineer	1	3.00	\$400.00	day	\$	1,200.00	\$	1,620.00
	Audio Recordist	1	2.00	\$320.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
	Audio/Grip	1	2.00	\$320.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
	Event Photographer	1	1.50	\$200.00	day	\$	300.00	\$	405.00
	Production Assistant - 10 hour d	1	3.00	\$491.00	day	\$	1,473.00	\$	648.00
	Property manager	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	405.00
	Assistant Property manager	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.00
	Costume supervisor	1	3.00	\$240.00	day	\$	720.00	\$	972.00
	Costumer	1	3.00	\$160.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
	Make up supervisor	1	2.00	\$240.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
	Gopher	1	3.00	\$160.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
	Make up	1	2.00	\$160.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.00
		1	2.00	\$859.00		\$		\$	
	Talent: Johnny Talent: Bill	1			day	\$	1,718.00	\$	2,319.30
		1	1.00	\$859.00	day day	\$	859.00	\$	1,159.65
	Talent: Sue Talent: Kid 1	1	0.50	\$859.00		\$	1,718.00 80.00	\$	2,319.30 108.00
	Talent: kid 2	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$	80.00	\$	108.00
	Child handler 1	2	0.50	\$160.00 \$160.00	day day	\$	160.00	\$	216.00
		1				\$		\$	
	Cameraman Gaffer	1	2.00	\$600.00 \$360.00	day day	\$	1,200.00 720.00	\$	1,620.00 972.00
	Grip	1		\$300.00		\$		\$	
	Prompter Operator/script superv	1	2.00 0.50	\$240.00	day day	\$	640.00 120.00	\$	864.00 162.00
		1			day	\$		\$	
	Event Photographer	1	2.00	\$200.00 \$200.00		\$	100.00 400.00	\$	135.00 540.00
	Carpenter Laborer	2	2.50	\$200.00	day day	\$	800.00	\$	1,080.00
		1	1.00	\$200.00		\$	200.00	\$	270.00
	painter Craft Service	1	66.50	\$200.00	day day	\$	1,662.50	\$	1,662.50
	Catering	1	66.50	\$100.00	day	\$	6,650.00	\$	6,650.00
	-	52	1.00	\$100.00	each	\$	130.00	\$	140.40
	2 x 4 x 8 studs 4 x 8 x 1/2 drywall	10	1.00	\$8.00	each	\$	80.00	\$	86.40
	Bolt, washer and nut sets 4" x 3	10			each	\$		Þ	00.40
		2	0.50 1.00	\$41.19 \$5.00	lb	\$	20.60 10.00	\$	10.80
		<u>_</u>	1.00	\$J.00	in	Ψ	10.00	ıΦ	
	drywall screws 3"	2	1 001	¢5 00	lh	4	10.00	ф	10 90
	drywall screws 1 1/4"	2	1.00	\$5.00 \$30.00	lb	\$	10.00	\$	10.80
	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint	2	1.00	\$30.00	gal	\$	60.00	\$	64.80
	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint paint kit	2	1.00 1.00	\$30.00 \$12.00	gal each	\$	60.00 12.00	\$	64.80 12.96
	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint paint kit door	2 1 2	1.00 1.00 1.00	\$30.00 \$12.00 \$40.00	gal each each	\$ \$	60.00 12.00 80.00	\$ \$ \$	64.80 12.96 86.40
	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint paint kit door gaffers tape	2 1 2 2	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$30.00 \$12.00 \$40.00 \$20.00	gal each each roll	\$ \$ \$	60.00 12.00 80.00 40.00	\$ \$ \$	64.80 12.96 86.40 43.20
	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint paint kit door gaffers tape bailing wire	2 1 2 2 1	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$30.00 \$12.00 \$40.00 \$20.00 \$4.00	gal each each roll roll	\$ \$ \$ \$	60.00 12.00 80.00 40.00 4.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	64.80 12.96 86.40 43.20 4.32
	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint paint kit door gaffers tape	2 1 2 2	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$30.00 \$12.00 \$40.00 \$20.00	gal each each roll	\$ \$ \$	60.00 12.00 80.00 40.00	\$ \$ \$	64.80 12.96 86.40 43.20
Total !	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint paint kit door gaffers tape bailing wire	2 1 2 2 1	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$30.00 \$12.00 \$40.00 \$20.00 \$4.00	gal each each roll roll	\$ \$ \$ \$	60.00 12.00 80.00 40.00 4.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	64.80 12.96 86.40 43.20 4.32
	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint paint kit door gaffers tape bailing wire moving dolly Scene 2, 4, 11, 16, 18, & bkgrnd	2 1 2 2 1	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$30.00 \$12.00 \$40.00 \$20.00 \$4.00	gal each each roll roll	\$ \$ \$ \$	60.00 12.00 80.00 40.00 4.00 12.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	64.80 12.96 86.40 43.20 4.32 12.96
	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint paint kit door gaffers tape bailing wire moving dolly Scene 2, 4, 11, 16, 18, & bkgrnd 3 & 23	2 1 2 2 1 1	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$30.00 \$12.00 \$40.00 \$20.00 \$4.00 \$12.00	gal each each roll roll day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	60.00 12.00 80.00 40.00 12.00 38,513.30	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	64.80 12.96 86.40 43.20 4.32 12.96
	drywall screws 1 1/4" paint paint kit door gaffers tape bailing wire moving dolly Scene 2, 4, 11, 16, 18, & bkgrnd	2 1 2 2 1	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$30.00 \$12.00 \$40.00 \$20.00 \$4.00	gal each each roll roll	\$ \$ \$ \$	60.00 12.00 80.00 40.00 4.00 12.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	64.80 12.96 86.40 43.20 4.32 12.96

DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	AD.	JUSTED* TOTAL
Producer	1	3.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	3,114.60	\$	4,204.71
AP	1	2.00	\$491.00	day	\$	982.00	\$	1,325.70
Unit Production Manager	1	3.00	\$593.00	day	\$	1,779.00	\$	2,401.65
Director of Photography	1	3.00	\$900.00	day	\$	2,700.00	\$	3,645.00
Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1	2.00	\$400.00	day	\$	800.00	\$	1,080.00
Audio Recordist	1	1.50	\$320.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1	1.50	\$320.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Event Photographer	1	1.50	\$200.00	day	\$	300.00	\$	405.00
Production Assistant - 10 hour d	1	3.00	\$491.00	day	\$	1,473.00	\$	1,988.55
Property manager	1	1.50	\$320.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Assistant Property manager	1	1.50	\$240.00	day	\$	360.00	\$	486.00
Technical advisor	1	3.00	\$240.00	day	\$	720.00	\$	972.00
Stunt Coordinator	1	3.00	\$1,335.00	day	\$	4,005.00	\$	5,406.75
Costume supervisor	1	2.00	\$240.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Costumer	1	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.00
Make up supervisor	1	2.00	\$240.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Make up	2	2.00	\$160.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
Property manager	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.00
Assistant Property manager	2	0.00	\$240.00	day	\$	-	\$	-
Prompter Operator/script superv	1	1.50	\$240.00	day	\$	360.00	\$	486.00
Event Photographer	1	1.50	\$200.00	day	\$	300.00	\$	405.00
Gopher	1	3.00	\$160.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Talent: Johnny	1	1.50	\$859.00	day	\$	1,288.50	\$	1,739.48
Talent: Russo	1	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	375.00	\$	506.25
Talent: Ross	1	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	375.00	\$	506.25
Talent: Miller	1	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	375.00	\$	506.25
Talent: Shockley	1	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	375.00	\$	506.25
Talent: GI 1	1	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	375.00	\$	506.25
Talent: GI 2	1	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	375.00	\$	506.25
Talent: Medic	1	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	375.00	\$	506.25
Talent: Keyton	1	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	375.00	\$	506.25
Talent: Clouse	1	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	375.00	\$	506.25
Talent: RTO Talent: Voice Radio Quasar Six	1	1.50 1.50	\$250.00 \$240.00	day	\$	375.00 360.00	\$	506.25 486.00
Talent: Extras, American	<u>1</u> 5	1.50	\$250.00	day	\$	1,875.00	\$	2,531.25
Talent: Extras, American Talent: Extras, Asian	12	1.50	\$300.00	day day	\$	5,400.00	\$	7,290.00
Talent: VC officer	1	1.50	\$300.00	day	\$	450.00	\$	607.50
Talent: Old VC	1	1.50	\$300.00	day	\$	450.00	\$	607.50
Talent: Young VC	1	1.50	\$300.00	day	\$	450.00	\$	607.50
Cameraman	2	2.00	\$600.00	day	\$	2,400.00	\$	3,240.00
Gaffer	2	3.00	\$360.00	day	\$	2,160.00	\$	2,916.00
Grip	2	3.00	\$320.00	day	\$	1,920.00	\$	2,592.00
Craft Service	1	113.50	\$25.00	day	\$	2,837.50	\$	2,837.50
Catering	1	113.50	\$100.00	day	\$	11,350.00	\$	11,350.00
Gaffer's tape	2	1.00	\$20.00	each	\$	40.00	\$	43.20
shirts, jungle fatigues camo	1	1.00	\$19.95	each	\$	19.95	\$	21.55
pants, jungle fatigues camo	1	1.00	\$19.95	each	\$	19.95	\$	21.55
Boots, Jungle	1	1.00	\$31.50	pair	\$	31.50	\$	34.02
insignias black "US Army"	1	1.00	\$2.77	each	\$	2.77	\$	2.99
insignias black "name tag"	1	1.00	\$8.03	each	\$	8.03	\$	8.67
collar pin black "staff sergeant"	1	1.00	\$7.99	pair	\$	7.99	\$	8.63
insignias black "Big Red One"	15	1.00	\$5.99		\$	89.85	\$	97.04
Steel Pots	1	1.00	\$100.00	each	\$	100.00	\$	108.00
helmet covers, camo	1	1.00	\$10.00	each	\$	10.00	\$	10.80
	1	1.00	\$130.00	each	\$	130.00	\$	140.40
Military radio ca. 1960's w/ hand				day	\$	-	\$	-
Asian pistol	0	2.00			_			
Asian pistol blank pistol ammo		0.10	\$350.00	1000	\$	35.00	\$	37.80
Asian pistol blank pistol ammo AK-47s	0 1 0	0.10 2.00		1000 day	\$	-	\$	-
Asian pistol blank pistol ammo AK-47s blank ammo	0 1 0	0.10 2.00 0.05	\$350.00 \$350.00	1000 day 1000	\$ \$ \$	35.00 - 17.50	\$ \$	37.80 - 18.90
Asian pistol blank pistol ammo AK-47s	0 1 0	0.10 2.00		1000 day	\$	-	\$	-

DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER	TOTAL	ADJ	JUSTED* TOTAL
Cigarettes	1	1.00	\$7.00	pack	\$ 7.00	\$	7.56
Brown military pouch	2	1.00	\$11.00	each	\$ 22.00	\$	23.76
Military poncho	1	1.00	\$18.95	each	\$ 18.95	\$	20.47
otal Scenes 3 & 23					\$ 60,311.69	\$	76,284.92
							- /
cene 5						\$	_
Director	1	1.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$ 1,038.20	\$	1,401.5
AD	1	1.00	\$491.00	day	\$ 491.00	\$	662.8
Producer	1	1.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$ 1,038.20	\$	1,401.5
AP	1	1.00	\$491.00	day	\$ 491.00	\$	662.8
Unit Production Manager	1	1.00	\$593.00	day	\$ 593.00	\$	800.5
Director of Photography	1	1.00	\$900.00	day	\$ 900.00	\$	1,215.0
Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1	1.00	\$400.00	day	\$ 400.00	\$	540.0
Audio Recordist	1	0.50	\$320.00	day	\$ 160.00	\$	216.0
Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1	0.50	\$320.00	day	\$ 160.00	\$	216.00
Event Photographer	1	0.50	\$200.00	day	\$ 100.00	\$	135.0
Production Assistant - 10 hour d	1	1.00	\$491.00	day	\$ 491.00	\$	662.8
Property manager	1	0.50	\$320.00	day	\$ 160.00	\$	216.00
Assistant Property manager	1	0.50	\$240.00	day	\$ 120.00	\$	162.00
Technical advisor	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$ 240.00	\$	324.0
Costume supervisor	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$ 240.00	\$	324.0
Make up supervisor	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$ 240.00	\$	324.00
Make up	2	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$ 320.00	\$	432.0
Cameraman	1	1.00	\$600.00	day	\$ 600.00	\$	810.00
Gaffer	1	1.00	\$360.00	day	\$ 360.00	\$	486.0
Grip	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$ 320.00	\$	432.0
Prompter Operator/script superv	1	0.50	\$240.00	day	\$ 120.00	\$	162.0
Event Photographer	1	0.50	\$200.00	day	\$ 100.00	\$	135.0
Gopher	1	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$ 160.00	\$	216.00
Talent: Johnny	1	0.50	\$859.00	day	\$ 429.50	\$	579.8
Talent: Company Commander	1	0.50	\$250.00	day	\$ 125.00	\$	168.7
Talent: Ross	1	0.50	\$250.00	day	\$ 125.00	\$	168.7
Talent: Miller	1	0.50	\$250.00	day	\$ 125.00	\$	168.7
Talent: Shockley	1	0.50	\$250.00	day	\$ 125.00	\$	168.7
Talent: Extras American	0	0.50	\$250.00	day	\$ -	\$	
Talent: Medic	0	0.50	\$250.00	day	\$ -	\$	-
Talent: Keyton	0	0.50	\$250.00	day	\$ -	\$	-
Talent: Clouse Talent: RTO	0	0.50	\$250.00 \$250.00	day	\$ 	\$	
Talent: Extras, Asian	1	0.50	\$300.00	day day	\$ 150.00	\$	202.50
Craft Service	1	23.50	\$25.00	day	\$ 587.50	\$	587.5
Catering	1	23.50	\$100.00	day	\$ 2,350.00	\$	2,350.0
helocopters UH -1 w/ pilots	2	4.00	\$749.00	hr	\$ 5,992.00	\$	6,471.3
shirts, jungle fatigues OD green	1	1.00	\$19.95	each	\$ 19.95	\$	21.5
pants, jungle fatigues OD green	1	1.00	\$19.95	each	\$ 19.95	\$	21.5
Boots jungle	1	1.00	\$31.50	pair	\$ 31.50	\$	34.0
litters OD green military	2	1.00	\$39.00	each	\$ 78.00	\$	84.2
otal Scenes 5					\$ 19,000.80	\$	22,964.7
enes 6 - 10							
Director	1	1.50	\$1,038.20	day	\$ 1,557.30	\$	2,102.3
AD	1	1.50	\$491.00	day	\$ 736.50	\$	994.2
Producer	1	1.50	\$1,038.20	day	\$ 1,557.30	\$	2,102.3
AP	1	1.50	\$491.00	day	\$ 736.50	\$	994.2
Unit Production Manager	1	1.50	\$593.00	day	\$ 889.50	\$	1,200.8
Director of Photography	1	1.50	\$900.00	day	\$ 1,350.00	\$	1,822.5
Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1	1.50	\$400.00	day	\$ 600.00	\$	810.0

		JIIIORES	creen budget					
DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	AD.	JUSTED* TOTAL
Audio Recordist	1	1.50	\$320.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1	1.50	\$320.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Event Photographer	1	1.50	\$200.00	day	\$	300.00	\$	405.00
Production Assistant - 10 hour	1	1.50	\$491.00	day	\$	736.50	\$	994.28
Property manager	1	1.50	\$320.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Assistant Property manager	1	1.50	\$240.00	day	\$	360.00	\$	486.00
Technical advisor	1	1.50	\$240.00	day	\$	360.00	\$	486.00
Costume supervisor	1	1.50	\$240.00	day	\$	360.00	\$	486.00
Make up supervisor	1	1.50	\$240.00	day	\$	360.00	\$	486.00
Cameraman	1	1.50	\$600.00	day	\$	900.00	\$	1,215.00
Gaffer	1	1.50	\$360.00	day	\$	540.00	\$	729.00
Grip	1	1.50	\$320.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Prompter Operator/script super	1	1.50	\$240.00	day	\$	360.00	\$	486.00
Event Photographer	0	1.50	\$200.00	day	\$	-	\$	-
Gopher	1	1.50	\$160.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.00
Talent: Johnny	1	1.00	\$859.00	day	\$	859.00	\$	1,159.65
Talent: Batco	1	1.00	\$250.00	day	\$	250.00	\$	337.50
Talent: Top	1	1.00	\$250.00	day	\$	250.00	\$	337.50
Talent: sp-5	1	1.00	\$250.00	day	\$	250.00	\$	337.50
Talent: Capt Vo	1	0.50	\$300.00	day	\$	150.00	\$	202.50
Carpenter	1	2.00	\$200.00	day	\$	400.00	\$	540.00
Laborer	2	2.00	\$160.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
painter	1	1.00	\$200.00	day	\$	200.00	\$	270.00
Craft Service	1	43.00	\$25.00	day	\$	1,075.00	\$	1,075.00
Catering	1	43.00	\$100.00	day	\$	4,300.00	\$	4,300.00
2 x 4 x 8 studs	114	1.00	\$2.47	each	\$	281.58	\$	304.11
4 x 8 x 1/2 plywood	11	1.00	\$8.00	each	\$	88.00	\$	95.04
screen 48" width x 50'	1	1.60	\$136.00	roll	\$	217.60	\$	235.01
Bolt, washer and nut sets 4" x 3		0.20	\$41.19	25	\$	8.24	\$	8.90
drywall screws 3"	2	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	4.38	\$	4.73
drywall screws 1 1/4"	2	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	4.38	\$	4.73
paint	2	1.00	\$20.00	gal	\$	40.00	\$	43.20
paint kit	1	1.00	\$12.00	each	\$	12.00	\$	12.96
door, wood	1	1.00	\$40.00	each	\$	40.00	\$	43.20
door, screen	1	1.00	\$56.97	each	\$	56.97	\$	61.53
gaffers tape	1	1.00	\$20.00	roll	\$	20.00	\$	21.60
	1			roll	\$	4.00	\$	
bailing wire	1	1.00	\$4.00	roll	\$		\$	4.32
screen 48" width	1	0.85	\$136.00	each	\$	115.60	\$	124.85
door, screen door, wood	1	0.00	\$56.95		\$		\$	
			\$41.00	each	_		_	
Grey steel desk, used	2	1.00	\$950.00	each	\$	1,900.00	\$	2,052.00
sandbags	58	1.00	\$0.39	each	\$	22.62	\$	24.43
moving dolly	1	1.00	\$12.00	day	Ф	12.00	\$	12.96
7.1.0.16						05.05.5		04.4
Total scenes 6 - 10					\$	25,064.97	\$	31,193.07
Scene 10								
Director	1	0.50	\$1,038.20	day	\$	519.10	\$	700.79
AD	1	0.50	\$491.00	day	\$	245.50	\$	331.43
Producer	1	0.50	\$1,038.20	day	\$	519.10	\$	700.79
AP	1	0.50	\$491.00	day	\$	245.50	\$	331.43
Unit Production Manager	1	0.50	\$593.00	day	\$	296.50	\$	400.28
Director of Photography	1	0.50	\$900.00	day	\$	450.00	\$	607.50
Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1	0.50	\$400.00	day	\$	200.00	\$	270.00
Audio Recordist	1	0.50	\$320.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.00
Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1	0.50	\$320.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.00
Event Photographer	1	0.50	\$200.00	day	\$	100.00	\$	135.00
Production Assistant - 10 hour		0.50	\$491.00	day	\$	245.50	\$	331.43
Property manager	1	0.50	\$320.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.00
Assistant Property manager	1	0.50	\$240.00	day	\$	120.00	\$	162.00
				,	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	AD.	JUSTED* TOTAL
Technical advisor	1	0.50	\$240.00	day	\$	120.00	\$	162.00
Costume supervisor	1	0.50	\$240.00	day	\$	120.00	\$	162.00
Make up supervisor	1	0.50	\$240.00	day	\$	120.00	\$	162.00
Cameraman	1	0.50	\$600.00	day	\$	300.00	\$	405.00
Gaffer	1	0.50	\$360.00	day	\$	180.00	\$	243.00
Grip	1	0.50	\$320.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.00
Prompter Operator/script superv	1	0.50	\$240.00	day	\$	120.00	\$	162.00
Event Photographer	1	0.50	\$200.00	day	\$	100.00	\$	135.00
Gopher	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$	80.00	\$	108.00
Talent: Batco	1	0.50	\$250.00	day	\$	125.00	\$	168.75
Talent: Brig co	1	0.50	\$250.00	day	\$	125.00	\$	168.75
Carpenter	1	2.00	\$200.00	day	\$	400.00	\$	540.00
Laborer	2	2.00	\$160.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
painter	1	1.00	\$200.00	day	\$	200.00	\$	270.00
Craft Service	1	19.00	\$25.00	day	\$	475.00	\$	513.00
Catering	1	19.00	\$100.00	day	\$	1,900.00	\$	2,052.00
2 x 4 x 8 studs	114	1.00	\$2.47	each	\$	281.58	\$	304.11
4 x 8 x 1/2 plywood	11	1.00	\$8.00	each	\$	88.00	\$	95.04
drywall screws 3"	2	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	4.38	\$	4.73
drywall screws 1 1/4"	2	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	4.38	\$	4.73
paint	2	1.00	\$20.00	gal	\$	40.00	\$	43.20
paint kit	1	1.00	\$12.00	each	\$	12.00	\$	12.96
gaffers tape	1	1.00	\$20.00	roll	\$	20.00	\$	21.60
bailing wire	1	1.00	\$4.00	roll	\$	4.00	\$	4.32
air conditioner	1	1.00	\$119.00	each	\$	119.00	\$	128.52
shirts, jungle fatigues OD green	2	0.00	\$19.95	each	\$	-	\$	-
pants, jungle fatigues OD green	2	0.00	\$19.95	each	\$	-	\$	-
boots, jungle	2	0.00	\$31.50	pair	\$		\$	
collar pins black "Lt Colonel"	2	1.00	\$9.99	each	\$	19.98	\$	21.58
collar pins black "bird Colonel"	2	1.00	\$9.99	each	\$	19.98	\$	21.58
insignia black "Big Red One"	2	1.00	\$5.99	each	\$	11.98	\$	12.94
insignias black "US Army"	2	1.00	\$2.77	each	\$	5.53	\$	5.98
insignias black "name tag"	2	1.00	\$8.03	each	\$	16.06	\$	17.34
moving dolly	1	1.00	\$12.00	day	\$	12.00	\$	12.96
map, III corps 45 x 60	1	1.00	\$33.41	each	\$	33.41	\$	36.08
map, South Vietnam 45 x 90	1	1.00	\$40.83	each	\$	40.83	\$	44.10
map, South Victian 45 x 50		1.00	Ψ-10.03	Cacii	Ψ	40.03	Ψ.	77.10
Total Scene 10					\$	9,319.31	\$	11,741.88
Total Scelle TO					Ф	9,519.51	D	11,741.00
Scene 12 & 13								
Director	1	3.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	3,114.60	\$	4,204.71
AD	1	2.00	\$491.00	day	\$	982.00	\$	1,325.70
Producer	1	3.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	3,114.60	\$	4,204.71
AP	1	2.00	\$491.00	day	\$	982.00	\$	1,325.70
Unit Production Manager	1	3.00	\$593.00	day	\$	1,779.00	\$	2,401.65
Director of Photography	1	3.00	\$900.00	day	\$	2,700.00	\$	3,645.00
Art Director	1	2.00	\$1,297.00	day	\$	2,594.00	\$	3,501.90
Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1	3.00	\$400.00	day	\$	1,200.00	\$	1,620.00
Audio Recordist	1	2.00	\$320.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1	2.00	\$320.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
Event Photographer	1	1.00	\$200.00	day	\$	200.00	\$	270.00
Production Assistant - 10 hour d	1	3.00	\$491.00	day	\$	1,473.00	\$	1,988.55
Property manager	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.00
Assistant Property manager	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.00
Cameraman	1	2.00	\$600.00	day	\$	1,200.00	\$	1,620.00
Prompter Operator/script superv	1	2.00	\$240.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
Event Photographer	1	2.00	\$200.00	day	\$	400.00	\$	540.00
Gaffer	1	2.00	\$360.00	day	\$	720.00	\$	972.00
Grip	1	2.00	\$320.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
Technical advisor	1	2.00	\$240.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00

	DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	AD	JUSTED* TOTAL
	Costume supervisor	1	2.00	\$240.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
	Make up supervisor	1	2.00	\$240.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
	Gopher	1	3.00	\$160.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
	Talent: Lt. Minh	1	2.00	\$300.00	day	\$	600.00	\$	810.00
	Talent: Col. An	1	2.00	\$300.00	day	\$	600.00	\$	810.00
	Talent: Pvt. Nguyen	1	0.50	\$300.00	day	\$	150.00	\$	202.50
	Carpenter	1	1.00	\$200.00	day	\$	200.00	\$	270.00
	Stuco man	1	2.00	\$200.00	day	\$	400.00	\$	540.00
	Laborer	2	2.00	\$160.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
	painter	1	1.00	\$200.00	day	\$	200.00	\$	270.00
	Craft Service	1	62.50	\$25.00	day	\$	1.562.50	\$	1,687.50
-	Catering	1	62.50	\$100.00	day	\$	6,250.00	\$	6,750.00
	2 x 4 x 8 studs	27	1.00	\$2.47	each	\$	66.69	\$	72.03
	4 x 8 x 1/2 plywood		1.00	\$2.47		\$	161.00	\$	
		7			each lb				173.88
	drywall screws 3"	2	1.00	\$2.19	-	\$	4.38	\$	4.73
	staple gun w/5 boxes 1/2"	2	1.00	\$36.10	box	\$	72.20	\$	77.98
	paint	1	1.00	\$20.00	gal	\$	20.00	\$	21.60
	paint kit	1	1.00	\$12.00	each	\$	12.00	\$	12.96
	gaffers tape	1	1.00	\$20.00	roll	\$	20.00	\$	21.60
	bailing wire	1	1.00	\$4.00	roll	\$	4.00	\$	4.32
	4 x 8 x 2 styrofoam sheets	4	1.00	\$29.26	sheet	\$	117.04	\$	126.40
L	cement color	4	1.00	\$5.54	each	\$	22.16	\$	23.93
	Stucco mesh 38" x 150'	1	1.00	\$39.00	roll	\$	39.00	\$	42.12
	chicken wire 1" 50 ft	2	1.00	\$26.00	roll	\$	52.00	\$	56.16
	crates, wood	2	1.00	\$10.00	each	\$	20.00	\$	21.60
	stuco, 80 lb bag	1	1.00	\$161.25	pallet	\$	161.25	\$	174.15
	wheel barrow 6 cf steel	2	1.00	\$49.97	each	\$	99.94	\$	107.94
	shovel, flat tip	2	1.00	\$9.97	each	\$	19.94	\$	21.54
	trowel	3	1.00	\$2.96	each	\$	8.88	\$	9.59
	sand red 90 lb bag	1	1.00	\$16.95	ton	\$	16.95	\$	18.31
	sand white 90 lb bag	1	1.00	\$16.95	ton	\$	16.95	\$	18.31
	map, III corps	1	1.00	\$33.41	each	\$	33.41	\$	36.08
	chair wicker & wood used	1	1.00	\$50.00	each	\$	50.00	\$	54.00
	papers	1	0.25	\$13.00	ream	\$	3.25	\$	3.51
	moving dolly	1	1.00	\$12.00	day	\$	12.00	\$	12.96
Total	Scene 12 & 13					\$	36,974.74	\$	47,527.60
									,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Scene	14								
occiic		1	2 00	¢1 020 20	dov	\$	2 114 60	φ.	4 204 71
	Director	1	3.00	\$1,038.20	day		3,114.60	\$	4,204.71
	AD	1	3.00	\$491.00	day	\$	1,473.00	\$	1,988.55
	Producer	1	3.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	3,114.60	\$	4,204.71
	AP	1	3.00	\$491.00	day	\$	1,473.00	\$	1,988.55
	Unit Production Manager	1	3.00	\$593.00	day	\$	1,779.00	\$	2,401.65
	Director of Photography	1	3.00	\$900.00	day	\$	2,700.00	\$	3,645.00
				\$400.00		\$	1,200.00	\$	1,620.00
	Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1	3.00		day				
	Audio Recordist	1	2.00	\$320.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1	2.00 2.00	\$320.00 \$320.00	day day	\$ \$	640.00 640.00	\$ \$	864.00
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer	1 1 1	2.00 2.00 1.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00	day day day	\$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00	\$ \$	864.00 270.00
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour d	1 1 1	2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00	day day day day	\$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00 1,473.00	\$ \$ \$	864.00 270.00 1,988.55
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager	1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00	day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00 1,473.00 640.00	\$ \$ \$	864.00 270.00 1,988.55 864.00
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager	1 1 1 1 1 2	2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 2.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00	day day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00 1,473.00 640.00 960.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	864.00 270.00 1,988.55 864.00 1,296.00
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor	1 1 1 1 1 2	2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 3.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00	day day day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00 1,473.00 640.00 960.00 720.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	864.00 270.00 1,988.55 864.00 1,296.00 972.00
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Stunt Coordinator	1 1 1 1 2 1	2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 3.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$1,335.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00 1,473.00 640.00 960.00 720.00 4,005.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	864.00 270.00 1,988.55 864.00 1,296.00 972.00 5,406.75
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Stunt Coordinator Costume supervisor	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1	2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 3.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00	day day day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00 1,473.00 640.00 960.00 720.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	864.00 270.00 1,988.55 864.00 1,296.00 972.00
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Stunt Coordinator	1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2	2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 3.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$1,335.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00 1,473.00 640.00 960.00 720.00 4,005.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	864.00 270.00 1,988.55 864.00 1,296.00 972.00 5,406.75
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Stunt Coordinator Costume supervisor	1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2	2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 5.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$1,335.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00 1,473.00 640.00 960.00 720.00 4,005.00 1,200.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	864.00 270.00 1,988.55 864.00 1,296.00 972.00 5,406.75 1,620.00
	Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Stunt Coordinator Costume supervisor Costumer	1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2	2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 5.00 5.00	\$320.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$1,335.00 \$240.00 \$200.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	640.00 640.00 200.00 1,473.00 640.00 960.00 720.00 4,005.00 1,200.00 2,000.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	864.00 270.00 1,988.55 864.00 1,296.00 972.00 5,406.75 1,620.00 2,700.00

	DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	AD	JUSTED* TOTAL
	Event Photographer	1	2.00	\$200.00	day	\$	400.00	\$	540.00
	Gopher	1	3.00	\$160.00	day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
	Talent: LT	1	2.00	\$859.00	day	\$	1,718.00	\$	2,319.30
	Talent: Driver voice over	1	2.00	\$1,297.00	day	\$	2,594.00	\$	3,501.90
	Talent: LT Minh	1	2.00	\$300.00	day	\$	600.00	\$	810.00
	Talent: Majon An	1	2.00	\$300.00	day	\$	600.00	\$	810.00
	Talent: Williams	1	2.00	\$250.00	day	\$	500.00	\$	675.00
	Talent: Parker	1	2.00	\$250.00	day	\$	500.00	\$	675.00
	Talent: Jamison	1	2.00	\$250.00		\$	500.00	\$	
	Talent: Brewster	1	2.00	\$250.00	day	\$	500.00	\$	675.00 675.00
		1	2.00	\$240.00	day day	\$	480.00	\$	648.00
	Talent: Voice Radio Golden Six	1		\$160.00		\$		\$	
	Talent: Voice Radio other	8	2.00		day	\$	320.00	\$	432.00
	Talent: Extras, American		2.00	\$250.00	day	_	4,000.00		5,400.00
	Talent: Driver	0	2.00	\$148.00	day	\$	2 000 00	\$	4,000,00
	Cameraman	3	2.00	\$600.00	day	\$	3,600.00	\$	4,860.00
	Gaffer	2	3.00	\$360.00	day	\$	2,160.00	\$	2,916.00
	Grip	2	3.00	\$320.00	day	\$	1,920.00	\$	2,592.00
	Craft Service	1	123.00	\$25.00	day	\$	3,075.00	\$	3,321.00
	Catering	1	123.00	\$100.00	day	\$	12,300.00	\$	13,284.00
	Gaffer's tape	1	1.00	\$20.00	each	\$	20.00	\$	21.60
	safety ammo	80	1.00	\$8.00	each	\$	640.00	\$	691.20
	safety ammo	80	1.00	\$2.00	each	\$	160.00	\$	172.80
	APCs	4	2.00	\$1,500.00	day	\$	12,000.00	\$	12,960.00
	bayonnet	1	1.00	\$95.99	each	\$	95.99	\$	103.67
	Brown military pouch	1	1.00	\$11.00	each	\$	11.00	\$	11.88
	Military poncho	1	1.00	\$18.95	each	\$	18.95	\$	20.47
Total	Scene 14					\$	77,325.14	\$	96,742.29
Scene	15								
Scene		1	3.00	¢1 029 20	dov	Φ.	2.076.40	¢	2 902 14
Scene	Director	1	2.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	2,076.40	\$	2,803.14
Scene	Director AD	1	2.00	\$491.00	day	\$	982.00	\$	1,325.70
Scene	Director AD Producer	1	2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20	day day	\$	982.00 2,076.40	\$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14
Scene	Director AD Producer AP	1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00	day day day	\$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00	\$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager	1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00	day day day day	\$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00	\$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography	1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00	day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00	day day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist	1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00	day day day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 480.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00	day day day day day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 480.00 480.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 648.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 480.00 480.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 648.00 135.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 648.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 320.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 320.00 120.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$240.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 320.00 120.00 480.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 648.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$240.00 \$240.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 320.00 120.00 480.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 648.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor Costumer	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 320.00 120.00 480.00 480.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 648.00 648.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor Costumer Make up supervisor	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$160.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 800.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 320.00 120.00 480.00 480.00 160.00 240.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 648.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 648.00 648.00 648.00 324.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Producer AP Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor Costumer Make up supervisor Prompter Operator/script superv	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$160.00 \$240.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 320.00 120.00 480.00 480.00 480.00 480.00 360.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 648.00 216.00 324.00 486.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Producer AP Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costumer Make up supervisor Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 320.00 120.00 480.00 480.00 480.00 240.00 360.00 200.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 648.00 216.00 324.00 486.00 270.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume Supervisor Costumer Make up supervisor Event Photographer Event Photographer Event Photographer Gopher	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$490.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 480.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 120.00 480.00 480.00 480.00 480.00 480.00 240.00 360.00 200.00 320.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 216.00 324.00 486.00 270.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor Costumer Make up supervisor Prompter Operator/script supervisent Photographer Gopher Talent: Lt. General	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.50 1.50	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,1860.00 800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 320.00 120.00 480.00 480.00 240.00 360.00 200.00 320.00 375.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 648.00 648.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 216.00 324.00 486.00 270.00 432.00
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor Costumer Make up supervisor Prompter Operator/script supervivent Photographer Gopher Talent: Lt. General Talent: General's Aide	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.50 1.50 1.50	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$160.00 \$240.00 \$250.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 120.00 480.00 160.00 240.00 360.00 200.00 375.00 250.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 216.00 324.00 486.00 270.00 270.00 506.25 337.50
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Producer AP Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor Costume supervisor Costumer Make up supervisor Prompter Operator/script supervisor Event Photographer Gopher Talent: Lt. General Talent: General's Aide Talent: Batco	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$4491.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$250.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 480.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 120.00 480.00 160.00 240.00 360.00 200.00 375.00 250.00 859.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 648.00 216.00 324.00 486.00 270.00 432.00 432.00 506.25 337.50 1,159.65
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Producer AP Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor Costumer Make up supervisor Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Gopher Talent: Lt. General Talent: Batco Talent: Batco Talent: Johnny	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.50 1.50 1.50	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$160.00 \$240.00 \$250.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 120.00 480.00 160.00 240.00 360.00 200.00 375.00 250.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 648.00 1,325.70 432.00 162.00 648.00 216.00 324.00 486.00 270.00 432.00 506.25 337.50
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Producer AP Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor Costume supervisor Costumer Make up supervisor Prompter Operator/script supervisor Event Photographer Gopher Talent: Lt. General Talent: General's Aide Talent: Batco	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$4491.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$250.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 480.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 982.00 120.00 480.00 160.00 240.00 360.00 200.00 375.00 250.00 859.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 648.00 648.00 648.00 216.00 324.00 486.00 270.00 432.00 506.25 337.50 1,159.65
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Producer AP Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costume supervisor Costumer Make up supervisor Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Gopher Talent: Lt. General Talent: Batco Talent: Batco Talent: Johnny		2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1.0	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$160.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$250.00 \$250.00 \$859.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 320.00 120.00 480.00 480.00 240.00 360.00 200.00 375.00 2550.00 859.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 216.00 216.00 224.00 486.00 270.00 432.00 506.25 337.50 1,159.65
Scene	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Technical advisor Costumer Make up supervisor Crostumer Prompter Operator/script supervisor Event Photographer Talent: Lt. General Talent: General's Aide Talent: Batco Talent: Johnny Talent: Micheinzi	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.50 1.00 1.00 1	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$440.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$250.00 \$250.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,186.00 800.00 480.00 480.00 100.00 320.00 120.00 480.00 480.00 240.00 360.00 200.00 375.00 250.00 859.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 648.00 135.00 1,325.70 432.00 648.00 216.00 324.00 486.00 270.00 432.00 506.25 337.50 1,159.65 1,159.65

	DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	AD.	JUSTED* TOTAL
	Gaffer	2	2.00	\$360.00	day	\$	1,440.00	\$	1,944.00
	Grip	2	2.00	\$320.00	day	\$	1,280.00	\$	1,728.00
	Carpenter	2	2.00	\$200.00	day	\$	800.00	\$	1,080.00
	Drywall man	2	2.00	\$200.00	day	\$	800.00	\$	1,080.00
	painter	1	2.00	\$200.00	day	\$	400.00	\$	540.00
	Laborer	2	2.00	\$160.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.00
	Craft Service	1	68.00	\$25.00	day	\$	1,700.00	\$	1,836.00
	Catering	1	68.00	\$100.00	day	\$	6,800.00	\$	7,344.00
	Gaffer's tape	2	1.00	\$20.00	each	\$	40.00	\$	43.20
	shirts, jungle fatigues OD green	10	0.00	\$19.95	each	\$	-	\$	-
	pants, jungle fatigues OD green	10	0.00	\$19.95	each	\$	-	\$	-
	insignias black "Big Red One"	6	1.00	\$5.99	each	\$	35.94	\$	38.82
	Flag U.S. w/pole and metal stand	1	1.00	\$59.95	each	\$	59.95	\$	64.75
	Flag South Vietnamese w/ pole a	1	1.00	\$19.90	each	\$	19.90	\$	21.49
	Flag su army w/pole and metal s	1	1.00	\$17.90	each	\$	17.90	\$	19.33
	metal flag pole stands	2	1.00	\$29.95	each	\$	59.90	\$	64.69
	Air Conditioners	1	1.00	\$119.00	each	\$	119.00	\$	128.52
	Wooden chairs, folding	30	1.00	\$14.95	each	\$	448.50	\$	484.38
	file cabinates 4 drawer military 0	1	1.00	\$184.00	each	\$	184.00	\$	198.72
	moving dolly	1	1.00	\$12.00	day	\$	12.00	\$	12.96
	2 x 4 x 8 studs	70	1.00	\$2.47	each	\$	172.90	\$	186.73
	4 x 8 x 1/2 drywall	16	1.00	\$11.00	each	\$	176.00	\$	190.08
	drywall screws 3"	10	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	21.90	\$	23.65
	drywall screws 1 1/4""	10	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	21.90	\$	23.65
	paint	1	1.00	\$20.00	gal	\$	20.00	\$	21.60
	paint kit	1	1.00	\$12.00	each	\$	12.00	\$	12.96
	gaffers tape	2	1.00	\$20.00	roll	\$	40.00	\$	43.20
	bailing wire	1	1.00	\$4.00	roll	\$	4.00	\$	4.32
	bolt, washer & nut sets 4" x 3/8		0.40	\$41.19	set	\$	16.48	\$	17.79
	boic, washer a nac sees 1 x s/ c		0.10	Ψ11.13	300	Ψ	10.10	Ψ	11.13
Total	Scene 15					\$	35.510.07	\$	45.243.38
Total	Scene 15					\$	35,510.07	\$	45,243.38
						\$	35,510.07	\$	45,243.38
Total Scene	17	1	2.00	\$1.038.20	day		·		
	17 Director	1	2.00	\$1,038.20 \$491.00	day	\$	2,076.40	\$	2,803.14
	17 Director AD	1	2.00	\$491.00	day	\$	2,076.40 982.00	\$	2,803.14 1,325.70
	17 Director AD Producer	1	2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20	day day	\$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40	\$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14
	17 Director AD Producer AP	1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00	day day day	\$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00	\$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager	1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00	day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography	1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00	day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00	day day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist	1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00	day day day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 320.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00	day day day day day day day day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 320.00 320.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 432.00
	Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 320.00 320.00 200.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 432.00 270.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 320.00 200.00 982.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 2	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$491.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 320.00 200.00 982.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$320.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$320.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 2	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$590.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$360.00 \$360.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 800.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00 - 900.00 360.00 640.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00 - 1,215.00 486.00 864.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script supery	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$590.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$240.00 \$360.00 \$360.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00 - 900.00 360.00 640.00 240.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00 - 1,215.00 486.00 864.00 324.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 2	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$491.00 \$240.00 \$600.00 \$3600.00 \$320.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00 900.00 640.00 240.00 200.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Costumer	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.00 1.50 1.00 2.00 0.00 1.50 1.00 2.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$420.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$360.00 \$320.00 \$320.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 320.00 982.00 982.00 640.00 900.00 360.00 640.00 240.00 240.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Costumer Make up supervisor	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.00 0	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$600.00 \$360.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$240.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Coostumer Make up supervisor Gopher	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 0.00 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$400.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$160.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00 - 900.00 360.00 640.00 240.00 220.00 220.00 240.00 320.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Costumer Make up supervisor Gopher Talent: Johnny	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$160.00 \$160.00 \$160.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00 - 900.00 360.00 640.00 240.00 240.00 240.00 320	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00 - 1,215.00 486.00 864.00 270.00 432.00 270.00 432.00 1,325.70
	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Costumer Make up supervisor Gopher Talent: Johnny Talent: Sgt Smith	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 2	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$200.00 \$4491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$360.00 \$360.00 \$360.00 \$360.00 \$360.00 \$360.00 \$160.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$250.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00 900.00 360.00 640.00 240.00 2240.00 2240.00 320.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
Scene	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Costumer Make up supervisor Gopher Talent: Sgt Smith Talent: extras	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.00 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$4491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$160.00 \$240.00 \$250.00 \$250.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00 - 900.00 360.00 640.00 240.00 240.00 240.00 320	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00 - 1,215.00 486.00 864.00 270.00 432.00 270.00 432.00 1,325.70
Scene	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Costumer Make up supervisor Gopher Talent: Johnny Talent: Sgt Smith Talent: extras	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$491.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$320.00 \$160.00 \$160.00 \$160.00 \$150.00 \$160.00 \$150.00 \$160.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 320.00 982.00 640.00 900.00 360.00 640.00 240.00 240.00 240.00 250.00 125.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 1,080.00 432.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00
Scene	17 Director AD Producer AP Unit Production Manager Director of Photography Audio Engineer - 8 hour day Audio Recordist Audio/Grip - 8 hour day Event Photographer Production Assistant - 10 hour of Property manager Assistant Property manager Cameraman Gaffer Grip Prompter Operator/script superv Event Photographer Costumer Make up supervisor Gopher Talent: Sgt Smith Talent: extras	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.00 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00	\$491.00 \$1,038.20 \$491.00 \$593.00 \$900.00 \$320.00 \$320.00 \$4491.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$240.00 \$320.00 \$240.00 \$160.00 \$240.00 \$250.00 \$250.00	day	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,076.40 982.00 2,076.40 982.00 1,186.00 1,800.00 320.00 200.00 982.00 640.00 900.00 360.00 640.00 240.00 2240.00 2240.00 320.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,803.14 1,325.70 2,803.14 1,325.70 1,601.10 2,430.00 432.00 270.00 1,325.70 864.00

	DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	AD.	JUSTED* TOTAL
	Craft Service	1	37.00	\$25.00	day	\$	925.00	\$	999.00
	Catering	1	37.00	\$100.00	day	\$	3,700.00	\$	3,996.00
	sand bags	20	1.00	\$0.39	each	\$	7.80	\$	8.42
	Screen 48" wide	20	1.00	\$2.72	ft	\$	54.40	\$	58.75
	duffle bag	1	1.00	\$18.99	each	\$	18.99	\$	20.51
	travel bag	1	1.00	\$26.96	each	\$	26.96	\$	29.12
	newspaper	1	1.00	\$2.00	each	\$	2.00	\$	2.16
	hats conical	0	1.00	ΨΕ.00	each	\$	-	\$	-
	rakes	1	1.00	\$16.99	each	\$	16.99	\$	18.35
	bunk beds sets	2	1.00	\$275.00	set	\$	550.00	\$	594.00
	bunk bed matresses	4	1.00	\$138.50	each	\$	554.00	\$	598.32
	pillows set of 4	1	1.00	\$45.99	each	\$	45.99	\$	49.67
	blanket military	4	1.00	\$11.00	each	\$	44.00	\$	47.52
	bia moe riimeary		1100	ψ.11.00	- Cu Ci i	Ψ_	1 1100	Ψ	11.02
Total	Scene 17					\$	23,124.93	\$	29,613.20
1 Ocur v	Secret 11					Ψ	23,121.33	Ψ_	23,013.20
C	10								
Scene				4				,	
	Director	1	1.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	1,038.20	\$	1,401.57
	AD	1	1.00	\$491.00	day	\$	491.00	\$	662.85
	Producer	1	1.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$	1,038.20	\$	1,401.57
	AP	1	1.00	\$491.00	day	\$	491.00	\$	662.85
	Unit Production Manager	1	1.00	\$593.00	day	\$	593.00	\$	800.55
	Director of Photography	1	1.00	\$900.00	day	\$	900.00	\$	1,215.00
	Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1	1.00	\$400.00	day	\$	400.00	\$	540.00
	Audio Recordist	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.00
	Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.00
	Production Assistant - 10 hour d	1	1.00	\$491.00	day	\$	491.00	\$	662.85
	Property manager	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.00
	Assistant Property manager	1	0.00	\$240.00	day	\$		\$	
	Cameraman	1	1.00	\$600.00	day	\$	600.00	\$	810.00
	Gaffer	1	1.00	\$360.00	day	\$	360.00	\$	486.00
	Grip	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.00
	Prompter Operator/script superv	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.00
	Event Photographer	1	1.00	\$200.00	day	\$	200.00	\$	270.00
	Costumer	1	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.00
	Make up supervisor	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.00
	Gopher	1	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.00
	Talent: Johnny	1	1.00	\$859.00	day	\$	859.00	\$	1,159.65
	Talent: Restarurant Manager	1	1.00	\$44.00	day	\$	44.00	\$	59.40
	Talent: Old man sitting in chair	1	0.50	\$148.00	day	\$	74.00	\$	99.90
	Talent: Voice actor = narrator	1	1.00	\$240.00	each	\$	240.00	\$	324.00
	Talent: Voice actor = Taylor	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$	80.00	\$	108.00
	Talent: Voice actor = Smith	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$	80.00	\$	108.00
	Talent: extra = reporter	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$	80.00	\$	108.00
	Talent: extra = editor	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$	80.00	\$	108.00
	Stunt Coordinator	1	1.00	\$1,335.00	day	\$	1,335.00	\$	1,802.25
	Editor	1	8.00	\$30.00	hr	\$	240.00	\$	324.00
	Research	1	23.00	\$20.00	hr	\$	460.00	\$	621.00
	Craft Service	1	35.88	\$25.00	day	\$	896.88	\$	968.63
	Catering	1	35.88	\$100.00	day	\$	3,587.50	\$	3,874.50
	Chair, used arm	1	1.00	\$50.00		\$	50.00	\$	54.00
	chef's jacket	1	1.00	\$35.57	each	\$	35.57	\$	38.42
	chef's hat	1	1.00	\$6.28		\$	6.28	\$	6.78
	2 x 4 x 8 studs	23	1.00	\$2.47	each	\$	56.81	\$	61.35
<u> </u>	4 x 8 x 1/2 drywall	5	1.00	\$11.00	each	\$	55.00	\$	59.40
	drywall screws 3"	4	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	8.76	\$	9.46
	drywall screws 1 1/4""	4	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	8.76	\$	9.46
	paint	1	1.00	\$20.00	gal	\$	20.00	\$	21.60
	paint kit	1	1.00	\$12.00	each	\$	12.00	\$	12.96
	Stainless steel table	1	1.00	\$224.00	each	\$	224.00	\$	241.92

DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER	TOTAL	_	JUSTED* TOTAL
2 x 4 x 8 studs	70	1.00	\$2.47	each	\$ 172.90	\$	186.73
4 x 8 x 1/2 drywall	16	1.00	\$11.00	each	\$ 176.00	\$	190.08
drywall screws 3"	10	1.00	\$2.19	lb 	\$ 21.90	\$	23.65
drywall screws 1 1/4""	10	1.00	\$2.19	lb .	\$ 21.90	\$	23.65
paint	1	1.00	\$20.00	gal	\$ 20.00	\$	21.60
paint kit	1	1.00	\$12.00	each	\$ 12.00	\$	12.96
gaffers tape	2	1.00	\$20.00	roll	\$ 40.00	\$	43.20
bailing wire	1	1.00	\$4.00	roll	\$ 4.00	\$	4.32
bolt, washer & nut sets 4" x 3/8	1	0.50	\$41.19	set	\$ 20.60	\$	22.24
Total scene 19					\$ 17,305.25	\$	21,890.36
Scene 20, 21, 24, 25 & 26							
Director	1	3.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$ 3,114.60	\$	4,204.71
Editor	1	22.00	\$30.00	hr	\$ 660.00	\$	891.00
Assistant Editor	1	22.00	\$20.00	hr	\$ 440.00	\$	594.00
Producer	1	3.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$ 3,114.60	\$	4,204.71
Unit Production Manager	1	3.00	\$593.00	day	\$ 1,779.00	\$	2,401.65
AP	1	0.00	\$491.00	day	\$ -	\$	-
Cameraman	1	2.00	\$600.00	day	\$ 1,200.00	\$	1,620.00
DP - 8 hour day	1	3.00	\$900.00	day	\$ 2,700.00	\$	-
Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1	2.00	\$400.00	day	\$ 800.00	\$	1,080.00
Audio Recordist	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$ 320.00	\$	432.00
Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$ 320.00	\$	432.00
Production Assistant - 10 hour of	1	2.00	\$491.00	day	\$ 982.00	\$	1,325.70
Property manager	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$ 320.00	\$	432.00
Assistant Property manager	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$ 240.00	\$	324.00
Gaffer	1	2.00	\$360.00	day	\$ 720.00	\$	972.00
Grip	1	2.00	\$320.00	day	\$ 640.00	\$	864.00
Prompter Operator/script superv	1	0.50	\$240.00	day	\$ 120.00	\$	162.00
Event Photographer	1	0.50	\$200.00	day	\$ 100.00	\$	135.00
gopher	1	3.00	\$160.00	day	\$ 480.00	\$	648.00
Talant: Voice actor = narrator	1	2.00	\$240.00	day	\$ 480.00	\$	648.00
Talent: extras = reporters	8	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 640.00	\$	864.00
Talent: extras = vc	5	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$ 800.00	\$	1,080.00
Talent: extras = soldiers checking	5	0.50	\$148.00	day	\$ 370.00	\$	499.50
Talent: Lt colonel	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 80.00	\$	108.00
Talent: Sergeant	2	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 160.00	\$	216.00
Talent: reporter	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 80.00	\$	108.00
Talent: VC radio operator	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 80.00	\$	108.00
Talent: VC officer	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 80.00	\$	108.00
Talent: Voice actor = Peter Arne	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 80.00	\$	108.00
Talent: Voice actor = Bui Tin	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 80.00	\$	108.00
Talent: Voice actor = JFK	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 80.00	\$	108.00
Talent: Voice actor = Theodore S	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 80.00	\$	108.00
Talen: Voice actor =	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$ 80.00	\$	108.00
Research	1	44.00	\$20.00	hr	\$ 880.00	\$	1,188.00
Craft Service	1	60.00	\$25.00	day	\$ 1,500.00	\$	1,620.00
Catering	1	60.00	\$100.00	day	\$ 6,000.00	\$	6,480.00
Total Scene 20, 21, 24, 25 & 26					\$ 28,720.20	\$	33,102.27
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Scene 22							
Director	1	2.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$ 2,076.40	\$	2,803.14
AD	1	2.00	\$491.00	day	\$ 982.00	\$	1,325.70
Producer	1	2.00	\$1,038.20	day	\$ 2,076.40	\$	2,803.14
Unit Production Manager	1	2.00	\$593.00	day	\$ 1,186.00	\$	1,601.10
DP - 8 hour day	1	2.00	\$900.00	day	\$ 1,800.00	\$	2,430.00
					,		,

DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER		TOTAL	AD.	USTED* TOTA
Cameraman	1	1.00	\$600.00	day	\$	600.00	\$	810.0
Audio Engineer - 8 hour day	1	2.00	\$400.00	day	\$	800.00	\$	1,080.0
Audio Recordist	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.0
Audio/Grip - 8 hour day	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.0
Event Photographer	1	1.00	\$200.00	day	\$	200.00	\$	270.0
Production Assistant - 10 hour d	1	2.00	\$491.00	day	\$	982.00	\$	1,325.7
Property manager	1	1.00	\$320.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.0
Assistant Property manager	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.0
Gaffer	1	2.00	\$360.00	day	\$	720.00	\$	972.
Prompter Operator/script superv	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.0
Event Photographer	1	1.00	\$200.00	day	\$	200.00	\$	270.
Grip	1	2.00	\$320.00	day	\$	640.00	\$	864.
AP	1	2.00	\$491.00	day	\$	982.00	\$	1,325.
					_		_	
Director of Photography	1	2.00	\$900.00	day	\$	1,800.00	\$	2,430.
Research	1	4.00	\$20.00	hrs	\$	80.00	\$	108.
Technical advisor	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.
Stunt Coordinator	1	2.00	\$1,335.00	day	\$	2,670.00	\$	3,604.
Costume supervisor	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.
Costumer	1	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.
Make up supervisor	1	1.00	\$240.00	day	\$	240.00	\$	324.
Make up	1	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.
Gopher	1	2.00	\$160.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.
Talent: Officer 1	1	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.
Talent: Officer 2	1	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$	160.00	\$	216.
Talent: extras cameramen	2	1.00	\$148.00	day	\$	296.00	\$	399.
Talent: Reporters	2	1.00	\$160.00	day	\$	320.00	\$	432.
Talent: extras GI sitting on bunke	4	1.00	\$148.00	day	\$	592.00	\$	799.
Talent: Voice actor = Kinnard	1	0.50	\$160.00	day	\$	80.00	\$	108.
			\$240.00		\$		_	
Talent: Voice actor = narrator	1	0.50		day		120.00	\$	162.
Carpenter	2	2.00	\$200.00	day	\$	800.00	\$	1,080.
Laborers	6	2.00	\$160.00	day	\$	1,920.00	\$	2,592.
Craft Service	1	66.50	\$25.00	day	\$	1,662.50	\$	1,795.
Catering	1	66.50	\$100.00	day	\$	6,650.00	\$	7,182.
M-16	2	1.00	\$230.00	day	\$	460.00	\$	496.
2 x 4 x 8 studs	70	1.00	\$2.47	each	\$	172.90	\$	186.
4 x 8 x 1/2 drywall	16	1.00	\$11.00	each	\$	176.00	\$	190.
drywall screws 3"	10	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	21.90	\$	23.
drywall screws 1 1/4""	10	1.00	\$2.19	lb	\$	21.90	\$	23.
sandbags	1	600.00	\$39.00	100	\$	23,400.00	\$	25,272.
sand	15	1.00	\$16.95	ton	\$	254.25	\$	274.
shovels spade style	5	1.00	\$9.95	each	\$	49.75	\$	53.
gloves heavy leather	6	1.00	\$5.48	pairs	\$	32.88	\$	35.
dolly	1	1.00	\$12.00	day	\$	12.00	\$	12.
propane tank	2	1.00	\$25.00	each	\$	50.00	\$	54.
Regulator & guick connect propa	2	1.00			\$	133.92	\$	144.
			\$66.96	each	_		_	
Propane gas fire pit	11	1.00	\$121.38	each	\$	121.38	\$	131.
Rabbit wire	1	1.00	\$68.00	roll	\$	68.00	\$	73.
Diesel fuel	5	1.00	\$3.79	gal	\$	18.95	\$	20.
fire extinguisher 25 lb	3	1.00	\$39.97	each	\$	119.91	\$	129.
rags	2	1.00	\$14.48	box	\$	28.96	\$	31.
16mm camera (not necessary to	2	1.00	\$699.00	each	\$	1,398.00	\$	1,509.
rails 20'	1	8.00	\$18.00	each	\$	144.00	\$	155.
rail dolly	1	1.00	\$275.00	each	\$	275.00	\$	297.
rail connectors	1	14.00	\$19.65	each	\$	275.10	\$	297.
rail feet	1	14.00	\$12.00	each	\$	168.00	\$	181.
red micro ultra cage	1	1.00	\$550.00	each	\$	550.00	\$	594.
TI THOIS GIVE SUGS	•		\$330.00	04011	*	230.00	\$	-
					\$	59,696.00	\$	71,179.
Scene 22					Ψ	39,696.00	Ψ	71,173.

Smokescreens, Lies and Deceptions: The Media and the Vietnam War.

Smokescreen Budget

DESCRIPTION	Quantity	Days	RATE	PER	TOTAL	ADJUSTED* TOTAL
Note: All product totals include an 8%	taxes.					
Note: All payroll days are based on an 8	3 hour da	ıy.				

Endnotes

- i As additional bodies have been found over the years according to http://ngothelinh.tripod.com/Hue.htmlt the count is currently up to 7600 assassinated.
- ii Robbins, J. S. (2010). *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books p. 233.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books, p. 64.
- Nobbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive*. New York: Encounter Books, p. 175.
- ^v Robbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books, p. 39.
- vi Ky, N. C. & Wolf, M. J., (2002), *Buddha's child: My fight to save Vietnam*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press, p, 271.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010), This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive. New York: Encounter Books, p. 2.
- Qaing Zhai (2000) *China and The Vietnam Wars, 1950-1975.* Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, p. 179.
- Viii Tolson, J. J. (2012). *Airmobility 1961-1971*. (Kindle) Seattle: Amazon, p. 128 (Kindle scroll p. 139). (Originally published in 1973 by Washington, D.C: Dept. of the Army).
- Robbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books, p. 122.
- X Robbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books, p. 166.
- xi Bui Tin (1995) Judy Stowe and Do Van (Trans.). *Following Ho Chi Minh: Memoirs of a North Vietnamese Colonel.* Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, p. 63.
- xii Cosmos, G. A., (2007). MACV: The Joint Command in the years of withdrawal, 1968-1973, (United States Army in Vietnam). Washington D.C.: Center of Military History, United States Army, pp. 39 & 50.
- xiii Cosmos, G. A., (2007). MACV: The Joint Command in the years of withdrawal, 1968-1973, (United States Army in Vietnam). Washington D.C.: Center of Military History, United States Army, pp. 48.
- viv Qaing Zhai (2000) *China and The Vietnam Wars, 1950-1975.* Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, pp. 176-182.
- Cosmos, G. A., (2007). MACV: The Joint Command in the years of withdrawal, 1968-1973, (United States Army in Vietnam). Washington D.C.: Center of Military History, United States Army, p. 29.
- War Experiences Recapitulation Committee of the High-Level Military Institute, (1980) Joint Publications Research Service (trans.) Doc. No. 80968 (1982). *Vietnam: The Anti-U.S. Resistance War for National Salvation, 1954-1975: Military Events.* Hanoi: People's Army Publishing House, p. 101.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books, p. 173.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books, p. 69.
- xix War Experiences Recapitulation Committee of the High-Level Military Institute, (1980) Joint Publications Research Service (trans.) Doc. No. 80968 (1982). *Vietnam: The Anti-U.S. Resistance War for National Salvation, 1954-1975: Military Events.* Hanoi: People's Army Publishing House, p. 104.
 - Ky, N. C. & Wolf, M. J., (2002), *Buddha's child: My fight to save Vietnam*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press, p, 277.
- ^{xx} Robbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books, p. 70.

- Qaing Zhai (2000) *China and The Vietnam Wars, 1950-1975*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, p. 179.
- ^{XXII} Ibid. p. 179.
- ^{xxiii} Ky, N. C. (1976). *How We Lost the Vietnam War*. New York: Cooper Square Press, p. 179.
- ^{xxiv} Ibid. p. 179.
- XXV Karnow, S. (1983). Vietnam A History. New York: The Viking Press, pp. 441 & 661.
- Ky, N. C. & Wolf, M. J., (2002), *Buddha's child: My fight to save Vietnam*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press, p, 284.
- Cosmos, G. A., (2007). MACV: The Joint Command in the years of withdrawal, 1968-1973, (United States Army in Vietnam). Washington D.C.: Center of Military History, United States Army, pp. 36-39.
- XXVIII Karnow, S. (1983). Vietnam A History. New York: The Viking Press, p. 543.
- War Experiences Recapitulation Committee of the High-Level Military Institute, (1980) Joint Publications Research Service (trans.) Doc. No. 80968 (1982). *Vietnam: The Anti-U.S. Resistance War for National Salvation, 1954-1975: Military Events.* Hanoi: People's Army Publishing House, p. 100.
 - Ky, N. C. & Wolf, M. J., (2002), *Buddha's child: My fight to save Vietnam*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press, p, 266.
- Cosmos, G. A., (2007). MACV: The Joint Command in the years of withdrawal, 1968-1973, (United States Army in Vietnam). Washington D.C.: Center of Military History, United States Army, pp. 39 & 50.
- Ky, N. C. & Wolf, M. J., (2002), *Buddha's child: My fight to save Vietnam*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press, p, 259.
- War Experiences Recapitulation Committee of the High-Level Military Institute, (1980) Joint Publications Research Service (trans.) Doc. No. 80968 (1982). Vietnam: The Anti-U.S. Resistance War for National Salvation, 1954-1975: Military Events. Hanoi: People's Army Publishing House, p. 105.
- Ky, N. C. & Wolf, M. J., (2002), *Buddha's child: My fight to save Vietnam*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press, p, 266-271.
- Price, J. L. & Stevens S. P. (9/25/2009). *Partners of Veterans with PTSD: Research findings*.

 Retrieved from the Department of Veterans Affairs website:

 http://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/pages/partners_of_vets_research_findings.asp, para. 4.
- Karnow, S. (1983). *Vietnam A History*. New York: The Viking Press, p. 539.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books, p. 228.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010), *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books, p. 55.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010). *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books p. 69.
- Kinnard, D. (2001). Vietnam Reconsidered: An attitudinal survey of US Army General Officers. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, Winter 75-Winter 76, Vol. 39 Issue 4 pp. 445-457, p. 451.
- ^{xl} Ibid. p. 451.
- xli Brinkley, D. () Cronkite. P. 353.
- Tallman, G., & McKerns, J. (2000). Press Mess: David Halberstam, the Buddhist Crisis, and U.S. Policy in Vietnam, 1963. *Journalism & Communication Monographs*, 2(3), 109-153, p. 112.
- Hammond, W. M., (1996), *United States Army in Vietnam, Public Affairs: The Military and the media,* 1968-1973. Washington, D.C.: Center of Military History, United States Army, p. 617.
- Xliv Tallman, G., & McKerns, J. (2000). Press Mess: David Halberstam, the Buddhist Crisis, and U.S. Policy in Vietnam, 1963. *Journalism & Communication Monographs*, 2(3), 109-153, p. 113.

- Robbins, J. S. (2010). *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive.* New York: Encounter Books p. 244
- Arnett, P. (Winter 1999 Spring 2000). Reflections on Vietnam, the press and America. *Nieman Reports*, 191-193. Retrieved from http://search.ebscohost.com, p. 191.
- Bui Tin (1995) Judy Stowe and Do Van (Trans.). *Following Ho Chi Minh: Memoirs of a North Vietnamese Colonel.* Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, p. 70.
- xlviii Sorensen, T. (1965) Kennedy. New York: Harper and Row, Publishers, p. 320.
- Kempe, F. (2011) Hecht, P. Narrator. *Berlin 1961: Kennedy, Khrushchev, and the most dangerous place on earth.* New York: Recorded Books Productions, LLC.
- Sorensen, T. (1965) Kennedy. New York: Harper and Row, Publishers, p. 314.
- Thomas, H. (2006). Watchdogs of Democracy? The Waning Washington Press Corp and how it has failed the public. New York: Scribner, A Lisa Drew Book, pp. 162-163.
- Kinnard, D. (2001). Vietnam Reconsidered: An attitudinal survey of US Army General Officers. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, Winter 75-Winter 76, Vol. 39 Issue 4, pp. 451-452.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010). *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive*. New York: Encounter Books p. 247.
- Robbins, J. S. (2010). *This time we win: Revisiting the Tet offensive*. New York: Encounter Books p. 232.
- Krepinevich, Jr. A. F. (1986). *The Army and Vietnam.* Baltimore: The John Hopkins University Press, p. 202.
- ^{lvi} Zhai, Q., (2000), *China and The Vietnam Wars, 1950-1975.* Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, p. .
- Pike, D. (1970). *The Vietcong Strategy of Terror.* Cambridge MA: M.I.T. Press, pp. 23-39, found at http://ngothelinh.tripod.com/hue.html
- Bui Tin, (1995) Stowe, J. and Do Van (Trans.). Following Ho Chi Minh: The Memoirs of a North Vietnamese Colonel, Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, p. 63.
- lix Ibid, pp. 79-80.